BUKTI KORESPONDENSI
ARTIKEL JURNAL NASIONAL BEREPUTASI

Judul artikel: An Investigation on Reading Flow Experience Among EFL Indonesian Students
Jurnal: Eralingua Vol 8, No 2 (2024)
Penulis: Fenty Lidya Siregar dan Henni Henni

No	Perihal	Tanggal
1	Bukti konfirmasi submit artikel dan artikel yang disubmit	14/05/2024
2	Bukti surel dari reviewer tentang hasil initial review	23/05/2024
3	Bukti surel untuk revisi 1	14/06/2024
4	Bukti surel untuk revisi 2	08/08/2024
5	Bukti acceptance dari editor Eralingua	18/09/2024

1. Bukti konfirmasi submit artikel dan artikel yang disubmit (14/05/2024)

SUBMISSION

Authors	Fenty Lidya Siregar, Henni Henni
Title	An Investigation on Reading Flow Experience Among EFL Indonesian Students
Section	Articles
Editor	Assoc. Prof. Samuel Bassey

PEER REVIEW

ROUND 1

Review Version	61762-151129-1-RV.DOCX 2024-05-14
Initiated	2024-06-14
Last modified	2024-06-14
Uploaded file	Reviewer A 61762-156184-1-RV.DOCX 2024-06-14

An investigation on reading flow experience among EFL Indonesian students

Author[1], Author[2], Author[3] (12pt)
Afiliation of Every Author
E-mail of Author[1]

E-ISSN : 2579-4574 **P-ISSN : 2549-7359**

Abstract. This study investigated students' perception of flow experience (FE) when reading extensively and conditions that enabled FE. The participants were 36 EFL students from a private university in West Java, Indonesia who were involved in an extensive reading (ER) program using the XReading application. Data for the study were collected through questionnaire distribution and XReading document analysis to find out students' FE and reading data. Findings indicated that although when joining ER for two semesters the students experienced flow, the flow experience in the two semesters is high. The result also showed that in the 2nd semester the larger the number of words read, the higher the students' flow experience are which indicated that more often the students do ER, the bigger their chance to experience to flow. Therefore, increasing students' opportunities for experiencing flows could improve the quality of their language learning.

Keywords: *Flow, Extensive Reading, Optimal Experience*

https://ojs.unm.ac.id/eralingua

INTRODUCTION

Reading in the context of language learning plays an important role. Babayan (2019) addressed that a lot of literature in ELT affirms that reading is crucial in foreign language learning. Ali, et.al (2022) mentioned that reading can assist foreign language learners when they do not have enough exposure to the language. However, to keep learners' interest in doing reading in the target language is not easy, leading to questions on which approach should be implemented to motivate learners to read L2 texts as much as possible and make them independent readers. One approach that is becoming popular to encourage learners to read more – hence, learn better – is the extensive reading (ER). Ali, et.al (2022) synthesized the findings from research on ER in various countries in the past decade and found out that ER activities have helped learners to develop their language skills, as well as improve their vocabulary and grammar.

There is a growing interest in the area of extensive reading, which is an approach for teaching and learning reading which focus is on encouraging learners to read a massive amount of reading material (Kirchhoff, 2013). In order

to make the learners successful in their extensive reading programme, it is important to make them motivated in and engaged with their reading. Csikszentmihalyi (1990) introduced the theory of motivation and engagement in the field of psychology, suggesting some conditions that can create the "optimal experiences" that deal with human's most enjoyable moments. The optimal experiences can lead to the state of "flow", which Csikszentmihalyi (2014) defined as "a holistic sensation present when we act with total involvement". The optimal experiences can occur only when the person doing flow activities is totally immersed in what he or she is involved in. According to Csikszentmihalyi (1990), there are several conditions to experience flow:

1. Clear goals
2. Immediate feedback
3. Equivalence between challenges and skills
4. Deep concentration
5. Self-control
6. Forgotten problems
7. Loss of self-consciousness
8. Altered sense of time
9. Autotelic experience

The above list shows that points 1-5 should be considered when designing activities to promote flow experience (FE), while points 6-9 are related to the result of experiencing flow.

