

Bukti Korespondensi
Artikel Jurnal Sinta 2

Judul Artikel:

Supply chain viability as a driver of FMCG reconfiguration post-disruption: A regional comparison

Jurnal: **Journal Industrial Servicess**, vol. 11, no. 2, October 2025
<http://dx.doi.org/10.62870/jiss.v11i2.35355>

Perihal	Tanggal
1. Bukti submission artikel	13 Agustus 2025
2. Bukti peer review dan proses review	2 Januari 2026
3. Bukti submit revisi	3 Januari 2026
4. Bukti Accept Submission	24 Januari 2026
5. Editing: copyediting, layout, proofreading	25 Januari 2026

Bukti submission artikel



Journal Industrial Servicess

Journal Homepage : <http://jurnal.untirta.ac.id/index.php/jiss>



HOMEABOUTUSER HOMECATEGORIESSEARCHCURRENTARCHIVESANNOUNCEMENTSIN PRESS

Home > User > Author > Submissions > #35355 > Summary

#35355 Summary

SUMMARYREVIEWEDITING

Submission

Authors	Sherlywati Sherlywati, Eliot Simangunsong, Fathony Rahman, Hesti Maheswari
Title	Supply chain viability as a driver of FMCG reconfiguration post-disruption: A regional comparison
Original file	35355-93694-1-SM.DOCX 2025-08-11
Supp. files	None
Submitter	Sherlywati Sherlywati 
Date submitted	August 13, 2025 - 10:59 AM
Section	Articles
Editor	Bobby Kurniawan  Akbar Gunawan, ST., MT  (Review)
Abstract Views	0

USER


You are logged in as...
sher04
» My Journals
» My Profile
» Log Out

MENU

Editorial Team
Reviewers
Focus and Scope
Peer Review Process
Open Access Policy
Author Guidelines
Copyright Notice
Crossmark Policy
In Press


REVIEW INSTRUCTIONS

Bukti peer review discussion dan proses review



Journal Industrial Servicess

Journal Homepage : <http://jurnal.untirta.ac.id/index.php/jiss>



HOME ABOUT USER HOME CATEGORIES SEARCH CURRENT ARCHIVES ANNOUNCEMENTS IN PRESS

Home > User > Author > Submissions > #35355 > Review

#35355 Review

SUMMARY REVIEW EDITING

Submission

Authors	Sherlywati Sherlywati, Eliot Simangunsong, Fathony Rahman, Hesti Maheswari
Title	Supply chain viability as a driver of FMCG reconfiguration post-disruption: A regional comparison
Section	Articles
Editor	Bobby Kurniawan (Review) Akbar Gunawan, ST., MT (Review)

Peer Review

Round 1

Review Version	35355-93788-3-RV.DOCX 2025-10-27
Initiated	2025-10-27
Last modified	2026-01-02
Uploaded file	None

Editor Decision

Decision	Accept Submission 2026-01-24
Notify Editor	Editor/Author Email Record 2026-01-24
Editor Version	35355-96367-1-ED.DOCX 2025-10-27 35355-96367-2-ED.DOCX 2025-10-27
Author Version	35355-101208-1-ED.DOCX 2026-01-03 DELETE
Upload Author Version	<input type="button" value="Choose File"/> No file chosen <input type="button" value="Upload"/>

USER

You are logged in as...
sher04
» My Journals
» My Profile
» Log Out

MENU

Editorial Team
Reviewers
Focus and Scope
Peer Review Process
Open Access Policy
Author Guidelines
Copyright Notice
Crossmark Policy
In Press

REVIEW INSTRUCTIONS

Review Procedure (pdf)
Review Procedure (video)

SUBMISSION INSTRUCTIONS

Instruction for Authors
Article template
Article example

AUTHOR

Submissions
» Active (0)
» Archive (1)
» New Submission

[JISS] Editor Decision

BK Bobby Kurniawan <jurnaluntirta2025@gmail.com>

To: Sherlywati

Cc: Eliot Simangunsong <elliot@pmbs.ac.id>; Fathony Rahman <fathony@pmbs.ac.id>; **+ 1 other**

Sherlywati Sherlywati:

We have reached a decision regarding your submission to Journal Industrial Servicess, "Supply Chain Viability as a Driver of FMCG Reconfiguration Post-Disruption: A Regional Comparison".

Our decision is to: Revisions required

Thank you for submitting your manuscript. Following a thorough peer review, the editorial team has determined that your manuscript requires substantial revisions to be considered for publication.

