



**JURNAL ILMIAH MEA  
(MANAJEMEN, EKONOMI, & AKUNTANSI)  
TERAKREDITASI**



**Berdasarkan Surat Keputusan Direktur Jenderal Penguatan Riset  
dan Pengembangan Kementerian Riset, Teknologi, dan Pendidikan  
Tinggi Nomor. 10/E/KPT/2019, tanggal 4 April 2019**

**PENERBIT: LPPM STIE MUHAMMADIYAH BANDUNG**

Jalan Karapitan No. 143 Bandung 40662 Jawa Barat Indonesia, Telp. 022-7305155 Fax. 022-7333862

e-mail: [lppm@stiemb.ac.id](mailto:lppm@stiemb.ac.id), Website: <http://journal.stiemb.ac.id/index.php/mea>





DOI: <https://doi.org/10.31955/mea.v6i3>

Published: 2022-12-31

**PENGARUH KARAKTER EKSEKUTIF, CAPITAL INTENSITY, KEPEMILIKAN INSTITUSIONAL DAN KOMITE AUDIT TERHADAP TAX AVOIDANCE**

Erna Rahmawati, Ardan Gani Asalam

1-14

 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)**PENGARUH INNOVATIONS DAN SOCIAL INFLUENCE TERHADAP COMMITMENT DAN BEHAVIOURAL INTENTION**

Riska Agustin, Kurniawati Kurniawati

15-37

 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)**PENGARUH KUALITAS PRODUK TERHADAP KEPUTUSAN PEMBELIAN KENDARAAN BERMOTOR DI KOTA BOGOR**

Wanda Intan Aghitsni, Nur Busyra

38-51

 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)**THE INFLUENCE OF PRODUCT QUALITY AND SERVICE QUALITY ON CUSTOMER SATISFACTION BAKSO SONHAJI SONY LAMPUNG**

Nadia Putri Karenina, Maya Ariyanti

52-68

 pdf**PENGARUH LIKUIDITAS, STRUKTUR ASET, PROFITABILITAS DAN FREE CASH FLOW TERHADAP KEBIJAKAN HUTANG**

Aga Ardianto Feryyanshah, Sunarto Sunarto

69-87

 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)**PENGARUH BOARD DIVERSITY, CEO CHARACTERISTICS DAN BOARD COMMITTEES TERHADAP FIRM PERFORMANCE PERBANKAN YANG TERDAFTAR DI BURSA EFEK INDONESIA**

Rian Rizki Hidayat, Heny Setyo Lestari, Farah Margaretha

88-110

 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)**MODEL PENGEMBANGAN DESA WISATA MELALUI PEMBERDAYAAN MASYARAKAT DI DESA CIBURIAL**

Didin Syarifuddin

111-129

 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)**THE INFLUENCE OF SHOPEE BRAND LEADERSHIP ON CUSTOMER LOYALTY WITH CUSTOMER SATISFACTION AS INTERVENING VARIABLE**

Fayza Najeela Pakutandang, Indrawati Indrawati

130-150

 pdf**STUDI LITERATUR REVIEW : PENTINGNYA PENERAPAN INTEGRATED REPORTING (IR)**

Dewi Rejeki, Nurmala Ahmar

151-163

 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

### **TINJAUAN LITERATUR RELEVANSI NILAI**

SUATU KAJIAN PUSTAKA

👤 Sintong M Harahap, Rahmat Arafat Nasution, Carmel Meiden

📄 164-175

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

### **ANALISIS TOE MEMPENGARUHI ADOPSI MEDIA SOSIAL UNTUK PRODUK UMKM DI SENTRA KREASI KABUPATEN BANDUNG**

👤 Lala Huwaida Mahirah, Kristina Sisilia, Retno Setyorini

📄 176-194

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

### **PENGARUH AKTIVA PAJAK TANGGUHAN DAN BEBAN PAJAK TANGGUHAN TERHADAP PENGHINDARAN PAJAK PADA PERUSAHAAN SEKTOR ANEKA INDUSTRI YANG TERDAFTAR DI BURSA EFEK INDONESIA PADA TAHUN 2019 - 2021**

👤 Tabita Theresia Panjaitan, Remista Simbolon

📄 195-206

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

### **PENGARUH AKUNTABILITAS, TRANSPARANSI DAN KOMPETENSI SUMBER DAYA MANUSIA TERHADAP KINERJA KEUANGAN UMKM DI KABUPATEN KEDIRI**

👤 Hari Purwanti, Anik Yulianti

📄 207-224

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

### **CITRA PRODUK THE BODY SHOP MELALUI STRATEGI 4P GREEN MARKETING**

👤 Nikita Salsabila Salsabila, Rd. Nurafni Rubiyanti

📄 225-239

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

### **ANALISIS STRATEGI MARKETING DAN PENERIMAAN PASAR PADA PRODUK BIJI ALPUKAT "ALPUKATUIL"**

👤 Prasetyon Sepsi Winarno, Muhammad Agil Imawan, Irra Chrisyanti Dewi

📄 240-252

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

### **PENGARUH KUALITAS PELAYANAN PAJAK, PEMAHAMAN PERATURAN PERPAJAKAN, DAN SANKSI PERPAJAKAN TERHADAP KEPATUHAN WAJIB PAJAK**

👤 Vivi Dhana Try Putri Silalahi, Ardan Gani Asalam

📄 273-282

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

### **PENGARUH TRANSFORMASI E-BOOK DAN PEMASARAN DIGITAL TERHADAP KEPUTUSAN PEMBELIAN BUKU-BUKU PERGURUAN TINGGI**

👤 Gigih Prayogi, Muji Gunarto

📄 283-293

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

### **DETERMINANT OF POVERTY LEVEL IN EAST JAVA PROVINCE: IMPLICATIONS FOR SOCIO-ECONOMIC POLICY AFTER COVID-19 PANDEMIC**

👤 Muhammad Wicaksono Hasdyani Putra, Sulaeman Sulaeman

📄 294-310

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

**ANALISIS PERBEDAAN KINERJA PERUSAHAAN KARET DI PROVINSI LAMPUNG SEBELUM DAN SELAMA PENYEBARAN COVID-19**

👤 Ahmad Dharu Athallah, Yusril Izha Mahendra

📄 328-351

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

**SUSTAINABLE GROWTH RATE DALAM MEMPENGARUHI RETURN SAHAM DENGAN COVID-19 DAN SUSTAINABILITY REPORT SEBAGAI VARIABEL MODERASI**

👤 Nova Febriani, Atma Hayat, Ali Sadikin, Ratna Juwita

📄 352-367

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

**PENGARUH PAJAK HOTEL, PAJAK RESTORAN DAN PAJAK HIBURAN TERHADAP PENDAPATAN ASLI DAERAH STUDI EMPIRIS PADA KABUPATEN BREBES TAHUN 2016-2020**

👤 Bagus Aji Permadi, Ardan Gani Asalam

📄 268-376

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

**REFORMASI BIROKRASI DALAM MERESPON CLIMATE CHANGE PADA GREEN ECONOMY DESA NGINGAS KABUPATEN SIDOARJO**

👤 Allen Pranata Putra, Alfin Maulana

📄 377-397

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

**PENDEKATAN CROWE'S FRAUD PENTAGON THEORY DALAM MENDETEKSI KECURANGAN LAPORAN KEUANGAN**

👤 Nur Azizah Basmar, Andi Sulfati

📄 398-419

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

**REAKSI PASAR MODAL INDONESIA TERHADAP PERISTIWA PERANG RUSIA DAN UKRAINA**

👤 Miftahul Huda Apriyadi, Devita Tiara Kusuma, Salsabila Az-Zahra, Baldric Siregar

📄 420-435

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

**DAMPAK BEBAN KERJA DAN LINGKUNGAN KERJA TERHADAP BURNOUT SYNDROME PADA KARYAWAN PT. SINERGI INTEGRA SERVICES**

👤 Adrie Frans Assa

📄 436-451

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

**PENGARUH E-COMMERCE DAN MATA KULIAH SISTEM INFORMASI AKUNTANSI TERHADAP PENGAMBILAN KEPUTUSAN BERWIRSAUSAHA MASA PANDEMI COVID-19**

👤 Titania Indahsari, Anik Yulianti

📄 452-471

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

**THE INFLUENCE OF PRODUCT QUALITY AND PRICE ON CUSTOMER SATISFACTION AT SHOPATALEEN FASHION BRAND**

👤 Reza Azkia Rahmi, Maya Ariyanti

📄 472-487

📄 pdf



**DINAMIKA DISKURSUS MERITOKRASI BIROKRASI SEBAGAI UPAYA PEMERATAAN PENDAPATAN DI DESA LEBU KABUPATEN SIDOARJO**

👤 Asep Heryanto, Muzakki Muzakki

📄 488-504

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

**PENGARUH KOMPENSASI TERHADAP KINERJA KARYAWAN STUDI KASUS PADA PT XYZ**

👤 Endah Novita, Arif Partono Prasetyo, Fetty Poerwita Sary

📄 505-517

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

**FACTORS AFFECTING THE INTEGRITY OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS : BEFORE AND DURING THE PANDEMIC**

👤 Asfeni Nurullah, Tertarto Wahyudi, Syarah Lutfi Aliya

📄 518-532

📄 pdf

**OPTIMALISASI PENGENDALIAN COMPUTERIZE SISTEM INFORMASI AKUNTANSI SEBAGAI BENTUK FRAUD PREVENTIVE**

👤 Desi Wulandari, Sri Trisnaningsih

📄 533-549

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

**ANALISIS PREFERENSI PEMILIHAN HOTEL DI BANDUNG PADA KONSUMEN USIA PRODUKTIF**

👤 Gadis Andari Muslim, Heppy Millanyani

📄 550-569

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

**PENERAPAN AKUNTANSI RUMAH TANGGA SEBELUM DAN SETELAH MASA PANDEMI COVID-19 DI KECAMATAN TILAMUTA KABUPATEN BOALEMO**

👤 Sukrianto Sukrianto, Fibriyanti S. Lakoro

📄 570-593

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

**PENGARUH PEMANFAATAN DANA ZAKAT PRODUKTIF TERHADAP TINGKAT PENDAPATAN MUSTAHIK DI MASA PANDEMI**

👤 Selsilia Apriliani, Ayub Wijayati Sapta Pradana

📄 594-605

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

**PENGARUH CORPORATE GOVERNANCE TERHADAP STRUKTUR MODAL PADA SEKTOR BARANG KONSUMEN NON-PRIMER YANG TERDAFTAR DI BEI**

👤 Tieka Trikartika Gustyana, Shafira Putri Hanari

📄 606-616

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

**PERAN AUDIT INTERNAL DALAM UPAYA MENCEGAH DAN MENDETEKSI KECURANGAN (FRAUD) DI PERGURUAN TINGGI (STUDI LITERATUR)**

👤 Novita Putri

📄 617-624

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

**LIQUIDITY LEVEL ANALYSIS OF FOOD AND BEVERAGE COMPANIES**

👤 Endang Purwanti

📄 625-634

📄 pdf

**PERANCANGAN BISNIS DAN KELAYAKAN PEMBUKAAN CABANG USAHA IPAP.STORE DI KOTA DEPOK**

👤 Dara Cahaya Nabila, Sinta Aryani, Maria Della Rosawati Idawicaksakti

📄 635-651

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

**INOVASI PRODUK DAN KEUNGGULAN KOMPETITIF: STUDI PADA USAHA CATERING DI KOTA MAKASSAR**

👤 Rezki Arianty Akob, Abdul Rakhman Laba, Muhammad Sobarsyah, Nur Dwiana Sari Saudi