In order that flow experience can be generated, the design of the activities must be carefully considered (Csikszentmihalyi, 1990). Flow activities must match the learners' present skills; yet provide suitable challenges that do not trigger learners' anxieties or boredom (Rathunde, 2003). The balance between skills and challenges is crucial to make sure that those doing the activities can embrace the feeling of success. This will motivate the learners to continue doing more activities and with the previously acquired skills, the learners challenge themselves with more difficult tasks (Egbert, 2003, p. 502).

Egbert (2003, 2004) stated that the Flow Theory can be implemented in the foreign language learning (FLL) research as it may offer a new framework for investigating language learning activities. This is in line with Csikszentmihalyi (1990), who argued that when language learners are doing reading activities, they will experience flow as well as identifying the process of reading as a reward when they enjoy what they read. Such enjoyment can occur only when challenges and abilities are harmoniously combined, making it possible for the learners to be immersed in the reading activities they are doing. This is shown by the learners' loss of self-focus and concerns; besides, they might even lose track of time (MacIntyre, 2016, pp 9-10).

However, there were only few research done to study flow in the areas of L2 learning and SLA (Cho, 2018; MacIntyre, 2016). A study investigating the presence of Flow Experience (FE) in translation tasks was done by Mirlohi et al., 2011. There were also other studies on intercultural and intracultural tasks (Aubrey, 2017a, 2017b), task complexity and modality (Cho, 2018), and Extensive Reading (Fongpaiboon, 2017; Kirchhoff, 2013). All of these studies indicate that FE occurs in language learning, although how much learners are immersed in their tasks is influenced by various factors, such as learner characteristics and environmental conditions (Egbert, 2003); also external conditions such as time, boredom, and health (Fongpaiboon, 2017; Kirchhoff, 2013). Therefore, there will be variations in learners' flow experience, not only among the learners themselves but also across tasks and activities (Mirlohi et al., 2011, p. 265).

Up to the present time, very limited research discusses flow experience in extensive reading activities in L2 contexts. The first empirical study on the relationship between flow experience and ER was done by Kirchhoff (2013), examining 64 Japanese EFL learners on their perception of flow when they were involved in ER. In her argument, she stated that the characteristics of extensive reading (ER) resemble the conditions of flow experience. She also argued that when learners had become used to reading in English, such condition would facilitate the emergence of flow-like experience (Kirchhoff, 2013, p. 205). IN her study, Kirchhoff employed questionnaires to obtain data on how many times the learners experienced flow-like occurrences together with descriptions of the conditions which created flow; as well as learners' reading speed and amount of reading. She did the research for two successive years, with an updated questionnaire spread to the learners in the second year, focusing only on specific elements of FE. The findings of her research showed that flow experiences were perceived by the participants when the extensive reading met the following factors: the content of graded readers that matched the learners' interest (40%), a reading location which was quiet (27%), as well as the learners' abilities that match graded reader level that they choose (12%). She also found that the amount of time spent reading did not correlate with flow-like experiences and argued that the quantity of reading was affected by many factors. In an agreement with Grabe (2009), Kirchhoff (2013) claimed that the flow experience aids learners' engagement with their extensive reading so that extensive reading can be suggested to be one of the learning approaches that promotes the effectiveness of language teaching as long as flow conditions are included.

A more recent study on ER and flow experience is by Fongpaiboon (2017). The study was conducted to investigate the perception of FE in ER and the conditions attributed to FE. Fongpaiboon involved 68 second-year undergraduate Thai students to be the participants of her research. They were the first semester students from the departments of applied computer science and civil engineering who joined an English reading course. To obtain data, she

implemented both quantitative and qualitative instruments: questionnaires and semi-structured interviews. The questionnaire was used to obtain demographic data of the participants, and statistical data on participants' attitude towards reading, flow state scale, and ER tracking sheet. The flow state scale questionnaire included nine conditions of flow (Nakamura & Csikszentmihalyi, 2009); namely, clear goals, immediate and unambiguous feedback, skills-challenges balance, concentration on the task at hand, sense of control, action-awareness merging, loss of self-consciousness, transformation of time, and autotelic experience. The result of Fongpaiboon's study showed that FE happened during ER. Besides, the result indicated that FE is strongly associated with the frequency of students' reading extensively but only slightly related to the amount of time students spent on ER.

Thus, both the studies of Fongpaiboon (2017) and Kirchhoff (2013) indicate that FE does occur in ER and that how much time spent on reading does not correlate with the occurrence of FE. However, in Kirchoff's study, there was no correlation between flow experience and the number of extensive readings done by the learners. This suggests that more studies are needed to yield more information on this issue.