The reviewer and editor feel that the research gap analysis is needed. Furthermore, some figures must be improved readability. In addition, discussions underdeveloped. Finally, the references can be improved.

We invite you to address these concerns and resubmit your revised manuscript, accompanied by a detailed response form outlining how you have addressed each comment. You must download the new template from our website and write according to the required format. The response form for addressing the comments is located below the template. We appreciate your dedication and look forward to receiving your revised submission.

 Fri 1/2/2026 3:19 PM

[JISS] Editor Decision

 Summarize

Reviewer A:

The study demonstrates novelty, particularly in its integrative SCV framework and cross-country comparison; however, the novelty should be stated more explicitly in the introduction.

The literature review is comprehensive and up to date, but some sections could be streamlined to improve focus.

The discussion is theoretically grounded, but practical implications could be elaborated more concretely.

[JISS] Editor Decision

 Summarize

Reviewer B:

The title accurately reflects the focus on supply chain reconfiguration, resilience, sustainability, and their roles before and after disruptions. The keywords are relevant, capturing key concepts such as reconfigurability, supply chain resilience, agility, sustainability, and disruption, which enhance the article's discoverability and relevance. Overall, the title and keywords are meaningful and appropriately aligned with the content.

The subject of exploring supply chain viability, especially through the dimensions of agility, resilience, and sustainability in the context of disruptions, is highly relevant and significant. As global supply chains continue to face complex challenges, understanding how these factors influence reconfiguration strategies offers valuable insights for both academia and industry. The article's focus aligns well with current trends toward resilient and sustainable supply chain management.

The information provided offers insightful perspectives on supply chain viability and its post-disruption dynamics, especially differentiating between developed and developing countries. While some concepts, such as the emphasis on agility and sustainability, are well-established, the specific integration of these dimensions into a comprehensive SCV framework and the empirical findings related to post-disruption behaviors add value and nuance to existing literature. Overall, it provides a moderate level of new insights rather than entirely novel information.

The conclusions are well substantiated by the empirical data presented in the study. The authors effectively link their findings—such as the prominence of agility and sustainability over resilience post-disruption—and support these insights with detailed statistical analyses, including significance testing and R-square values. This alignment demonstrates that the conclusions are grounded in and supported by the data collected and analyzed.

The article could benefit from clearer explanations of how the different dimensions of supply chain viability specifically influence reconfiguration strategies in various country contexts. Please clarify, do the impacts vary across country contexts, and if so, why?

Explain in more detail and critically the limitations of the Quantitative methodology used in the research, and propose or suggest how the approach used in the future can strengthen the research.

Editor

1. The abstract must be revised because it does not yet meet the journal's criteria. The abstract should include: background, problem, objectives, methods, results, and implications. The limitations and future research must not be included in Abstract.

2. The abstract must be revised because it does not yet meet the journal's criteria. The abstract should include: background, problem, objectives, methods, results, and implications. The background and problem should not describe issues specific to the research site. The problem should relate to industrial engineering issues (e.g., delays, operational costs, scheduling, etc.). "XYZ Hospital" should not be presented as the main highlight in the abstract and introduction; instead, XYZ should appear as a case study in the Materials and Methods and Results and Discussion sections.

3. In the Results and Discussion section, too many tables are presented. Ideally, only tables that directly support the research objectives should be included. For example, Tables 8 and 16 can be removed and sufficiently described in the text. Furthermore, Tables 2, 3, and 4 can be merged into a single table, and Tables 10, 11, and 12 can also be combined into one table.

4. The Discussion section does not sufficiently compare the findings with previous studies. In addition, the discussion is too brief and lacks in-depth interpretation of the results.

5. Conclusion: It is recommended to write this in three paragraphs as follows: research objectives + findings (1 paragraph), implications (1 paragraph), and limitations + future research (1 paragraph).

6. Tables: Ensure that all tables contain no Indonesian vocabulary. Use commas and periods in accordance with English language conventions. Make sure all tables are cited in the main manuscript.

9. Figures: Ensure that all figures contain no Indonesian vocabulary. All figures should be high resolution (600 dpi) to ensure readability.

10. Citations: The number of citations is too few for this journal. References and Citations: Use IEEE style. Check the citation style in the tables and manuscript.

11. IMPORTANT: Use red font for the revised parts to help the editor easily identify your changes.

12. IMPORTANT: Use at least 30 references from proceedings or journals, published within the last 10 years. Ensure that at least 80% are in English, and format all references and in-text citations using the IEEE style.