📄 652-664

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

**ANALISIS PENGARUH LEVERAGE DAN LIKUIDITAS PADA PROFITABILITAS SERTA RASIO AKTIVITAS SEBAGAI VARIABEL MODERASI**

👤 Nanda Nurlaily, Rahman A. Suwaidi

📄 665-684

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

**PENGARUH KINERJA KEUANGAN DAN TATA KELOLA TERHADAP NILAI PERUSAHAAN PADA SEKTOR KESEHATAN DI BURSA EFEK INDONESIA TAHUN 2016-2020**

👤 Evalyne Laura Samantha Ol Saragih, Susi Handayani

📄 685-702

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

**PENGARUH PROFESIONALISME DAN ETIKA PROFESI TERHADAP PERTIMBANGAN TINGKAT MATERIALITAS**

👤 Fitra Fadhila Effan, Hendratno Hendratno

📄 703-711

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

**PENGARUH KEPERCAYAAN KEPADA PEMERINTAH, KEBIJAKAN INSENTIF DAN MANFAAT PAJAK TERHADAP KEPATUHAN WAJIB PAJAK**

Endah Purnama Sari, Yullana Gunawan, Elvina Elvina

712-732

pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

**THE THE EFFECT OF EARNING PER SHARE, PRICE EARNING RATIO, DEBT TO EQUITY RATIO, AND CURRENT RATIO ON STOCK PRICE OF MINING COMPANY LISTED IN INDONESIA STOCK EXCHANGE (IDX) 2018-2021**

Mohd. Nawi Purba, Jofana Thalia, Selvica Daniela, Selly Selly

733-745

pdf

**ANALISIS PERENCANAAN STRATEGI TERHADAP DAMPAK TRANSFORMASI DI KOPERASI TELEKOMUNIKASI SELULAR REGIONAL JAWA BARAT MENGGUNAKAN QSPM**

Ismail Fahmi

746-760

pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

**ISLAMIC ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT IN INDONESIA THROUGH THE IMPLEMENTATION OF SHARIA FINTECH**

Muchlis Bahar

761-773

pdf

**PENGARUH KOMPETENSI, KOMITMEN ORGANISASI DAN KARAKTERISTIK INDIVIDU TERHADAP KINERJA KARYAWAN BANK. Y DIVISI MARKETING**

Juju Zuhriatusobah, Syltsie Rachman Nisa

774-788

pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)



#### **DETERMINAN KUALITAS LAPORAN KEUANGAN ORGANISASI NONLABA KOTA SURABAYA**

👤 Rima Angelia Haq, Fajar Syaiful Akbar

📄 789-803

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

#### **KESULITAN KEUANGAN DAN OPINI AUDIT GOING CONCERN: PERAN MODERASI TATA KELOLA PERUSAHAAN**

👤 Hamidah Farras, Sugiyarti Fatma Laela

📄 804-828

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

#### **PENGARUH PERTUMBUHAN PERUSAHAAN, FREE CASH FLOW, DAN INVESTMENT OPPORTUNITY SET ATAS NILAI PERUSAHAAN**

👤 Syafira Azi Sugiharto, Hendratno Hendratno

📄 829-844

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

#### **FAKTOR-FAKTOR YANG BERPENGARUH TERHADAP REPURCHASE INTENTION**

KASUS: CHICAGO POPCORN DI INDONESIA

👤 Revel Gabriel, Innocentius Bernarto

📄 845-856

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

#### **PENGARUH KUALITAS PELAYANAN TERHADAP KEPUASAN PELANGGAN PADA HOTEL TEBU**

👤 Rucika Rahmadina, Heppy Millanyani

📄 857-877

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

**THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE BANKING INDUSTRY IN THE DIGITAL ERA IN INDONESIA**

👤 Dadi Kuswandi, Sri Wulan Windu Ratih, Radi Sahara, Widiarsih Widiarsih, Bambang Gunawan H

📄 878-890

📄 pdf

**GENERASI Z MEMANFAATKAN MEDIA SOSIAL DALAM MENENTUKAN PULAU TUNDA SEBAGAI TUJUAN WISATA PASCA PANDEMI COVID-19 .**

👤 Widya Nur Bhakti Pertiwi, Farah Putri Wenang Lusianingrum

📄 891-904

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

**PENGARUH FINANCIAL KNOWLEDGE, FINANCIAL ATTITUDE DAN PARENTAL INCOME TERHADAP FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT BEHAVIOR MAHASISWA DAERAH ISTIMEWA YOGYAKARTA**

👤 Savin Riznika Bunga Nusa, Andrieta Shintia Dewi

📄 905-914

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

**PENGARUH PARTISIPASI ANGGOTA DAN JUMLAH SIMPANAN TERHADAP SISA HASIL USAHA**

STUDI PADA KOPERASI WANITA SRI REJEKI KECAMATAN BALONGBENDO PERIODE TAHUN 2016-2018

👤 Ismail Fakhirullah Sahal

📄 915-923

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

**STRATEGI PEMASARAN DENGAN PEMANFAATAN FENOMENA VIRAL DAN KOMUNIKASI ELECTRONIC WORD OF MOUTH MELALUI SOSIAL MEDIA DI INDONESIA**

👤 Mona Karina, Fery Hernaningsih, Rinto Rivanto

📄 924-942

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

#### ANALISIS BUSINESS MODEL CANVAS (BMC) CV MUNJUL JAYA KARAWANG

👤 Cyndi Novia, Rina Djunita Pasaribu, Mohammad Riza Sutjipto, Domi Bustomi

📄 943-956

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

#### OPTIMALISASI PERAN PEMERINTAH SEBAGAI FASILITATOR; KATALISATOR; REGULATOR DAN PEMAHAMAN KEUANGAN SYARIAH DALAM MENGEMBANGKAN UMKM DI SUKABUMI KOTA

👤 Rizal Zaelani, Hana Sujana, Muhammad Zaky

📄 957-972

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

#### PENGARUH FINANCIAL LITERACY, FINANCIAL ATTITUDE DAN DEMOGRAFI PADA FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT BEHAVIOR

(Case Study of Productive Age in Cilegon City)

👤 Dinda Farahdilla, Andrieta Shintia Dewi

📄 973-982

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

#### THE IMPACT OF MONETARY POLICY SHOCKS IN INDONESIA

👤 Phany Ineke Putri

📄 983-994

📄 pdf

#### PENGARUH LIKUIDITAS, LEVERAGE, UKURAN PERUSAHAAN, STRUKTUR KEPEMILIKAN DAN PERTUMBUHAN PERUSAHAAN TERHADAP DIVIDEND POLICY PADA LQ45

👤 Hassya Alifah Suwandi, Tri Utami Lestari, Farida Titik Kristanti

📄 995-1006

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

#### PENGARUH STRESS KERJA, LINGKUNGAN KERJA, DAN PENGEMBANGAN KARIR TERHADAP KINERJA KARYAWAN DEPARTEMEN AMMONIA P4 PT PUSRI PALEMBANG DI MASA PANDEMI COVID19

👤 M. Ramdani Irfan, Dina Mellita

📄 1007-1020

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

#### PERANCANGAN BISNIS DAN KELAYAKAN PEMBUKAAN TOKO DISPLAY PADA WORKSHOP ARTI FURNITURE

👤 Kinanthi Parasdya Sista, Sinta Aryani, Rio Aurachman

📄 1021-1037

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

#### DESKRIPSI KELAYAKAN LAHAN, KETERSEDIAAN SARANA USAHA DAN KETERSEDIAAN MODAL USAHA TRANSMIGRAN DALAM BERUSAHA TANI

👤 Malta Malta

📄 1038-1048

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

#### PENGARUH CAPITAL INTENSITY, LEVERAGE DAN KOMITE AUDIT TERHADAP TAX AVOIDANCE

STUDI PADA PERUSAHAAN SEKTOR BARANG KONSUMSI YANG TERDAFTAR DI BURSA EFEK INDONESIA PERIODE TAHUN 2017-2021

👤 Anita Tesselonika Panjaitan, Ardan Gani Assalam, Dwi Urip Wardoyo

📄 1049-1062

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

**PENGARUH MANFAAT DAN KEMUDAHAN PENGGUNAAN MEDIA DIGITAL SEBAGAI ALTERNATIF PEMASARAN DIGITAL TERHADAP KEBERLANGSUNGAN USAHA**

👤 Aldila Krisnaresanti, Lina Rifda Naufalin, Adi Indrayanto, Hendro Sukoco

📄 1063-1073

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

**PEMBERDAYAAN UMKM DALAM RANGKA PENINGKATAN KESEJAHTERAAN MASYARAKAT DI KABUPATEN GOWA**

👤 Buyung Romadhoni Romadhoni, Akhmad Akhmad, Idham Khalid, Arief Muhsin

📄 1074-1088

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

**PENGARUH KOMPETENSI, INDEPENDENSI DAN MORAL REASONING AUDITOR TERHADAP KUALITAS AUDIT**

STUDI EMPIRIS PADA BPKP PROVINSI MALUKU

👤 Tirza Oktovianti Lenggono

📄 1089-1101

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

**PENGARUH LAR, DAR, NIETA, PDB PER KAPITA, INFLASI DAN SMCGDP TERHADAP ROA SUB SEKTOR PERBANKAN YANG TERDAFTAR DI BEI PERIODE 2016-2020**

👤 Vanessa Eleanor Saaba, Inni Yunita

📄 1102-1123

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

**PEMODELAN VOLATILITAS INDEKS SAHAM INFOBANK 15 PADA ERA PANDEMI COVID-19**

👤 Rosma Pakpahan, Radia Purbayati, Endang Hatma Juniwati, Agil Krisna Rivanda

📄 1124-1138

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

**DETERMINAN PENYERAPAN TENAGA KERJA 34 PROVINSI DI INDONESIA: PENDEKATAN FIXED EFFECT MODEL**

👤 Birgitta Dian Saraswati, Yunika Damai Krisnawati, Dhian Adhitya

📄 1139-1156

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

**PENGEMBANGAN KAPASITAS PADA BADAN USAHA MILIK DESA LEMBENGAN SEJAHTERA KECAMATAN LEDOKOMBO KABUPATEN JEMBER**

👤 Ahmad Zainullah Hasan, Desna Aromatica, Hendri Koeswara

📄 1157-1180

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

**PENGUNGKAPAN TANGGUNG JAWAB SOSIAL PERUSAHAAN SEBELUM DAN SEMASA PANDEMI COVID-19: BUKTI EMPIRIS DARI INDONESIA**

👤 Maria Natalia, Yunita Christy, Yuliana Gunawan, Johanes Kurniawan

📄 1181-1188

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

**ANALISIS RASIO PROFITABILITAS DALAM MENILAI KINERJA KEUANGAN PERUSAHAAN PADA PT.INDOFOOD SUKSES MAKMUR TBK YANG TERDAFTAR DI BEI**

👤 Siti Nurhaliza, Hendra Harmain

📄 1189-1202

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

**LITERASI KEUANGAN DIGITAL, KEBERLANJUTAN USAHA INDUSTRI KECIL DAN MENENGAH SERTA DAMPAKNYA TERHADAP KESEJAHTERAAN KEUANGAN**

👤 Niken Safitri, Indra Permadi, Eva Fathussyaadah

📄 1203-1214

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

**EFFECT OF EMPLOYEE SATISFACTION AND DISCIPLINE LEVEL OF WORK ACHIEVEMENT OF BENOWO DISTRICT EMPLOYEES CITY OF SURABAYA**