In addition, Kirchoff (2013) pointed out that readers' positive motivation to read might be withdrawn when confronted by negative motivational influences. This issue was also addressed by Fongpaiboon's (2017) as the gap in her study, that there was no post-reading activity which allowed learners to share what they have read with others in the class. This hindered the learners from sharing personal meaning and value obtained from the reading, which should be beneficial when the learners can be involved in a reading community. Therefore, Fongpaiboon recommended creating a reading community in class to positively engage students in reading and increase their motivation to read in large amounts.

In Indonesia, it is noticeable that the interest in implementing Extensive Reading (ER) is growing (Waring & Husna, 2019). However, no studies have discussed FE in Extensive Reading Programs in Indonesia. Previous studies on ER were focused on how to implement ER in Indonesia (Anandari & Iswandari 2019), teachers' and students' perception of a post-ER activity (Hadiyanto, 2019), the potential of ER to develop critical thinking skills (Husna, 2019). The findings of these studies revealed some challenges in ER implementation along with the benefits and promising results of having ER in the EFL learning program. This encourages for more ER initiatives to take place in different contexts in Indonesia. With this in mind, this study aims to investigate flow experiences in students who joined an ER program in a private university in Indonesia. The research questions of the study are as follows:

1. To what extent does FE take place in students' ER?
2. What are the conditions that students consider enabling FE in ER?

3. Is there any correlation between students' flow and the sum of words they read?

RESEARCH METHOD

This study involved 36 undergraduate students who enrolled in two reading courses at a Private University located in Indonesia. Specifically, it was in West Java. The study was conducted during the participants' 1st and 2nd semesters. This study employed a quantitative method, with the data gathering instruments used were a questionnaire and document analysis from Xreading. The instruments were used to obtain participants' reading data and flow of experience. The questionnaire was adopted from Fongpaiboon (2017). It contained 36 items that specifically ask for: (1) clear goal of students consisting of 4 statements namely 1, 10, 19, and 28; (2) students' immediate feedback as well as unambiguous feedback containing 4 statements which were 2, 11, 20, and 29; (3) skills-challenges balance comprising four statements 3, 12, 21, and 30; (4) students' ability to concentrate on the task at hand including 4 different statements 4, 13, 22, and 31; (5) students' control which also had four statements 5, 14, 23, and 32; (6) students' action-awareness merging which were made of statements 6, 15, 24, and 33; (7) students' loss of self-consciousness (statements 7, 16, 25, and 34), (8) time transformation (statements 8, 17, 26, and 35) and (9) autotelic experience (statements 9, 18, 27, and 36). When completing the survey in the last meeting, the participants were asked to state their choice which was in the form of a survey with 5-point Likert scale. The internal consistency of 36 items in the survey were calculated. The alpha coefficient of the calculation was 0.89 which showed consistency of the items. Thus, this study could be conducted.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

This following part presents the result and discussion of this study. Each finding of the following research questions will be presented respectively1. To what extent does FE take place in students' ER? 2. What are the conditions that students consider enabling FE in ER? 3. Is there any correlation between students' flow and the sum of words they read?

3.1 Flow experience

Table 1 presents the final mean scores of flow survey in the 1st and 2nd semesters were respectively 3.96, SD = 0.69, M = 3.68, SD = 0.70. It indicated a high point which showed that the students have experienced a high flow during their extensive reading practice in class; however, their experiences in the first and second semesters are a bit different with higher flow experience in the first semester. The finding of the high mean score is similar to the finding of Fongpaiboon (2007). However, the mean scores in this study is slightly bigger that the study of her study which only reached

3.64. This finding shows that students do experience flow in their Extensive Reading (ER). If the students keep experiencing flow in their ER, they will likely read routinely in their lives (Day & Bamford, 1998).

