CHAPTER FOUR CONCLUSION

After discussing George Bush's speeches in the previous chapter, I can draw some conclusions of the use of doublespeak in President Bush's speeches; in this case speeches about the terrorists in Iraq as well as in the Middle East.

The tragedy of 11th September in New York has been a big blow for America as a 'Super Power' country. This tragedy becomes an embarrassing moment for America because as a 'Super Power' country, America is supposed to be able to protect its citizens. After the tragedy, President George Bush has become very aggressive in delivering his speeches in relation to his plan to carry out the invasion to Afghanistan, and later to Iraq and the Middle East.

As we have already known, some people are for his invasion, but some are against it. Thus, President Bush has delivered a lot of speeches concerning his invasion. After analyzing the speeches, I find that President Bush uses doublespeak in order to hide his real intention, so that his audience will not notice the real intention of his speeches.

In Chapter Three, I present the speeches which contain doublespeak. The doublespeak is divided into four categories: euphemism, inflated language, jargon, and gobbledygook.

41

Universitas Kristen Maranatha

First, I find some cases of euphemism in his speeches. Euphemism is used to mislead his audience from the real meaning. After discussing the use of euphemism, I come to the conclusion that President Bush uses euphemism in order to give less harsh impressions of his invasion of several countries.

President Bush uses euphemism since he tries to create a good image of him as a leader who brings liberty to several countries through his invasion. He wants to describe his invasion as the only way to handle the terrorists and bring peace to those countries. Bush does not want his audience to judge him as an evil person who likes to invade other countries. Bush often uses positive words such as *helping, for the humanity, liberty, remove* and many more in describing his invasion of Iraq and the Middle East. The use of euphemism helps Bush to avoid having a bad image as a person who loves to create wars in Iraq and the Middle East.

Second, from my analysis, I also find that President Bush uses inflated language very often in delivering his hidden intention. Through his speeches, President Bush often tries to create a great image of him as well as the invasion. He tries to create a heroic impression concerning his invasion of Iraq, Afghanistan, and the Middle East.

In my analysis, I present a large number of inflated languages in Bush's political statements in order to reveal Bush's hidden intention and his real purpose in delivering those speeches. The use of inflated language in Bush's political

42

statements have a great effect on his audience, because Bush has succeeded in carrying out his plan to invade Iraq as well as in describing the terrorists without using the literal meaning but by means of inflated language.

Referring to inflated language, President Bush often uses some phrases in describing *nuclear weapons*, such as: *weapons of fear*, *weapons of mass destruction*, *weapons of terror*, and many more. And in describing *the terrorists*, Bush also uses some phrases quiet often such as: *apparatus of terror*, *the enemies of human rights*, *source of violence*. Bush wants to get sympathies from his audience and tries to describe the terrorists as evil and they only threaten the peace in the whole world, especially in America. In this way, he will get support for his invasion as well as the way he is going to handle the terrorists.

Third, in terms of jargon, I present jargon to be analyzed; however, I only present three data containing jargon since it is hard to find statements which contain jargon. And I think that the three data which contain jargon have obviously shown the use of jargon in Bush's political statements.

President Bush uses jargon in his political statements in order to impress his audience when listening to his speeches that he is a very important person in the operation, and to describe Saddam Hussein as a person who loves committing murders during his reign in Iraq. In addition, he uses jargon in describing himself as the highest leader during the invasion of Iraq and the Middle East. In the data

43

that I present and analyze in Chapter Three, President Bush uses police and military jargons.

Fourth, I do not present the last category of doublespeak; gobbledygook, for the simple reason that after reading and analyzing the speeches I have found in the Internet, I do not find any gobbledygook used in President Bush's speeches concerning the invasion of Iraq and the Middle East. As a result, I only present the use of three categories of doublespeak. They are euphemism, inflated language, and jargon.

After making the analysis of the use of doublespeak in Bush's political statements, I draw a conclusion that President Bush uses a lot of doublespeak only to justify his invasion in Iraq and the Middle East as well as to get sympathies from his audience. He also wants to create the image of himself as a good leader who handles the terrorists and finally brings freedom to Iraq, Afghanistan, and the Middle East.

Besides, President Bush uses inflated language as doublespeak which is the most frequently used. After analyzing the use of inflated language by President Bush, I conclude that Bush tries to describe his purpose or intention in extraordinary phrases. Bush wants to give the impression that his speeches will sound overwhelming, especially when he describes the use of nuclear weapons and the terrorists. He tries to justify his invasion through the use of inflated language.

44

Universitas Kristen Maranatha

Through this analysis relating to the use of doublespeak in the political world (in this case of President Bush's political statements), I hope that people will become more aware when listening to or reading some political statements or speeches. I hope this analysis may help people to realize that there are so many instances of *doublespeak* which are used in the political world.

To end my thesis, I would like to point it out that the political world is a world where people are ready to do and say all kinds of things in order to get sympathies from their audience and supporters. Since doublespeak is a crime without victims, some people are not aware that they are actually deceived. In fact, they become the victims of the manipulation of language in the form of doublespeak. I hope this analysis may be beneficial to help people sharpen their awareness of doublespeak.

45