👤 Rohmah Kurniawati

📄 1215-1241

📄 pdf

**ANALISIS FAKTOR-FAKTOR YANG MEMPENGARUHI LOYALITAS PELANGGAN DENGAN KEPUASAN PELANGGAN SEBAGAI MEDIASI PADA RESTORAN CEPAT SAJI DI KOTA BATAM**

👤 Jeffryanto Jeffryanto, Yuli Indah Fajar Dini

📄 1225-1252

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

**THE INFLUENCE OF SEGMENTING, TARGETING AND POSITIONING STRATEGIES ON PURCHASE DECISIONS AT ZHALSA.ID STORES IN LHOKSUKON CITY**

👤 T Edyansyah, Juni Ahyar, Rico Nur Ilham, Chalirafi Chalirafi, Ikramuddin Ikramuddin

📄 1253-1262

📄 pdf

**ANALISIS BAURAN PEMASARAN TERHADAP KEPUTUSAN KONSUMEN DALAM PEMBELIAN SONGKET DI KOTA SOLOK DENGAN PROMOSI SEBAGAI VARIABEL INTERVENING**

👤 Eka Hendrayani

📄 1263-1282

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)



**AN ANALYSIS OF DIFFERENCES IN HOTEL, RESTAURANT AND ENTERTAINMENT TAX RECEIPTS BEFORE AND DURING THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC**

👤 Nunik Lestari Dewi, Ita Salsalina Lingga, Suying Sumantri

📄 1283-1292

📄 pdf

**PENGARUH INFLUENCER, HARGA DAN KUALITAS PRODUK SKINCARE SCARLETT WHITENING TERHADAP KEPUTUSAN PEMBELI GENERASI Z, DKI JAKARTA**

👤 Elvina Recha Anjani, Virgo Simamora

📄 1293-1308

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

**ANALISIS PERBANDINGAN KINERJA KEUANGAN SEBELUM DAN SAAT PANDEMI COVID-19 (STUDI KASUS PADA PERUSAHAAN PROPERTI YANG TERDAFTAR DI BURSA EFEK INDONESIA (BEI))**

STUDI KASUS PADA PERUSAHAAN PROPERTI YANG TERDAFTAR DI BURSA EFEK INDONESIA

👤 Khoirunnisa Az-Zahra, Irni Yunita

📄 1309-1318

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

**IMPLEMENTASI STRATEGI PEMASARAN HANDICRAFT BAGI UMKM DI BANYUWANGI**

👤 Dilla Cattleyana, Khoirun Drasti Kirana Putri

📄 1319-1334

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

**STUDI KELAYAKAN FINANSIAL RENCANA PEMBANGUNAN GEDUNG KULIAH SIDOTOPO UNIVERSITAS TIDAR PADA TAHUN 2022**

👤 Gentur Jalunggono, Hanung Eka Atmaja, Anis Rakhmawati

📄 1335-1343

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

**UTILIZATION OF DIGITAL TECHNOLOGY IN DEVELOPING MARKETING STRATEGIES FOR MSME PRODUCTS**

👤 Faisal Hasbullah Batubara

📄 1344-1355

📄 pdf

**CORPORATE GOVERNANCE, BONUS MECHANISM AND TUNNELING INCENTIVES' INFLUENCE ON TRANSFER PRICING PRACTICES**

👤 Ita Salsalina Lingga, Debbianita Debbianita, I Nyoman Agus Wijaya, Clairine Angelica Riona Saputra

📄 1356-1379

📄 pdf

**ANALYSIS OF THE APPLICATION OF PSAK 106 AT BPRS HAJI MISKIN PANDAI SIKEK, TANAH DATAR REGENCY**

👤 Tartilla Devy

📄 1380-1391

📄 pdf

**PENGARUH INTERNAL AUDITOR, SUBSIDIARIES, UKURAN KAP DAN UKURAN PERUSAHAAN TERHADAP AUDIT DELAY PADA PERUSAHAAN MANUFAKTUR YANG TERDAFTAR DI BEI PERIODE 2018-2020**

👤 Vonny Valencia, Fransisca Angeline, Richie Rivaldi Halim, Enda Noviyanti Simorangkir

📄 1392-1406

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

#### **PENGARUH KEPUASAN KERJA DAN KOMPENSASI TERHADAP INTENTION TO STAY**

STUDI KASUS PENGEMUDI GOJEK DI KOTA BANDUNG

Anton Budi Santoso, Rilfa Yuliantika

1407-1422

 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

#### **BIBLIOMETRIC ANALYSIS ON AUDITOR PERFORMANCE RESEARCH**

Izzatul Jannah, Rindang Widuri

1423-1432

 pdf

#### **DAMPAK Kecerdasan Emosional, Inovasi dan Budaya Kerja dalam Meningkatkan Kinerja Karyawan di Startup E-commerce XYZ**

Adrie Frans Assa

1433-1449

 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

#### **STRATEGI BISNIS DAN PROGRAM FUNGSIONAL PADA USAHA ROTI DENGAN PENDEKATAN ANALISIS DAN MATRIKS SWOT**

Rina Djunita Pasaribu, Adelia Nadya Pertiwi, Zharfan Inzaghi Sugiharto

1450-1465

 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

#### **ANALISIS PENGARUH KUALITAS PRODUK, HARGA, DISTRIBUSI DAN MEREK TERHADAP MINAT BELI KONSUMEN**

Iqbal Zahara Firdaus, Purwoko Purwoko, Rai Rake Setyawan

1466-1478

 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

#### **PENGARUH KUALITAS AUDIT TERHADAP BIAYA MODAL PERUSAHAAN: KASUS PERUSAHAAN DI BURSA EFEK INDONESIA**

Androni Susanto, Fransiska Fransiska

1479-1497

 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

#### **PENGEMBANGAN PEMASARAN DIGITAL DAN PELAPORAN KEUANGAN SENTRA INDUSTRI BREM KALIABU KABUPATEN MADIUN**

Denty Arista, Putri Nugrahaningsih, Labbaika Dwi Ayu Rahmawati, Zaim Arif Eko Saputra

1498-1510

 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

#### **PENGARUH TAX RISK DAN TAX AVOIDANCE TERHADAP COST OF DEBT PADA PERUSAHAAN PERBANKAN YANG TERDAFTAR PADA BEI TAHUN 2018-2021**

Anissa Graciella Sagala, Judith Tagal Gallena Sinaga

1511-1530

 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

#### **KEPEMIMPINAN TRANSFORMASIONAL, KOMPENSASI FINANSIAL, LINGKUNGAN KERJA FISIK TERHADAP KINERJA PEKAWAI DI SMK MUHAMMADIYAH 2 WATES**

Hapsari Dyah Herdiany, Eva Puspa, Chandra Wisnu Utomo, Pradita Nindya Aryandha, Latifah Putranti

1531-1547

 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

**PENGARUH LEVERAGE DAN CAPITAL INTENSITY TERHADAP AGRESIVITAS PAJAK PADA SUBSEKTOR KIMIA YANG TERDAFTAR DI BEI**

👤 Kasir Kasir, Devyanthi Syarif

📄 1548-1560

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

**DO PERSONALITIES AND MOTIVATION AFFECT JOB PERFORMANCE? AN EVIDENCE FROM A RURAL BANK IN CENTRAL JAVA**

👤 Kurniawan Aji Prabowo, Praptini Yulianti

📄 1561-1581

📄 pdf

**PUBLIC PERCEPTION OF RENEWABLE ENERGY IN INDONESIA: IMPLICATION FOR COMMUNICATION STRATEGY**

👤 Mila Viendyasari, Mohammad Syukri, Erkarta Yandri, Devie Rahmawati

📄 1582-1596

📄 pdf

**ANALISIS FAKTOR YANG MEMPENGARUHI RESISTENSI PENGGUNAAN APLIKASI LAYANAN PUBLIK**

STUDI: APLIKASI PEMBAYARAN SELULER

👤 Made Ayu Ranggita Madhyastha

📄 1597-1625

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

**PENGARUH PENGUNGKAPAN PAJAK SUKARELA TERHADAP KEPATUHAN PAJAK SUKARELA DENGAN KEADILAN PAJAK SEBAGAI VARIABEL PEMODERASI PADA KANTOR PELAYANAN PAJAK PRATAMA GARUT**

👤 Rustandi Rustandi, Yuniati Yuniati

📄 1626-1637

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

**PENGARUH INDEPENDENSI, KOMPETENSI, TIME BUDGET PRESSURE DAN PENGALAMAN KERJA TERHADAP KUALITAS AUDIT**

STUDI KASUS PADA INSPEKTORAT PROVINSI PAPUA TAHUN 2022

👤 Amanda Ratu Grace Hutagaol, Sri Rahayu

📄 1638-1653

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

**LEVERAGE, FREE CASH FLOW DAN PROFITABILITAS TERHADAP MANAJEMEN LABA DENGAN ENVIRONMENTAL, SOCIAL AND GOVERNANCE (ESG) SEBAGAI VARIABEL MODERASI**

👤 Oktavianti Oktavianti, Enny Prayogo

📄 1654-1665

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

**ANALISIS PENGARUH PENERAPAN PSAK 73 TERHADAP KINERJA KEUANGAN PADA PERUSAHAAN BUMN SUB SEKTOR JASA KONSTRUKSI YANG TERDAFTAR DI BURSA EFEK INDONESIA TAHUN 2019 DAN 2020**

👤 Ira Phajar Lestari, Indah Lestari, Bertilia Lina Kusrina, Sri Sapto Darmawati

📄 1666-1688

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

**TANTANGAN UMKM DALAM MEMASUKI PEMASARAN DIGITAL DI MASA PANDEMI COVID-19**

👤 Riza M. Yunus

📄 1689-1698

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

**THE ROLE OF FISCAL POLICY IN BUILDING DEMOCRACY AND OVERCOMING ECONOMIC RECESSION IN INDONESIA**

👤 Muhammad Istan

📄 1699-1712

📄 pdf

**KONTRIBUSI KEPUASAN SEBAGAI PEMEDIASI DALAM MENJELASKAN PENGARUH KOMPENSASI, KEPEMIMPINAN, DAN TEKNOLOGI INFORMASI TERHADAP KINERJA KARYAWAN**

👤 Muhammad Ilham, Rabihatun Idris, Muhammad Tafsir, Abdul Kadir, Buyamin Buyamin

📄 1713-1731

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

**INOVASI PRODUK EMPING MLINJO UNTUK KEBERLANGSUNGAN BISNIS PADA UMKM "KONCONE NGEMIL" DI NGORESAN SURAKARTA**

👤 Labbaika Dwi Ayu Rahmawati, Putri Nugrahaningsih, Denty Arista, Zaim Arif Eko Saputra

📄 1732-1747

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

**DETERMINAN DAN OUTCOME BRAND ENGAGEMENT IN SELF-CONCEPT (BESC) PRODUK SEPATU LOKAL INDONESIA**

👤 Whinarko Whinarko

📄 1767-1790

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

**PENERAPAN ANALISIS SWOT DALAM MENENTUKAN STRATEGI DUKUNGAN TEKNOLOGI INFORMASI DAN KOMUNIKASI OLEH BIRO INFORMASI DAN TEKNOLOGI KEMENTERIAN SEKRETARIAT NEGARA DI ERA PANDEMI COVID-19**

👤 Maureen Sadhana, Eko Prasjojo

📄 1748-1766

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)