Table 1 Descriptive statistics of flow state scale in the 1st and 2nd semesters

Semester	The 1st semester			The 2nd semester		
Flow state scale items	M	SD	ME	M	SD	ME
Goal	3.79	0.76	High	3.42	0.86	high
Feedback	4.22	0.58	very high	3.99	0.64	high
Balance	3.92	0.61	High	3.73	0.57	high
Concentration	3.81	0.65	High	3.58	0.62	high
Control	4.01	0.75	High	3.56	0.74	high
Awareness	3.81	0.61	High	3.49	0.75	high
Consciousness	4.03	0.63	High	3.84	0.63	high
Time	3.99	0.88	High	3.81	0.80	high
Experience	4.06	0.74	High	3.72	0.68	high

In order to test the signifcance of participants' mean scores, one sample t-test was conducted by applying a hypothesis mean score of 3.41 as the starting point of a high flow experience (FE) level.

In the following Table 2, it was found that the mean scores of students' FE after undergoing ER in the 1st and 2nd semesters were significant respectively (t = 6.144; p = .000; t= 6.335, p = .000). Thus, it conformed the the hypothesis that students' FE mean scores whould reach a high level (3.41). In detail, statistically significant differences took place in the flow conditions in the first semester. In that semester there were only 6 flow condition were found statistically significant. Concentration, control and goal were found not statistically significant. These findings are different from Fongpaiboon's (2017). Her study found that six flow conditions:

1. Clear goals
2. Immediate feedback
3. Equivalence between challenges and skills
4. Deep concentration
5. Self-control
6. Forgotten problems
7. Loss of self-consciousness
8. Altered sense of time
9. Autotelic experience

were the statistically significant at the p<.05 level. However, in terms of awareness merging of students' action, the conditions of students' concentration while working on a task, as well as transformation of time, there was no difference. It indicates that the differences might be due to many factors which are embedded in different variable of the contexts.

Table 2 Descriptive statistics of students' flow conditions and One sample t-test in the 1st semester

Flow state scale items	M	SD	ME	T	P	Meaning
Goal	3.79	0.76	high	3.00599	.004	Significant
Feedback	4.22	0.58	very high	8.466095	.000	Significant
Balance	3.92	0.61	high	5.012818	.000	Significant
Concentration	3.81	0.65	high	3.654201	.000	Significant
Control	4.01	0.75	high	4.775766	.000	Significant
Awareness	3.81	0.61	high	3.873588	.000	Significant
Consciousness	4.03	0.63	high	5.84051	.000	Significant
Time	3.99	0.88	high	3.960302	.000	Significant
Experience	4.06	0.74	high	5.28973	.000	Significant
Total	3.96	0.69	high	6.144387	.000	Significant

Significant at p < .05

Table 3 Descriptive statistics of students' flow conditions and One sample t-test in the 2nd semester

Flow state scale items	M	SD	ME	T	P	Meaning
Goal	3.42	0.86	high	0.046298	.963	Not significant
Feedback	3.99	0.64	high	5.371697	.000	Significant
Balance	3.73	0.57	high	3.352232	.001	Significant
Concentration	3.58	0.62	high	1.690283	.099	Not Significant
Control	3.56	0.74	high	1.236297	.224	Not significant
Awareness	3.49	0.75	high	0.668734	.508	Significant
Consciousness	3.84	0.63	high	4.116058	.000	Significant
Time	3.81	0.80	high	3.017277	.004	Significant
Experience	3.72	0.68	high	2.762318	.009	Significant
Total	3.68	0.70	high	6.335501	.000	Significant

31.

Significant at p < .05

3.1 Conditions enabling flow experience in extensive reading

Table 4 shows students' reading flow in the 1st and 2nd semesters. In the first semester columns, the scores acquired suggest that students did experience

flow during their Extensive Reading (ER). Eight out of nine conditions of flow get high scores in the likert scale, while one of the conditions, *feedback*, scores very high (4.22). There are three other conditions which show a mean score above four, they are: *experience*, *consciousness*, and *control*, respectively.