13. IMPORTANT: Complete all metadata, including author names, author order, affiliations, etc.

Respond form

AUTHORS ARE REQUIRED TO RESPOND to all comments from the Reviewer and Editor. Authors must indicate in the revised manuscript the sections that have been revised.

Reviewer #1

No	Comments	Respond
1	The study demonstrates novelty, particularly in its integrative SCV framework and cross-country comparison; however, the novelty should be stated more explicitly in the introduction.	Thank you for this valuable comment. We have revised the Introduction to explicitly articulate the study's novelty. Specifically, the final paragraph of the Introduction has been substantially rewritten to clearly state the research gap and to position supply chain viability (SCV) as an integrative construction that simultaneously incorporates resilience, agility, and sustainability. The revised paragraph explicitly highlights three key contributions: (1) the examination of differentiated SCV dimensions across pre- and post-disruption phases, (2) a cross-regional comparative perspective between developed and developing contexts, and (3) the use of multi-group PLS-SEM to capture contextual differences. These revisions clarify the study's originality and theoretical contribution.
	The literature review is comprehensive and up to date, but some sections could be streamlined to improve focus.	We appreciate this suggestion. The Literature Review has been streamlined to improve conceptual focus while preserving its comprehensiveness. Redundant definitional discussions were reduced, and overlapping explanations were consolidated, particularly in Section 2.1 (Supply Chain Viability). The revised section emphasizes SCV as an integrative framework rather than treating resilience, agility, and sustainability as isolated concepts. Additionally, clearer conceptual linkages were established between SCV, supply chain reconfiguration, and the comparative analysis to better align the literature review with the research objectives.
2	The discussion is theoretically grounded, but practical implications could be elaborated more concretely.	Thank you for this observation. The Discussion section has been substantially revised and expanded to deepen both theoretical interpretation and practical relevance. The revised discussion explicitly compares the findings with prior studies and elaborates on how the differentiated roles of SCV dimensions inform managerial decision-making in the FMCG sector. Furthermore, practical implications have been strengthened and clearly articulated in both the Discussion and Conclusion sections, highlighting actionable guidance related to agility, sustainability, and strategic reconfiguration across different regional contexts.

Reviewer #2

No	Comments	Respond
1	propose or suggest how the approach used in the future can strengthen the research.	Thank you for this suggestion. In response, we have added a dedicated paragraph in the Conclusion section elaborating future research directions. This paragraph highlights the integration of SCV drivers such as digital transformation to strengthen internal capabilities, the refinement of country classifications at the regional level to capture more specific contextual dynamics, and the use of longitudinal or mixed method designs to examine the stability of SCV effects over time. We also recommend the application of predictive-oriented PLS-SEM techniques (e.g., Q ² predict and CVPAT) and model comparisons with alternative analytical approaches to further validate the robustness and applicability of the SCV-RR framework across diverse contexts.
2	Explain in more detail and critically the limitations of the Quantitative methodology used in the research	We appreciate this constructive suggestion. A dedicated paragraph addressing methodological limitations and future research directions has been added to the Conclusion section. This paragraph critically discusses the limitations of the cross-sectional quantitative design, potential respondent recall bias, and the absence of moderating variables.

Editor

No	Comments	Respond
1	About the abstract	The Abstract has been fully revised to comply with the journal's requirements.
2	In the Results and Discussion section, too many tables are presented. Ideally, only tables that directly support the research objectives should be included. For example, Tables 8 and 16 can be removed and sufficiently described in the text. Furthermore, Tables 2, 3, and 4 can be merged into a single table, and Tables 10, 11, and 12 can also be combined into one table.	done

3	The Discussion section does not sufficiently compare the findings with previous studies. In addition, the discussion is too brief and lacks in-depth interpretation of the results.	Further explanation has been added to the discussion section (red font).
4	Conclusion: It is recommended to write this in three paragraphs as follows: research objectives + findings (1 paragraph), implications (1 paragraph), and limitations + future research (1 paragraph).	The Conclusion has been rewritten into exactly three paragraphs following the editor's recommendation: (1) research objectives and findings, (2) implications, and (3) limitations and future research.
5	Tables: Ensure that all tables contain no Indonesian vocabulary. Use commas and periods in accordance with English language conventions. Make sure all tables are cited in the main manuscript.	done
6	Figures: Ensure that all figures contain no Indonesian vocabulary. All figures should be high resolution (600 dpi) to ensure readability.	done
7	Citations: The number of citations is too few for this journal. References and Citations: Use IEEE style. Check the citation style in the tables and manuscript.	done
8	Complete all metadata, including author names, author order, affiliations, etc.	done
9	Include the following statements: CRediT author statement, Acknowledgment, Disclosure, Funding, Data Availability, and AI Usage. Refer to the examples in the Author Guidelines.	done
10	Complete the Author Information section as required by the latest template.	done