**PENGUNAAN MANAJEMEN TALENTA PADA MANAJEMEN SUMBER DAYA MANUSIA SEBAGAI STRATEGI ORGANISASI**

👤 Rofiah Rofiah, Silvia Avira, Budiasih Budiasih, Sri Kurniasih Agustin

📄 1791-1801

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

**ANALISIS SWOT PENGGUNAAN MEDIA ONLINE INSTAGRAM SEBAGAI ALAT PROMOSI DI CAFE KOPIPAPI JAKARTA SELATAN**

👤 Joko Edwin Saputra, Anisa Putri Kusumaningrum

📄 1802-1818

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

**ANALISIS KINERJA KEUANGAN ANGGARAN DAN PENDAPATAN BELANJA DAERAH PADA PEMERINTAHAN PROVINSI KEPULAUAN RIAU SEBELUM PANDEMI DAN SAAT PANDEMI COVID-19 TAHUN 2019-2021**

👤 Dyah Palupi, Dassaad Dassaad, Riyanti Riyanti, Budi Wahyudi Wahyudi

📄 1819-1832

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

**ANALISIS BIBLIOMETRIK ASIMETRI INFORMASI PADA PASAR SAHAM**

BIBLIOMETRIC ANALYSIS OF INFORMATION ASYMMETRY ON THE STOCK MARKET

👤 Yasinta Dewi Umi Latifah, Teguh Sriwidjayanto

📄 1833-1853

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

**HUMAN CAPITAL AS A SOURCE OF STRATEGY FOR ACHIEVING ORGANIZATIONAL PERFORMANCE**

👤 Hasanah Hasanah, Sunarti Sunarti

📄 1854-1863

📄 pdf



**FAKTOR YANG MEMPENGARUHI KUNJUNGAN KEMBALI WISATAWAN TERHADAP HOTEL DI KOTA BATAM**

👤 Aurelia Wijaya, Oda Haryanto

📅 1864-1881

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

**ADOPSI PAYLATTER PADA GENERASI Z: MENGINTEGRASI MODEL PENERIMAAN UTAUT2**

👤 Kabul Triflyanto

📅 1882-1899

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

**ANALISIS EFEKTIVITAS DAN KONTRIBUSI PAJAK DAERAH SERTA RETRIBUSI DAERAH TERHADAP PENDAPATAN ASLI DAERAH PROVINSI BALI PERIODE 2016-2020**

👤 Mulatsih Mulatsih, Budi Utami, Irfan Ardiansyah, Christera Kuswahyu Indira

📅 1900-1911

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

**CAN WOM (WORD OF MOUTH) BEAT E-WOM (ELECTRONIC-WORD OF MOUTH) ON THE DECISION TO VISIT AMPERA 2 TAK RESTAURANT, BINTARO BRANCH?**

👤 Nurbaeti Nurbaeti, Himawan Brahmantyo

📅 1912-1920

📄 pdf

**PENGARUH CEO TENURE, MULTINASIONAL COMPANY, INTENSITAS ASET TETAP DAN UKURAN PERUSAHAAN TERHADAP TAX AVOIDANCE**

👤 Nina Nursida, Yolanda Pratami, Raja Ade Fitasari M

📅 1921-1940

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

**THE ROLE OF MACRO-MONETARY POLICY ON ISLAMIC BANKING STABILITY IN THE BUSINESS CYCLE IN INDONESIA: STUDY OF KEYNES'S THEORY AND IBN KHALDUN'S THEORY**

👤 Yudi Yudi

📅 1941-1960

📄 pdf

**DAMPAK PENJUALAN, BIAYA PRODUKSI DAN PAJAK PENGHASILAN TERHADAP LABA BERSIH PERUSAHAAN REAL ESTATE DAN PROPERTY YANG TERDAFTAR DI BURSA EFEK INDONESIA PERIODE 2018-2021**

👤 Latersia Br Gurusinga, Willy Chandra

📅 1961-1970

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

**EVALUATION FACTORS OF BOAT ACCIDENTS IN THE MUSI RIVER WATERS, INDONESIA**

👤 Surnata Surnata, Febriansyah Febriansyah, Ferdinand Pusriansyah, Monica Amanda, Destria Rahmita

📅 1971-1984

📄 pdf

**PENGARUH SPECIALIZATION INDUSTRIAL AUDITOR, EXPERIENCE AUDITOR, CONTINUING PROFESSIONAL EDUCATION TERHADAP AUDIT REPORT LAG DENGAN EFFECTIVENESS OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE SEBAGAI VARIABEL MODERASI**

PADA PERUSAHAAN BURSA EFEK INDONESIA, SEKTOR USAHA PERDAGANGAN, JASA DAN INVESTASI PERIODE 2016 S.D 2019

👤 Aliah Ghina, Sugiono Paulus, Devianti Yunita Harahap

📅 1985-2007

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

#### PENGARUH DIGITAL MARKETING TERHADAP MINAT BELI KONSUMEN

👤 Penny Az-Zahra, Arti Sukmalengkawati

📅 2008-2018

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

#### PENGARUH LOKASI, KUALITAS PELAYANAN DAN PERSEPSI HARGA TERHADAP KEPUASAN KONSUMEN KEDAI KOPI JANJI JIWA JILID 667 TOMOHON

👤 Joanita Pio, Nova Ch Mamuaya, Stefani Angmalisang

📅 2019-2030

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

#### PENGARUH PERENCANAAN PAJAK, BEBAN PAJAK TANGGUHAN DAN STRUKTUR MODAL TERHADAP NILAI PERUSAHAAN PADA PERUSAHAAN SEKTOR PERKEBUNAN YANG TERDAFTAR DI BEI PERIODE 2017-2021

👤 Vera Vianna, Yusnaini Yusnaini

📅 2031-2042

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

#### BLOCKCHAIN TECHNOLOGY IN THE DIGITAL MARKETING SECTOR

👤 Nico Djundharto Djajasinga, Bagus Setya Rintyarna, Siti Nurhayati, Saida Zainurossalamia ZA, Intan Nurrachmi

📅 2043-2054

📄 pdf

#### THE INFLUENCES OF FLASH SALE PROMOTION ON SHOPPING ENJOYMENT AND IMPULSE BUYING OF SHOPEE CONSUMERS INDONESIA

👤 Intan Nur Azizah, Indrawati Indrawati

📅 2055-2072

📄 pdf

#### DAMPAK KARAKTERISTIK KOMITE AUDIT, KONEKSI POLITIK DAN KARAKTERISTIK DEWAN DIREKSI TERHADAP BIAYA MODAL EKUITAS

👤 Erna Wati, Selfina Selfina

📅 2084-2103

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

#### PERAN SIKAP KEPEMIMPINAN PADA KUALITAS KERJA KARYAWAN MELALUI KOMPENSASI KERJA

Studi kasus pada PT. Laris Abadi Indonesia

👤 Bq. Risna Amelia Jannati, H. Achmad Sani Supriyanto

📅 2104-2121

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

#### PENGARUH RETURN ON INVESTMENT, CURRENT RATIO, DEBT TO EQUITY RATIO, EARNING PER SHARE DAN FIRM SIZE TERHADAP DIVIDEND PAYOUT RATIO PADA BANK ASING YANG TERDAFTAR DI BURSA EFEK INDONESIA PERIODE 2017-2021

👤 Angela Angela, Johny Budiman

📅 2122-2135

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

#### PENGARUH STRUKTUR MODAL TERHADAP HARGA SAHAM

STUDI PADA PERUSAHAAN SEKTOR LOGAM DAN MINERAL YANG TERDAFTAR DI BEI PERIODE 2016-2018

👤 Yeti Rosita

📅 2136-2147

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

**FAKTOR YANG MEMPENGARUHI PURCHASE DECISION KONSUMEN YANG MENGGUNAKAN PLATFORM TOKOPEDIA**

👤 Cavin Giovani, Margaretha Pink Berlianto

📄 2148-2170

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

**CONTENT ON SPORTS PRODUCT INDUSTRY WEBSITES: DIGITAL MARKETING ANALYSIS**

👤 Ayu Angelina Pasaribu, Agus Ruhimat, Agus Dedi Subagja, Ilham Kamaruddin, Reza Saeful Rachman

📄 2073-2083

📄 pdf

**TINJAUAN KEBIJAKAN PENETAPAN HARGA PRODUK PADA KONSUMEN KANTOR POS BANDUNG (40111)**

👤 Desy Oktaviani, Cyntia Winda

📄 2171-2182

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

**PENGARUH GAYA BELAJAR TERHADAP HASIL BELAJAR TARUNA ANGKATAN XXXII DI POLTEKTRANS SDP PALEMBANG**

👤 Siti Nurlaili Triwahyuni, Paulina M Latuheru, Elfita Agustina, Donny Afrizal Melayu, Elza Putri Octavia

📄 2183-2195

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

**ANALYSIS THE INFLUENCE OF INTELLECTUAL CAPITAL ON THE PERFORMANCE OF INDONESIAN COMPANIES**

👤 Owen Owen, Hesniati Hesniati

📄 2148-2163

📄 pdf

**PENGUNAAN MEDIA SOSIAL SEBAGAI ALTERNATIF STRATEGI PEMASARAN PARA PELAKU USAHA MIKRO KECIL DAN MENENGAH**

👤 Esti Tri Endarwati, Sari Mustika Widyastuti, Desfitriana Desfitriana

📄 2164-2171

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

**STRATEGI PENINGKATAN KEMAMPUAN KOMUNIKASI INDUSTRI MARITIM BAGI TARUNA POLITEKNIK TRANSPORTASI SUNGAI, DANAU, DAN PENYEBERANGAN PALEMBANG**

👤 Oktrianti Diani, Slamet Prasetyo, Hari Arkani, Dewi Kartikasari, Ayatullah Ryanto Akbar

📄 2172-2183

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

**PENGARUH SELF EFFICACY TERHADAP JOB PERFORMANCE GURU DENGAN JOB SATISFACTION SEBAGAI VARIABEL INTERVENING**

👤 Nor Laila Lamidi, M.Irfan Tarmizi, Djoko Hananto

📄 2184-2200

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

**TINJAUAN PENGOPERASIAN TERMINAL KHUSUS PADA WILAYAH KERJA TANJUNG API-API**

👤 Sri Kartini, Sri Kelana, Santoso Santoso, Maria H.F Marpaung, Khoirunnisa Khoirunnisa

📄 2201-2209

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

**KONTRIBUSI KEHARMONISAN KEHIDUPAN DAN KERJA, AREA KERJA DAN GAJI PADA KEPUASAN PEGAWAI**

👤 Ilham Ilham, Ismail Ismail

📄 2210-2219

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

**THE EFFECT OF SERVICE QUALITY AND PRICE ON CUSTOMER SATISFACTION AND ITS IMPACT ON THE LOYALTY OF SIANTAR EXPRESS TRAIN CUSTOMERS**

👤 Khadijah Nurani, Rika Widianita, Ariyun Anisah

📄 2220-2237

📄 pdf

**PENGARUH PROFITABILITAS, LEVERAGE DAN BEBAN PAJAK TANGGUHAN TERHADAP MANAJEMEN LABA**

👤 Vanessa Chandra, Jhon Raphael Saragih

📄 2238-2256

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

**MENINGKATKAN AKSESIBILITAS PENCATATAN LAPORAN KEUANGAN MENGGUNAKAN APLIKASI JURNAL.ID PADA USAHA TAS**

👤 Indri Utami, Ayl Astuti, Nugraha Pranadita, Zulpa Lailathus Sa'adah, Nanda Alifiah Riyadi

📄 2257-2271

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

**KOMUNIKASI ANTARPRIBADI ORANG TUA DAN ANAK REMAJA DALAM MENGATASI KECEMASAN MEDIA TIKTOK**

👤 Ade Amaliah, Rita Destiwati

📄 2272-2279

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)

**PENGARUH MEDIA SOSIAL INSTAGRAM DAN KUALITAS PELAYANAN TERHADAP KEPUTUSAN PEMBELIAN**

STUDI KASUS PADA ARTOMORO MOTOR CIMAHI

👤 Alfian Fahmi Pangestu Ramadhan, Fansuri Munawar

📄 2286-2309

📄 pdf (Bahasa Indonesia)



## **CORPORATE GOVERNANCE, BONUS MECHANISM AND TUNNELING INCENTIVES' INFLUENCE ON TRANSFER PRICING PRACTICES**

**Ita Salsalina Lingga<sup>1</sup>; Debbianita<sup>2\*</sup>; I Nyoman Agus Wijaya<sup>3</sup>; Clairine Angelica  
Riona Saputra<sup>4</sup>**

Faculty of Business, Maranatha Christian University, Indonesia<sup>1,2,3,4</sup>  
Email : debbianita@gmail.com\*

### **ABSTRACT**

This study aims to analyze the effect of corporate governance, bonus mechanisms, and tunneling incentive on transfer pricing. The sample of this study consists of manufacturing sector companies listed on the Stock Exchange between 2015 and 2019 that have submitted a complete financial report during the observation period. The data analysis technique used is multiple linear regression. Based on the results of hypothesis testing, it can be concluded that corporate governance, bonus mechanisms, and tunneling incentives have significant effect on transfer pricing.