In terms of flow state items, there are several items that gets very high scores. The item with the highest score belongs to the condition of *feedback*, in which the item 2 regarding the enjoyment of reading graded readers that they chose. The second highest score in the flow scale item 6 about ER that takes palce routinely and without any effort which belongs to the condition *awareness*. It is worth noticing that even though the average score for the condition of *concentration* gets the second lowest score, one of the items in that flow condition item 31 regarding forcing oneself to stop ER, is scaled very high (4.31). However, another item in *concentration,* item 4 about controlling one's my mind so that he or she can concentrate on their graded readers scores the lowest. The condition of flow with the lowest score is *goal*, with its item 1 about setting goal of how many pages and minutes he or she would read and spend.
These findings indicate that in the first semester the students experience flow highly, and the main reason is because they enjoy the reading material. The students are also encouraged to read because they realized that doing extensive reading improves their reading abilities, hence they find that extensive reading is worth doing. The students also enjoy the freedom to set the reading goals, and to have freedom in choosing the time and place to do their extensive reading. However, the fact that in doing the extensive reading the students are free to set their own goal makes them difficult to set how many pages and how much time they would spend on reading, and their concentration during extensive reading still need to be controlled.
The last three columns of Table 4 present students' reading flow in the 2nd semester. It is shown that the students also experience flow in the 2nd semester. However, there is no flow condition that gets a very high score in the 2nd semester; however, all the nine conditions of flow still achieve high scores with *feedback* as the highest and *goal* as the lowest. Three other flow conditions: *consciousness, time* and *experience* are the second, third and fourth highest scores.
In terms of the items in each flow condition, only one item in the category of *feedback* that deals with students' freedom to choose material for their extensive reading (item 2) gets a very high score. This is still the same as the result in the first semester. More flow items are in the moderate scales, with the item regarding the regular occurrence of extensive reading in the condition of *awareness* having the lowest score (2.81). These findings indicate that in the 2nd semester the students still experience flow mostly because they enjoy the reading material. However, it seems that their motivation to do the extensive reading decreases, which influence how they perceive their flow experience. The finding supports the argument that over a long period of time, students'

motivation to read would fluctuate greatly (de Burgh-Hirabe, 2011 and Judge, 2017).

Although the students still experience flow in the second semester, the scores for each flow condition is not as high as those in the first semester. Also, there is no flow condition that gets a very high score in the 2nd semester; however, all the nine conditions of flow still achieve high scores with *feedback* as the highest and *goal* as the lowest. Three other flow conditions: *consciousness, time* and *experience* are the second, third and fourth highest scores.

As previously mentioned, the flow condition *feedback* regarding the enjoyment of reading graded readers that they chose scores highest in both semesters. Other flow conditions show high scores without any significant gap in the scores between the 1st and 2nd semesters. The flow condition that gets the lowest score is also the same in both classes, that is *goal*. In general, the result in the 2nd semester is lower than the 1st semester, with the widest gap in the flow condition *control*.

One of the items in the *balance* category indicating students' feeling of their reading abilities to read extensive reading material that are more challenging (item 21), shares the same score (3.42) in the two semesters. More flow scale items with moderate scores appear in the 2nd semester, with the biggest gap between the 1st and 2nd semesters in the *goal* category, is in the students' perception toward achieving goals successfully (item 19). Some other items with big gap between the 1st and 2nd semesters are items 32 and 14. Both items belong to the flow condition *control.* However, there is one item in the category of *consciousness* in which the score in the 2nd semester is higher than that in the 1st semester. The flow item is item 16 that mentions students who were not worried about the researchers' thought of their readings.

These findings indicate that even though in the 2nd semester the flow condition seems to be lower than when the students are in their 1st semester, the students still find their extensive reading material enjoyable which results in their experiencing flow. As mentioned above, the flow condition *control* in the 2nd semester decreases considerably, as there are two flow scale items whose score becomes moderate in the 2nd semester. This indicates that students need more motivation in doing extensive reading as they do not find the freedom to manage time, place and strategies in doing the reading important. The only item showing an increase in the 2nd semester is about how the students feel about researchers thought of their reading. It seems that as the students have done similar activities in extensive reading in the 1st semester and they do not find how researchers take part in their reading, in the 2nd semester they do not consider the researchers as an important factor. The finding of the mean score is similar to the result of Fongpaiboon's (2017) which also got a high mean on the item. The participants in her study also did not show concern about what the researcher thought about their readings. However, in terms of the exact

mean score, her study which was 3.9 was slightly higher than the mean scores of this study which were 3.72 and 3.78 respectively for the 1st and 2nd semesters.