Bukti Accept Submission

✕

[JISS] Editor Decision

📄

 Summarize

<

>

BK

Bobby Kurniawan<jurnaluntirta2025@gmail.com>

😊 ↶ ↷ ➡ 🗳️ ⋮

Sat 1/24/2026 9:05 PM

To: 📧 Sherlywati

Cc: Eliot Simangunsong <elliott@pmbs.ac.id>; **+2 others**

Sherlywati Sherlywati:

We have reached a decision regarding your submission to Journal Industrial Servicess, "Supply Chain Viability as a Driver of FMCG Reconfiguration Post-Disruption: A Regional Comparison".


Our decision is to: Accept submission

We are pleased to announce that your manuscript has been accepted for publication in JISS. Please wait for our staff yo contact your for copyediting.

Bobby Kurniawan
Department of Industrial Engineering, Universitas Sultan Ageng Tirtayasa
b.kurniawan@untirta.ac.id


Journal Industrial Servicess

<http://jurnal.untirta.ac.id/index.php/insv>



Journal Industrial Servicess

Journal Homepage : <http://jurnal.untirta.ac.id/index.php/jiss>



[HOME](#)
[ABOUT](#)
[USER HOME](#)
[CATEGORIES](#)
[SEARCH](#)
[CURRENT](#)
[ARCHIVES](#)
[ANNOUNCEMENTS](#)
[IN PRESS](#)

[Home](#) > [User](#) > [Author](#) > [Submissions](#) > #35355 > **Editing**

#35355 Editing

[SUMMARY](#)
[REVIEW](#)
[EDITING](#)

Submission

Authors Sherlywati Sherlywati, Eliot Simangunsong, Fathony Rahman, Hesti Maheswari

Title Supply chain viability as a driver of FMCG reconfiguration post-disruption: A regional comparison

Section Articles

Editor Bobby Kurniawan Akbar Gunawan, ST., MT (Review)

Copyediting

COPYEDIT INSTRUCTIONS

REVIEW METADATA	REQUEST	UNDERWAY	COMPLETE
1. Initial Copyedit File: 35355-102114-1-CE.DOCX 2026-01-24	2026-01-24	—	2026-01-24
2. Author Copyedit File: 35355-102114-2-CE.DOCX 2026-01-25 Choose File No file chosen Upload	2026-01-25	2026-01-25	2026-01-25
3. Final Copyedit File: 35355-102114-3-CE.DOCX 2026-01-25	2026-01-25	—	2026-01-25

Copyedit Comments No Comments

Layout

Layout Editor Rema Sufiatika

Layout Version	REQUEST	UNDERWAY	COMPLETE	VIEWS
35355-102129-1-LE.DOCX 2026-01-25	2026-01-25	2026-01-25	2026-01-25	

Galley Format

FILE	
1. PDF VIEW PROOF	35355-102130-1-PB.PDF 2026-01-25 0

Supplementary Files

FILE	
None	

Layout Comments No Comments

Proofreading

Proofreader Rema Sufiatika

REVIEW METADATA

	REQUEST	UNDERWAY	COMPLETE
1. Author	2026-01-25	2026-01-25	2026-01-25
2. Proofreader	2026-01-25	2026-01-25	2026-01-25
3. Layout Editor	2026-01-25	2026-01-25	2026-01-25

Proofreading Corrections No Comments [PROOFING INSTRUCTIONS](#)

USER

You are logged in as...
sher04

- » My Journals
- » My Profile
- » Log Out

MENU

- Editorial Team
- Reviewers
- Focus and Scope
- Peer Review Process
- Open Access Policy
- Author Guidelines
- Copyright Notice
- Crossmark Policy
- In Press

REVIEW INSTRUCTIONS

- Review Procedure (pdf)
- Review Procedure (video)

SUBMISSION INSTRUCTIONS

- Instruction for Authors
- Article template
- Article example

AUTHOR

Submissions

- » Active (0)
- » Archive (1)
- » New Submission

JOURNAL CONTENT

Search

Search Scope

[Search](#)

Browse

- » By Issue
- » By Author
- » By Title
- » Other Journals
- » Categories

JOURNAL METRIC