**Keywords :** Corporate Governance; Bonus Mechanism; Tunneling Incentive; Transfer Pricing

### **INTRODUCTION**

Taxes are the main source of state revenue even in some developing countries. Taxes contribute more than 80% of Indonesia's total state income. Meanwhile, many businesses attempt to reduce or even eliminate their tax obligations, one of which is through transfer pricing practices. The current development of the digital economy has altered the business strategies of multinational enterprises (MNEs), which has an impact on transfer pricing practices. The state's lack of foresight in anticipating the growth of this type of business creates opportunities for the practice of base erosion and profit shifting (BEPS), which is used to pay small amounts of taxes or no taxes at all. Tax avoidance, which is frequently practiced by multinational corporations, is a transfer pricing scheme that violates the arm's length principle.

Transfer pricing is a well-known issue in the field of taxation, particularly in international transactions involving multinational enterprises. This practice has become a global issue, undermining many countries' taxation systems. Manipulation of transfer pricing is a worldwide issue. The presence of corporate entities in the group operating in different countries creates a gap for companies to benefit from different tax systems. Toyota Manufacturing, for example, has long been a target of the Directorate General of Taxes because it has used transactions between affiliated companies at home and abroad to avoid paying taxes, namely by transferring excess profits from one country to another



with a lower tax rate (tax haven). Expenses are transferred by manipulating prices arbitrarily. The tax apparatus must focus on affiliates (associated enterprises) and the principle of fairness in the transaction in the practice of transfer pricing (Mispiyanti, 2015).

The practice of tax avoidance through transfer pricing is mostly carried out by large companies such as Amazon, Google, Apple, IKEA, Starbucks, and so on. The practice of tax avoidance can basically be carried out by all companies in accordance with applicable tax regulations or is legal. However, if tax avoidance activities have reached a large scale and there is fraud, the activity is classified as tax evasion.

From the government's perspective, transfer pricing is thought to result in a reduction or loss of a country's potential tax revenue because multinational enterprises tend to shift their tax obligations from countries with high tax rates to countries with low tax rates. Meanwhile, from a business standpoint, transfer pricing is thought to be an effective strategy for winning the competition for limited resources, particularly for multinational enterprises. Companies typically try to reduce costs (cost efficiency), which includes lowering corporate income tax payments.

One of the government's efforts to limit the space for taxpayers to engage in tax avoidance is through tax regulations issued by the government in PMK No. 213/PMK.03/2016 (PMK 213). The regulation addresses the types of documents and/or additional information that taxpayers who conduct transactions with related parties must keep, as well as the procedures for managing them. This will strengthen previous regulations related to the application of transfer pricing schemes for companies that have transactions with special relationships (related transactions). In practice, there are frequently differences in interpretation between taxpayers and tax examiners regarding the implementation of these regulations, resulting in a large number of tax disputes in the tax courts. According to Ministry of Finance data, tax dispute files totaled 12,882 in 2019, a significant increase from 7,813 in 2018.

Based on the context of this issue, the researcher is interested in conducting additional research titled "Corporate Governance, Bonus Mechanism, and Tunneling Incentives' Influence on Transfer Pricing Practices (a Survey of Manufacture Companies Listed on IDX from 2015 to 2019)."

### **Problem Formulation**

This study was conducted to analyze the effect of Corporate Governance, Bonus Mechanism, and Tunneling Incentives on Transfer Pricing Practices based on the phenomena and results of previous empirical studies. As a result, the researchers identified the following research problems:

1. How is the influence of Corporate Governance on Transfer Pricing Practices?
2. How is the influence of the Bonus Mechanism on Transfer Pricing Practices?
3. How is the influence of Tunneling Incentive on Transfer Pricing Practices?

### **Research Objectives**

This study aims to respond to the above-mentioned problem identification, specifically:

1. To identify the extent to which Corporate Governance has an impact on Transfer Pricing Practices.
2. To identify the extent to which the Bonus Mechanism influences Transfer Pricing Practices.
3. To identify the extent to which Corporate Governance has an impact on Transfer Pricing Practices.

### **Research Benefits**

The findings of this study are expected to make the following theoretical and practical contributions:

#### **1. Theoretical Contribution**

The findings of this study are expected to help future researchers understand the concept of transfer pricing in relation to taxation, specifically how to analyze the factors that influence taxpayers to use transfer pricing.

#### **2. Practical Contribution**

This study has direct relevance to academic and practical fields, particularly taxation studies, in that it identifies the factors that encourage the practice of tax avoidance through transfer pricing.

#### **3. Contribution to Financial Services Authority (OJK) and the Directorate General of Taxes.**

The findings of this study are expected to inform the OJK and the Directorate General of Taxes' investigation of the factors that influence taxpayers to use transfer pricing.

## LITERATURE REVIEW AND HYPOTHESIS DEVELOPMENT

### Agency Theory

Agency theory is a model used in the formulation of problems that arise between the principal and the agent, specifically the agency conflict that arises as a result of information asymmetry between the owner and company manager, in which individual goals tend to be prioritized by managers over company goals. This means that agency conflict arises as a result of competing interests between management and shareholders.

Shareholders or principals, as company owners, do not run their own company but hire several people (agents) to run the company's operations by delegating decision-making authority to them. Jensen and Meckling (1976) explain that there is an agreement that occurs between the principal and the agent in which the agent is hired to work according to the needs of the principal and is given decision-making authority. Each management performance result will be submitted to the principal in the form of a report, one of which will be the financial report. Management will have more information than the principal as a result of the delegation of authority to the agent. This encourages shareholders (principals) to incur agency costs in the context of monitoring any actions taken by management (agents), so that management does not take actions based solely on personal interests.

Shareholders as principals in principle want to get large profits on their investments in the company. This forces management to always provide good performance and generate large profits. The pressure to always provide large profits causes management to act in a variety of ways, including attempting to keep the company's tax burden as low as possible. Tax avoidance refers to efforts to reduce the tax burden that are carried out by exploiting loopholes in tax provisions. This is accomplished by engaging in transactions that result in a low tax burden, one of which is transfer pricing.

### Corporate Governance

Corporate Governance is intended to perform the function of supervising every decision made by management as well as taxation management. Corporate governance can have an impact on how a company's policies are implemented in terms of meeting tax obligations (Santoso & Muid, 2014). The level of tax compliance will be higher if the company's governance is good (Sartori, 2010). The agency problem arises from the conflict of interests between shareholders (principal) and management (agent). This

problem can be mitigated by increasing shareholder oversight through the implementation of good corporate governance.

The external supervision of the company is one of the internal control mechanisms in corporate governance, while the Independent Commissioner is one of the external mechanisms. The Board of Commissioners is in charge of overseeing the board of directors' policies for running the company and advising the board of directors. In addition, independent commissioners have a responsibility to the interests of shareholders, so they will fight for corporate tax compliance and can prevent tax avoidance practices (Harto & Puspita, 2014). Companies with good management will always prioritize all policies that can provide benefits for the company's sustainability. The policies taken will prioritize long-term benefits for the company.

Independent commissioners play an important role in the company, serving as supervisors and directing the company to follow applicable regulations. Independent commissioners act as go-betweens for company management and owners when making strategic or policy decisions in order to avoid violating applicable regulations, which includes tax decisions. Independent commissioners play a significant role in determining the level of corporate tax payments. Independent commissioners are in charge of ensuring that the company complies with all applicable laws and regulations. The more independent commissioners there are, the more stringent the supervision of agents will be. It is expected that with increased oversight from independent commissioners, the effective tax rate will be as appropriate (Suyanto, 2012).

Furthermore, the audit committee is formed by the company's board of commissioners, and its members are appointed and dismissed by the board. The audit committee is a separate committee tasked with overseeing the process of preparing the company's financial statements in order to prevent management fraud. The audit committee also provides input on issues concerning the company's financial, accounting, and internal control policies (Diantari & Ulupui, 2016). The audit committee's role in good corporate governance is to ensure that the company operates in accordance with applicable laws, conducts its business ethically, and effectively monitors for conflicts of interest and fraud committed by company employees. Tighter oversight of a company's management will result in quality information and effective performance (Hanum & Zulaikha, 2013).

Several principles must be followed when implementing this governance, including:

1. Transparency

Any relevant information about the company must be made transparent to stakeholders. Material information should be easily accessible.

2. Responsibility

All company decisions and policies must be transparently accounted for. Companies should be properly managed, with every action taken in the best interests of stockholders and other stakeholders in mind. In order for the company to run sustainably, the company must always comply with all regulations and carry out responsibilities to the community where the company operates.

3. Independency

Management must run the company independently. Intervention from various parties can have a negative impact on the company because differences in interests will be higher so that it can interfere with efforts to achieve company goals.

4. Fairness

Companies must always consider the interests of all stakeholders, including stockholders. To ensure the company's long-term viability, equality and fairness to all stakeholders must be implemented.

Good corporate governance is required to anticipate the company's many tax avoidance practices in order to avoid fraudulent actions that will have a negative impact on the company's performance. Thus, the following is the first hypothesis proposed in this study:

H1: Corporate Governance has an effect on transfer pricing practices.

### **Bonus Mechanism**

The bonus mechanism is a component of calculating the amount of bonuses given by company owners or shareholders to members of the board of directors who are deemed to have good performance through the GMS (Hartati, 2014). Purwanti (2010) stated that *tantien*/bonus is an appreciation given by company owners to managers if the company's profit targets are met, and Refgia (2017) stated that *tantien*/bonus is an award given by the GMS to members of the board of directors every year if the company earns a profit. Furthermore, Irpan (2011), states that the bonus mechanism is a



method of providing compensation other than salary based on the results and work performance of the directors in question.

The mechanism for awarding bonuses will have an impact on management's profits in engineering. Managers tend to maximize net income in order to maximize bonuses. In other words, this bonus compensation system may induce actors, particularly company managers, to engineer the company's financial statements in order to receive the maximum bonus. Given that the bonus mechanism is based on profit, it stands to reason that the directors whose remuneration is based on profit will devise strategies to maximize bonus receipts and remuneration in the coming year. In other words, the bonus mechanism will have an impact on how the company conducts transfer pricing.

Hartati et al. (2014) conducted several studies on the effect of the bonus mechanism on transfer pricing decisions, concluding that the bonus mechanism had an effect on transfer pricing. According to Hartati et al. (2014), when the bonus is based on profit, it is natural for directors to try to regulate and manipulate profits in order to maximize the bonuses and remuneration they receive. This means that the company's owner will use the company's overall profit achievement to evaluate the work performance of its directors, and the directors will try to increase the company's overall profit as much as possible by implementing transfer pricing practices. Furthermore, Lo et al. (2010) discovered that bonuses have a positive effect on reported company earnings by increasing current period profits, one of which is through transfer pricing practices. The bonus mechanism motivates management to transfer pricing and creates a conflict of interest between management, who wants a bonus, and shareholders. One way to get bonuses is to save taxes through transfer pricing (Saifudin and Putri, 2018). On the other hand, research conducted by Pramana (2014) and Mispiyanti (2015) shows that the bonus mechanism has no effect on transfer pricing. As a result, the following is the second hypothesis proposed in this study:

H2: The bonus mechanism has an effect on transfer pricing practices.

### ***Tunelling Incentive***

Tunneling is the act of the controlling shareholder of transferring the company's assets and profits while the minority shareholder bears the cost of the transfer even though the transfer only benefits the controlling shareholder. (Mutamimah, 2009). Aharony et al. (2010) stated the same thing, that tunneling is an act of transferring

company assets and profits for the benefit of controlling shareholders who control minority shareholders. Tunneling emerged as a result of an agency conflict between the majority and minority shareholders.

Tunneling can be accomplished by conducting transactions with companies that have a relationship with the majority shareholder, which is accomplished by charging exorbitant prices, failing to distribute dividends, and appointing family members to important positions in the company despite the fact that they do not meet the qualifications (La Porta, et al. 2000). Transactions between related parties are used to transfer other current assets out of the company at inflated prices to benefit the controlling shareholder. Tunneling can be accomplished by purchasing goods or services above fair value and selling goods or services below fair value. Tunneling incentive refers to an incentive obtained through the transfer of company assets and profits by the majority shareholder, but the minority shareholder also bears the burden.

Transfer pricing mode is used for tax avoidance by manipulating the transaction price charged between companies with a special relationship in order to reduce the total tax burden payable. The company's transfer pricing decision can be seen from the special relationship between companies or called tunneling incentive. The controlling shareholder engages in tunneling activities in order to temporarily transfer assets to members or subsidiaries in order to reduce expenses and, as a result, reduce company profits.

According to Gilson and Gordon (2003), majority shareholders take several steps to obtain personal benefits, including control of the company's operating policies such as dividends, bonuses, salaries, and benefits, as well as steps to obtain personal benefits through contractual policies, such as tunneling. If more tunneling activities are carried out, transfer pricing activities will also increase and vice versa. In other words, tunneling affects transfer pricing practices.

Several tunneling incentive studies conducted by Yuniasih et al. (2012), Pramana (2014), Syamsuddin (2014), Marfuah & Azizah (2014), Tan (2014), Mispiyanti (2015), and Noviasitika et al. (2016) demonstrate that tunneling incentives have a positive effect on transfer pricing practices. In other words, it is possible to conclude that the majority shareholder will act in ways that maximize profits while sacrificing the rights of

minority shareholders. One way is by transfer pricing (Pramana, 2014). Thus, the following is the third hypothesis proposed in this study:

H3: Tunneling incentive has a positive effect on transfer pricing practices.

### **Transfer Pricing**

Transfer pricing can occur as a result of a special relationship between companies in a multinational group of companies, allowing them to negotiate and work well together in determining transfer prices. One of the reasons companies use transfer pricing is to avoid paying taxes. High tax payments compel businesses to engage in tax avoidance, specifically transfer pricing. Multinational corporations with multiple branches in various countries tend to shift their tax obligations from countries with high tax rates to countries with low tax rates when engaging in transfer pricing activities.

Transfer pricing is the price paid for the sale of goods, services, and intangible assets to subsidiaries or related parties in different countries (Astuti, 2008:12). This means that the transfer price is the price charged by one subunit for a product or service supplied to another subunit in the same organization. Transfer pricing is basically the practice of setting prices for goods/services, both tangible and intangible, which is usually done by multinational enterprises to their fellow business group members. Multinational corporations seek to increase profits by minimizing the potential tax that must be paid by employing a transfer pricing scheme that violates the principles of fairness and business practice outlined in PER 32/PJ/2011. Therefore, transfer pricing disputes abound, one of which stems from the fact that the tax audit guidelines for transfer pricing schemes that serve as the reference still refer to the old regulations. As a result, the government must continue to update tax regulations in order to avoid an increase in tax dispute cases involving transfer pricing.

### **Hypothesis Development**

Based on the phenomena and results of previous studies, the hypotheses proposed in this study are as follows:

H<sub>1</sub>: *Corporate Governance has an effect on transfer pricing.*

H<sub>2</sub>: *Bonus mechanism has an effect on transfer pricing.*

H<sub>3</sub>: *Tunneling Incentive has an effect on transfer pricing.*

## **RESEARCH METHOD**

### **Research Object and Population**

The object of research is the variable to be examined in a study, it can be a concept from ordinary experience or something that is not concrete or abstract (Cooper & Schindler, 2013: 248). According to Kothari (2004:55), understanding the research population encompasses all aspects of a subject under investigation. This study's population consists of all manufacturing companies listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange between 2015 and 2019. The sample was determined using a non-probability sampling method with a purposive sampling technique.

The following are the sampling criteria used in this study:

1. Manufacturing firms that have provided annual reports to the Indonesia Stock Exchange on a consistent basis between 2015 and 2019;
2. Manufacturing companies were not delisted during the observation period;
3. Manufacturing companies that publish complete and consistent financial reports during the 2015-2019 research year;
4. Manufacturing companies under the control of foreign companies with ownership percentages of 20% or more;
5. Manufacturing companies have no negative profit/loss. Companies that incur losses mean they do not bear the tax burden;
6. Manufacturing firms that present financial reports and have complete information on the variables studied between 2015 and 2019.

### **Research methods**

Method is a method of work that can be used to obtain something. While the research method can be interpreted as a work procedure in the research process, both in searching for data or disclosing existing phenomena (Zulkarnaen, W., et al., 2020 :229). According to Kothari (2004:7-8), research methods are any methods or techniques used by researchers to conduct research. This study is quantitative in nature. The data source used is secondary data. Data was gathered using documentation techniques by accessing secondary sources such as [www.idx.co.id](http://www.idx.co.id), specifically data from manufacturing companies' annual reports from 2015 to 2019. The descriptive statistical test was used to test the data in this study. Furthermore, data was analyzed using the multiple regression method and then processed with the SPSS application.

### **Data Testing Method**

The data testing method used in this research is descriptive statistical test and multiple regression analysis. According to Ghozali (2018), descriptive statistical tests are statistics that provide a description or description of data seen from the average value (mean), standard deviation, variance, maximum, minimum, sum, range. Descriptive statistical tests were used to determine the strength of the relationship between variables using correlation analysis, to make predictions using regression analysis, and to compare sample or population averages. Furthermore, before analyzing the data, the traditional assumption tests, such as the normality test, multicollinearity test, heteroscedasticity test, and auto correlation test, were performed.

#### **Classic assumption test**

##### **a) Normality test**

The normality test determines whether the confounding variable or the residual variable in the regression model has a normal distribution. The nonparametric statistical test used in this study was the Kolmogorov Smirnov (K-S). If the significance probability  $> 0.05$  then the data distribution is normal, on the contrary if the significance value is  $< 0.05$  then the distribution is not normal (Ghozali, 2013: 98).

##### **b) Multicollinearity Test**

The multicollinearity test aims to test whether there is a high correlation between the independent variables in the regression model. A good regression model should not have a correlation between the independent variables. The multicollinearity test in this study was carried out by looking at the VIF (Variance Inflation Factors) and the Tolerance value. If the  $VIF > 10$  and the Tolerance value  $< 0.10$ , it indicates that multicollinearity symptoms exist (Ghozali, 2013: 106).

##### **c) Heteroscedasticity Test**

Heteroscedasticity test aims to determine whether in the regression model there is an inequality of variance from the residuals between one observation to another observation. A good regression model is one in which heteroscedasticity does not occur. To detect the presence or absence of heteroscedasticity, it is done by looking at the scatterplot graph. This method is done by looking at the scatterplot graph between ZPRED or the dependent variable and the SRESID or residual (Ghozali, 2018:138).



**d) Autocorrelation Test**

The auto-correlation test aims to determine whether there is a correlation between the confounding variables in a certain period and the confounding variables in the previous period. Autocorrelation arises because successive observations over time are related to each other. This problem arises because the residual is not independent from one observation to another. The autocorrelation test in this study was carried out using the Durbin-Watson test (DW test).

**Data analysis method**

Data analysis in this study used multiple regression method, which is a method to test the effect of two or more independent variables on the dependent variable. This analysis aims to test whether each independent variable is positively or negatively related. In addition, regression analysis is intended to predict the value of the dependent variable if the value of the independent variable increases or decreases (Ghozali, 2018). The accuracy of the regression function in estimating the actual value can be measured from its Goodness of fit, which is seen from the value of the coefficient of determination, the value of the F statistic and the value of the t statistic. The regression model in this study can be formulated as follows:

$$Y = a + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \beta_3 X_3 + e$$

Note :

Y	= Transfer Pricing
a	= Constant
X1	= Corporate Governance
X2	= Bonus Mechanism
X3	= Tunneling Incentive
e	= Variable outside the model (error)

**a) Corporate Governance (X1)**

Independent commissioners and audit committees, for example, can act as proxies for good corporate governance. Independent commissioners, as corporate organs, are collectively tasked and responsible for supervising and advising the board of directors, as well as ensuring that the company follows Good Corporate Governance practices (Hamdani, 2016:82). Independent commissioners are commissioners who are appointed from outside the company, do not own stock in the company, and have no direct or indirect relationship with the company's operations. This variable is calculated by

dividing the number of independent commissioners by the number of board of commissioners members (Bakri, 2008):

**Proportion of Independent Commissioners =**

$$\frac{\Sigma \text{ Independent Commissioner}}{\Sigma \text{ Member of the Board of Commissioners}} \times 100\%$$

The audit committee is the total number of audit committee members in a company. The audit committee in accordance with the rules set by BAPEPAM Kep-29/PM/2004 requires the audit committee to consist of at least 3 (three) members who are independent commissioners and parties from outside the issuer or public company chaired by an independent commissioner. The audit committee is measured by counting the number of audit committee members in a company (Wulansari, 2014) as follows:

<b>Audit Committee = Number of Audit Committee</b>
--

#### **b) Bonus Mechanism (X2)**

The bonus mechanism is a component of calculating the amount of bonuses given to members of the board of directors by company owners or shareholders through the GMS each year if they make a profit (Suryatiningsih, 2009). The bonus mechanism variable is measured using the Net Profit Trend Index formula (Irpan, 2010). The Net Profit Trend Index (ITRENDLB) is measured by:

$$\text{ITRENDLB} = \frac{\text{Net Profit Year } t}{\text{Net Profit Year } t-1} \times 100\%$$

#### **c) Tunneling Incentive (X3)**

Tunneling is the activity of transferring assets and profits out of the company for the benefit of the controlling shareholder of the company. The tunneling incentive variable in this study is based on the amount of foreign share ownership that exceeds 20%. An entity is considered to have a significant direct or indirect effect on other entities if it includes 20% or more capital based on Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (PSAK) No. 15. Tunneling incentive in this study is measured based on the amount of foreign share ownership of more than 20% divided by the number of outstanding shares, with the following formula (Saraswati and Sujana, 2017):

$$\text{Tunneling Incentive} = \frac{\text{The Largest Number of Shareholdings}}{\text{Number of shares outstanding}}$$

#### **d) Transfer Pricing (Y)**

Transfer pricing is a company policy that determines the transfer price of a transaction, whether it is for goods, services, intangible assets, or financial transactions between parties with a special relationship, in order to maximize profits. This study employs the value of related party transactions because both transfer pricing and related party transactions involve parties with a special relationship. Transfer pricing variable is proxied by the presence or absence of sales to related parties. Sales to related parties indicate transfer pricing practices. The price set in sales to related parties usually overrides the principle of fairness, namely by increasing or decreasing prices (Noviastika et al. 2016).

The measurement of transfer pricing in this study uses the following formula:

$$\text{Transfer Pricing} = \frac{\text{Receivables from Related Party Transactions}}{\text{Total Accounts Receivable}} \times 100\%$$

## RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The population in this study were manufacturing companies listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange between 2015 and 2019, totaling 193 companies. Data on manufacturing companies can be obtained from <https://www.invesnesia.com>.

Purposive sampling was used, which means that the sample was chosen based on factors related to the study's objectives. Based on the sample selection, 13 companies were sampled in this study. The sample selection procedure is described in the table below:

### Descriptive Statistical Results

- Outlier Test

The outlier test is used to identify data with characteristics that differ significantly from other observations and appear in the form of extreme values (Ghozali, 2018). Outlier data detection can be accomplished by determining the limit value that will be classified as outlier data and converting the value into a standardized score or Z-score. In this study, a Z-score with a value of -2.5 to 2.5 was used. The test results revealed 5 outlier data points, which were removed from the sample, and the total number of observations was 60.

- Descriptive statistics

Descriptive statistical tests are statistics that provide a description or description of data seen from the average value (mean), standard deviation, variance, maximum,

minimum, sum, range (Ghozali, 2018). Descriptive statistical tests were used to determine the strength of the relationship between variables using correlation analysis, to make predictions using regression analysis, and to compare sample or population averages. The following are the results of descriptive statistical tests:

#### **Classical Assumption Test Results**

- Normality test

The normality test aims to test whether in the regression model, the confounding variable or the residual variable has a normal distribution. The nonparametric statistical test used in this study was the Kolmogorov Smirnov (K-S). If the significance probability is  $> 0.05$  then the data distribution is normal; otherwise, if the significance value is  $< 0.05$  then the distribution is not normal (Ghozali, 2018). The normality test using the Kolmogorov Smirnov (K-S) results in a significance value of 0.396, which is  $> 0.05$ , indicating that the data used in this study is normally distributed.

- Multicollinearity Test

The multicollinearity test determines whether the independent variables in the regression model have a high correlation. There should be no correlation between the independent variables in a good regression model. The multicollinearity test in this study was carried out by looking at the VIF (Variance Inflation Factors) and the Tolerance value. If the  $VIF > 10$  and the Tolerance value  $< 0.10$ , it means that multicollinearity symptoms occur (Ghozali, 2018). From the test results presented in table 4, the value of  $VIF < 10$  and Tolerance  $> 0.10$ , it can be concluded that the research data is free from multicollinearity symptoms.

- Heteroscedasticity Test

Heteroscedasticity test aims to determine whether in the regression model there is an inequality of variance from the residuals between one observation to another observation. A good regression model is one that does not occur heteroscedasticity. To detect the presence or absence of heteroscedasticity, the rank-spearman test is carried out. If the significance value is  $> 0.05$ , then there is no heteroscedasticity problem. From the test results, the significance value of the four independent variables used in this study was greater than 0.05. As a result, the regression model used in this study did not detect heteroscedasticity issues.

- Autocorrelation Test

The auto-correlation test is used to see if there is a relationship between the confounding variables in one period and the confounding variables in the previous period. Autocorrelation arises because successive observations over time are related to each other. This problem arises because the residual is not independent from one observation to another. The autocorrelation test in this study was carried out using the Durbin-Watson test (DW test). According to Field (2009), if the value of  $DW < 1$  and  $DW > 3$ , then there is an autocorrelation. From table 5, it can be seen that the DW value is 1.494, because the DW value is between 1-3, there is no autocorrelation.

### Data Test Results

- *Goodness of Fit Test Results*

The accuracy of the regression model in predicting the actual value can be seen from the goodness of fit value which is statistically measured through the value of the coefficient of determination, the value of the F statistic and the value of the T statistic (Ghozali, 2018).

Based on table 6, it can be seen that the Adjusted R-Square value is 0.160 or 16%. This means that 16% of the transfer pricing variable can be explained by the variables of the independent commissioner, audit committee, bonus mechanism, and tunneling incentive.

The ANOVA test was carried out as a model test to see if the regression model in this study could be used for forecasting/prediction. From the table below, it can be seen that the significance value of 0.008 is below 0.05. Therefore, it can be said that the regression model in this study is feasible to use for forecasting.

- Hypothesis Test Results

To determine the effect of each independent variable on the dependent variable, hypothesis testing employs a partial test or t test. The test results can be seen in the table below:

Based on the results of testing the data in table 8, it can be formulated:

$$\text{TRF PRICING} = 0,101 + 1,713 \text{ KOM IND} + 0,002 \text{ KOM AUD} - 0,063 \text{ BONUS} - 0.007 \text{ TUNNELING} + e$$

**H1<sub>a</sub>: Independent commissioners have a negative effect on transfer pricing**



In corporate governance there is internal control, one of which is the Independent Commissioner. Independent commissioners are responsible for supervising the company to comply with applicable laws and regulations. Good management will always prioritize all policies that can benefit the company's long-term viability. The more independent commissioners there are, the more stringent the oversight will be to ensure that the tax rate is appropriate (Suyanto, 2012).

Based on the data in table 8, it can be seen that the independent commissioner variable has a significance value of  $0.037 < 0.05$ , so H1a is rejected. This means that the independent commissioner has a positive effect on transfer pricing practices.

#### **H1b: The audit committee has a negative effect on transfer pricing**

The audit committee is an additional committee that aims to supervise the process of preparing the company's financial statements to avoid management fraud. The audit committee also provides input on issues concerning the company's financial, accounting, and internal control policies (Diantari & Ulupui, 2016). The audit committee's responsibility in good corporate governance (GCG) is to ensure that the company operates in accordance with applicable laws, conducts its business ethically, and effectively supervises conflicts of interest and fraud committed by company employees.

Based on the data in table 8, it can be seen that the audit committee variable has a significance value of  $0.988 > 0.05$  so that H1b is accepted. This means that the audit committee has no effect on transfer pricing practices.

#### **H2: The bonus mechanism has a positive effect on transfer pricing**

The bonus mechanism is a method of providing compensation outside of salary based on the results and work performance of the directors concerned (Irpan, 2011). According to Hartati et al. (2014), when the bonus is based on the amount of profit, it is logical if the directors try to take action to regulate and manipulate profits in order to maximize the bonuses and remuneration they receive. This means that the company's owner will use the company's overall profit achievement to evaluate the work performance of its directors. Then, the directors will try to increase the company's overall profit as much as possible by implementing transfer pricing practices.

Based on the data in table 8, it can be seen that the bonus mechanism variable has a significance value of  $0.063 > 0.05$ , which means that H2 is accepted. The bonus

mechanism does not have a positive effect on transfer pricing. The results of this study are in line with the results of Pramana (2014), and Mispiyanti (2015) which show that there is no effect of the bonus mechanism on transfer pricing. On the other hand, the results of this study are not in line with the results of Lo et al. (2010), Hartati (2014), and Saifudin and Putri (2018) who concluded that the bonus mechanism has a positive effect on transfer pricing.

### **H3: Tunneling incentive has a positive effect on transfer pricing**

The controlling shareholder conducts tunneling activities with the aim of being able to temporarily transfer their assets to members or subsidiaries to reduce expenses so that later they can reduce company profits. If more tunneling activities are carried out, transfer pricing activities will also increase and vice versa. In other words, tunneling has a positive effect on transfer pricing practices.

Based on the data in table 8, it can be seen that the tunneling incentive variable has a significance value of  $0.001 < 0.05$ . This means that H3 is rejected or tunneling has an effect on transfer pricing, but the direction is negative. The results of this study are not in line with the results of previous studies conducted by Yuniasih et al. (2012), Pramana (2014), Syamsuddin (2014), Marfuah & Azizah (2014), Tan (2014), Mispiyanti (2015), and Noviasitika et al. (2016), which prove that tunneling incentives have a positive effect on transfer pricing practices.

## **CONCLUSION**

### **Conclusions**

Based on the findings of this study, it can be concluded that corporate governance has no negative impact on transfer pricing practices, and that bonus mechanisms and tunneling incentives have no positive impact on transfer pricing practices.

### **Recommendations**

Based on the findings of this study, researchers can make the following recommendations:

#### **1. For the Directorate General of Taxes (DGT)**

The results of this study indicate that tax avoidance efforts that have been mostly carried out by multinational enterprises through transfer pricing practices have not been proven to be influenced by the absence of good corporate governance, the

provision of compensation outside of salaries or bonus mechanisms, and the transfer of temporary assets to subsidiaries or tunneling incentives. In other words, the practice of transfer pricing as a tax avoidance strategy that has occurred thus far is not the result of poor corporate governance, the existence of a bonus mechanism, or the use of tunneling incentives. Further studies into other factors that encourage tax avoidance through transfer pricing will be required so that it can be used as an input for DGT in anticipating it.

2. For other researchers

Further studies into other factors that are expected to influence tax avoidance through transfer pricing practices are recommended as a form of development of this research.

### Implication and Limitations

This study has limitations in that the companies surveyed are limited to manufacturing firms under foreign control (share ownership > 20%). Therefore, the research sample that meets these criteria is limited to 13 companies over a five-year period, from 2015 to 2019. This is a study limitation that has implications for the study's results.

### REFERENCES

- Anisayah, Fitri. (2018). "Pengaruh Beban Pajak, *Intangible Assets*, Profitabilitas, *Tunneling Incentive*, dan Mekanisme Bonus Terhadap *Transfer Pricing*". JOM FEB Universitas Riau. Vol. 1. Edisi 1.
- Astuti, T. P. dan Aryani Y. A. (2016). "Tren Penghindaran Pajak Perusahaan Manufaktur di Indonesia Yang Terdaftar di BEI Tahun 2001-2014". Jurnal Akuntansi Universitas Sebelas Maret. Vol. 20. No . 23.
- Belkoui, Ahmad Riahi (2007). Accounting Theory. Jakarta: Salemba Empat.
- Brigham, Eugene F. and Joel F. Houston. (2009). *Fundamentals of Financial Management 12th Edition*. Mason: South-Western Cengage Learning.
- Chan, et al. (2002). "Effects of Exchange Rate on International Transfer Pricing Decisions". *International Business & Economics Research Journal*. Vol. 3. No. 3.
- Chan, K. H. dan A. Lo. (2005). "International Transfer Pricing in China: Post WTO". Hongkong, PRC. Sweet & Maxwell Asia.
- Chan, K. H. dan L. Chow. (1997). "International Transfer Pricing For Business Operations in China: Inducements, Regulation and Practice". *Journal of Business Finance & Accounting*.
- Chalimatussadiyah, et al. (2020). "Pengaruh Pajak, *Tunneling Incentive* dan Mekanisme Bonus Pada Keputusan *Transfer Pricing*". E-JRA Universitas Islam Malang. Vo. 9. No. 6.
- Chariri, Ghazali. (2003). Teori Akuntansi. Semarang: UNDIP.
- Colgan, P. Mc. (2001). "Agency Theory and Corporate Governance: A Review of the Literature From a UK Perspective". Working paper University of Strathclyde at United Kingdom.

- Ghozali, Imam. (2016). Aplikasi Analisis *Multivariate* Dengan Program SPSS. Semarang: Badan Penerbit Universitas Diponegoro.
- Gusnardi. (2009). "Penetapan Harga Transfer Dalam Kajian Perpajakan". *Pekbis Jurnal* Vol. 1. No. 1.
- Hansen R. Don dan Mowen M. Maryanne. (2007). *Managerial Accounting 8th Edition*. Thomson South-Western, China.
- Hartati, W. dan Azlina, D. (2014). "Analisis Pengaruh Pajak dan Mekanisme Bonus Terhadap Keputusan *Transfer Pricing*". Simposium Nasional Akuntansi 17 Mataram. Universitas Mataram.
- Herawaty, Vinola dan Anne. (2017). "Pengaruh Tarif Pajak Penghasilan, Mekanisme Bonus, dan *Tunneling Incentive* Terhadap Pergeseran Laba Dalam Melakukan *Transfer Pricing* Dengan *Good Corporate Governance* Sebagai Variabel Moderasi". *Jurnal Akuntansi Trisakti Universitas Trisakti*. Vol. 4. No. 2.
- Jensen, M. and W. H. Meckling. (1976). "*Theory of the Firm: Managerial Behavior, Agency Cost and Ownership Structure*". *Journal of Financial Economic*. Vol. 3 No. 4.
- Kurniawan, Anang Mury. (2014). *Transfer Pricing* untuk Kepentingan Pajak. Yogyakarta: Andi.
- Lo, Raymond dan F. Micheal. (2010). "*Tax, Financial Reporting, and Tunneling Incentives for Income Shifting: An Empirical Analysis of the Transfer Pricing Behavior of Chinese Listed Companies*". *Journal of the American Taxation Association*. Vol. 32. No.2.
- Lubab, Fikrul. (2016). "Faktor-Faktor Yang Mempengaruhi Keputusan Perusahaan Melakukan *Transfer Pricing*". *Journal Jurusan Akuntansi Universitas Trunojoyo*.
- Mangoting, Yenni. (2000). "Aspek Perpajakan Dalam Praktik *Transfer Pricing*". *Jurnal Akuntansi dan Keuangan*. Vol. 02. No. 01.
- Marfuah, M dan Azizah, A. P. N. (2014). "Pengaruh Pajak, *Tunneling Incentive*, dan *Exchange Rate* pada Keputusan Perusahaan Melakukan *Transfer Pricing*". *JAAI Accounting Departement, Faculty Economic Universitas Islam Indonesia*. Vol. 18. No. 2.
- Marisa, R. (2017). "Pengaruh Pajak, Bonus Plan, *Tunneling Incentive* dan Ukuran Perusahaan Terhadap *Transfer Pricing*". *Jurnal Akuntansi Universitas Negeri Surabaya*. Vol. 5. No. 2
- Mispiyanti. (2015). "Pengaruh Pajak, *Tunneling Incentive* dan Mekanisme Bonus Terhadap Keputusan *Transfer Pricing*". *Jurnal Akuntansi dan Investasi*. Vol. 16. No. 1.
- Mutamimah. (2009). "*Tunneling* atau *Value Added* dalam Strategi Merger dan Akuisisi di Indonesia". *Jurnal Manajemen Teori dan Terapan*. Vol. 2. No. 2.
- Noviastika, et al. (2016). "Pengaruh Pajak, *Tunneling Incentive*, dan *Good Corporate Governance* (GCG) Terhadap Indikasi Melakukan *Transfer Pricing* pada Perusahaan Manufaktur yang Terdaftar di Bursa Efek Indonesia". *Jurnal mahasiswa Perpaakan*. Vol. 8. No. 1.
- Nuradila, R. F. dan Wibowo, R. A. (2018). "*Tax Minimization* sebagai Pemoderasi Hubungan antara *Tunneling Incentive*, *Bonus Mechanism* dan *Debt Covenant* dengan keputusan *Transfer Pricing*". *JIFA Universitas Peradaban*. Vol. 1 No. 1.
- Nurjanah, et al. (2014). "Faktor Determinan Keputusan Perusahaan Melakukan *Transfer Pricing*", Universitas Lambung Mangkurat.

- Peraturan Direktur Jenderal Pajak PER-32/PJ/2011 Tentang Perubahan atas Peraturan Jenderal Pajak Nomor PER-43/PJ/2010 Tentang Penerapan Prinsip Kewajaran dan Kelaziman Usaha Dalam Rangka Transaksi antar Wajib Pajak Dengan Pihak Yang Mempunyai Hubungan Istimewa.
- Peraturan Menteri Keuangan (PMK) Republik Indonesia Nomor 213/PMK.03/2016 Tentang Jenis Dokumen dan/atau Informasi Tambahan Yang Wajib Disimpan Oleh Wajib Pajak Yang Melakukan Transaksi Dengan Para Pihak Yang Mempunyai Hubungan Istimewa, dan Tata Cara Pengelolaannya.
- Pratiwi, Bela. (2018). "Pengaruh Pajak, *Exchange Rate*, *Tunneling Incentive* dan *Leverage* Terhadap *Transfer Pricing*". Jurnal Ekobis Dewantara Universitas Sarjanawiyata Tamansiswa". Vo. 1. No. 2.
- Pramana, Aviandika Heru. (2014). "Pengaruh Pajak, *Bonus Plan*, *Tunneling Incentive*, dan *Debt Covenant* Terhadap Keputusan Perusahaan Untuk Melakukan *Transfer Pricing*". Fakultas Ekonomika dan Bisnis.
- Rahayu, Tunjung Tri, *et al.* (2020). "Pengaruh Beban Pajak, *Exchange Rate*, *Tunneling Incentive*, Profitabilitas, dan *leverage* Terhadap Keputusan *Transfer Pricing*". JPENSI Universitas Islam Lamongan. e-ISSN 2621--3168
- Refgia, Thesa. (2017). "Pengaruh Pajak, Mekanisme Bonus, Ukuran Perusahaan, kepemilikan Asing, dan *Tunneling Incentive* Terhadap *Transfer Pricing*". JOM Fekon Vol. 4. No. 1.
- Richardson, *et al* (2013). "*Determinants of Transfer Pricing Aggressiveness: Empirical Evidence From Australian Firms*", *Journal of Contemporary Accounting and Economics*.
- Saifudin dan Putri L. S. (2018). "Determinasi Pajak, Mekanisme Bonus, dan *Tunneling Incentive* Terhadap keputusan *Transfer Pricing* Pada Emiten BEI. *Agregat: Jurnal Ekonomi dan Bisnis Vol. 2 No. 1*.
- Santoso, I. (2004). "*Advance Pricing Agreement* dan Problematika *Transfer Pricing* dari Perspektif Perpajakan Indonesia". Jurnal Akuntansi dan Keuangan Universitas Indonesia. Vol. 06. No. 2.
- Saraswati dan Sujana. (2017). "Pengaruh Pajak, Mekanisme Bonus, *Tunneling Incentive* pada Indikasi Melakukan *Transfer Pricing*". E-Jurnal Akuntansi Universitas Udayana. Vol. 19. No. 2.
- Sarifah, Desi Alfiatus, *et al.* (2019). "Dampak *Effective Tax Rate* (ETR), *Tunneling Incentive* (TNC), Index Trend Laba Bersih (ITRENDLB) dan *Exchange Rate* Pada keputusan *Transfer Pricing*". Jurnal Ilmiah Akuntansi dan Humanika Universitas Muhammadiyah Jember. Vol. 9. No. 2.
- Sugiyono. (2017). Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif, Kualitatif dan R&D. Bandung: CV. Alfabeta.
- Zulkarnaen, W., Fitriani, I., & Yuningsih, N. (2020). Pengembangan Supply Chain Management Dalam Pengelolaan Distribusi Logistik Pemilu Yang Lebih Tepat Jenis, Tepat Jumlah Dan Tepat Waktu Berbasis Human Resources Competency Development Di KPU Jawa Barat. *Jurnal Ilmiah MEA (Manajemen, Ekonomi, & Akuntansi)*, 4(2), 222-243. <https://doi.org/10.31955/mea.vol4.iss2.pp222-243>.



## TABLE AND FIGURE

Table 1. Research Sample Selection

Notes	Total
Total manufacturing company	193
Manufacturing companies under the control of foreign companies with ownership percentage below 20%	(176)
Companies that suffered losses between 2015 and 2019	(1)
Companies that have insufficient information about the variables studied between 2015 and 2019.	(2)
Total sample companies	13
Total observations	65

Tabel 2. Descriptive Statistics

	N	Min	Max	Mean	Std. Dev
KOM_IND	60	0.29	0.50	0.3792	0.06887
KOM_AUD	60	3.00	4.00	3.2333	0.42652
BONUS	60	0.30	1.87	1.0705	0.34980
TUNNELING	60	24.56	98.07	60.6920	26.22836
TRF_PRICING	60	0.00	0.98	0.2789	0.35340

Source: SPSS Results

Table 3. Normality Test Results

		Unstandardized Residual
N		60
Normal Parameters <sup>a,b</sup>	Mean	0.00000
	Std. Deviation	0.31269188
Most Extreme Differences	Absolute	0.116
	Positive	0.116
	Negative	-0.111
Kolmogorov-Smirnov Z		0.897
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)		0.396

a. Test distribution is Normal.

b. Calculated from data.

Source: SPSS Results

Table 4. Multicollinearity Test Results

Model	Collinearity Statistics	
	Tolerance	VIF
KOM_IND	0.587	1.705
KOM_AUD	0.769	1.301
BONUS	0.871	1.148
TUNNELING	0.719	1.390

a. Dependent Variable: TRF\_PRICING

Source: SPSS Results

Table 5. Heteroscedasticity Test Results

			KOM_IND	KOM_AUD	BONUS	TUNNELING	TRF_PRICING
Spearman's rho	KOM_IND	Correlation Coefficient	1.000	-.436**	-.070	.440**	-.002
		Sig. (2-tailed)	.	.000	.593	.000	.986
		N	60	60	60	60	60
	KOM_AUD	Correlation Coefficient	-.436**	1.000	-.189	-.047	-.068
		Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.	.148	.723	.604
		N	60	60	60	60	60
	BONUS	Correlation Coefficient	-.070	-.189	1.000	.103	-.126
		Sig. (2-tailed)	.593	.148	.	.432	.336
		N	60	60	60	60	60
	TUNNELING	Correlation Coefficient	.440**	-.047	.103	1.000	-.156
		Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.723	.432	.	.233
		N	60	60	60	60	60
	TRF_PRICING	Correlation Coefficient	-.002	-.068	-.126	-.156	1.000
		Sig. (2-tailed)	.986	.604	.336	.233	.
		N	60	60	60	60	60

\*\* . Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Source: SPSS Results

Table 6. Autocorrelation Test Results

Model	Durbin-Watson
1	1.494

Source: SPSS Results

Table 7. Goodness of Fit

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	0.466 <sup>a</sup>	0.217	0.160	0.32386

Source: SPSS Results

Table 8. ANOVA test

Model	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Regression	1.600	4	0.400	3.813	0.008 <sup>b</sup>
Residual	5.769	55	0.105		
Total	7.369	59			

Source: SPSS Results

Table 9. Hypothesis Test Results

Model	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
	B	Std. Error	Beta		
(Constant)	0.101	0.594		0.170	0.865
KOM_IND	1.713	0.799	0.334	2.143	0.037
1KOM_AUD	0.002	0.113	0.002	0.016	0.988
BONUS	-0.063	0.129	-0.062	-0.484	0.630
TUNNELING	-0.007	0.002	-0.502	-3.571	0.001

a. Dependent Variable: TRF\_PRICING

Source: SPSS Results




Figure 1 Research Model