Table 4 Flow conditions in the 1st and 2nd semesters

Semester	The first semester			The second semester		
Flow state scale items	M	SD	ME	M	SD	ME
Goal	**3.79**	**0.76**	**High**	**3.42**	**0.86**	**High**
1. I clearly set my extensive reading goals for how many pages and minutes I was going to spend on reading.	3.36	1.33	Moderate	3.31	1.19	moderate
10. I wrote my set extensive reading goals on the ER tracking sheets and I tried to achieve them.	3.58	1.13	High	3.22	1.22	moderate
19. My set extensive reading goals could be reached successfully.	4.08	1.13	High	3.36	1.17	moderate
28. I was delighted and happy with reading the extensive materials to achieve the set goals.	4.14	0.76	High	3.78	0.90	High
Feedback	**4.22**	**0.58**	**very high**	**3.99**	**0.64**	**High**
2. I really enjoyed reading the extensive materials that I chose.	4.47	0.74	very high	4.25	0.97	very high
11. I was interested in continuing reading the stories of the extensive materials that I chose until the end of stories.	4.17	0.85	High	4.14	0.93	High
20. After reading extensively each time, I could evaluate myself on how well I was reading.	3.94	0.79	High	3.67	0.89	High
29. I felt of a good development of my reading abilities from reading extensively.	4.31	0.89	very high	3.89	0.89	High
Balance	**3.92**	**0.61**	**High**	**3.73**	**0.57**	**High**
3. I chose to read the extensive reading materials at the difficulty levels that matched my reading abilities.	4.22	0.83	very high	4.11	0.98	High

12. The extensive reading materials that I read were challenging but I believed my accumulated reading skills could be used to deal with those challenges.	3.97	0.84	High	3.75	0.94	High
21. I felt I have had enough reading abilities to read more challenging extensive reading materials.	3.42	1.00	High	3.42	0.91	High
30. I felt related to the extensive reading materials as I believed I could read.	4.08	0.91	High	3.64	0.80	High
Concentration	**3.81**	**0.65**	**High**	**3.58**	**0.62**	**High**
4. I did not have to control my mind to concentrate on the extensive reading materials.	3.31	0.95	Moderate	3.03	1.06	moderate
13. While reading extensively. I did not think of any other things.	3.75	1.00	High	3.67	1.10	High
22. I completely focused on reading the extensive materials.	3.86	0.83	High	3.61	0.87	High
31. I had never forced myself when I had to stop reading extensively.	4.31	0.92	very high	4.03	0.94	High
Control	**4.01**	**0.75**	**High**	**3.56**	**0.74**	**High**
5. I felt good to have read the extensive reading materials that I was really interested in reading.	4.28	0.81	very high	4.17	0.77	High
14. I felt I could control my readings.	3.69	1.01	High	3.17	1.18	moderate
23. I could control myself to concentrate on reading.	3.81	0.95	High	3.33	0.93	moderate
32. I felt great to be able to manage time, place, and reading strategies on my own.	4.25	0.91	very high	3.58	1.02	High
Awareness	3.81	0.61	High	3.49	0.75	High
6. My extensive readings occurred regularly without trying to do so.	3.33	1.17	Moderate	2.81	0.98	moderate
15. I felt I become a part of the extensive reading materials that I was reading.	4.06	0.79	High	3.83	1.06	High

24. My feelings while reading were harmonized with the extensive materials.	3.86	0.76	High	3.81	0.79	High
33. I paid attention completely to the extensive reading materials.	3.97	0.88	High	3.53	1.08	High
Consciousness	**4.03**	**0.63**	**High**	**3.84**	**0.63**	**High**
7. While reading extensively, I was not concerned with the surroundings because my focus was on reading.	4.08	0.97	High	3.72	1.14	High
16. I did not worry about what the researcher thought of my readings.	3.72	0.81	High	3.78	0.87	High
25. While I enjoyed reading the extensive materials, I felt like my consciousness was away for a while.	4.17	0.81	High	4.08	0.73	High
34. After finishing reading the extensive materials, I was more proud of myself.	4.14	0.99	High	3.78	1.05	High
Time	**3.99**	**0.88**	**High**	**3.81**	**0.80**	**High**
8. While reading extensively, my perception of time seemed to be different from the real time on the clock.	3.83	1.08	High	3.81	1.06	High
17. I felt like time stopped while I was reading extensively.	4.11	0.95	High	3.81	1.06	High
26. While I enjoyed reading the extensive materials, time seemed to pass slowly.	3.97	0.94	High	3.72	0.81	High
35. While I was feeling good with the extensive reading materials, time seemed to pass very quickly.	4.06	1.04	High	3.92	0.97	High
Experience	**4.06**	**0.74**	**High**	**3.72**	**0.68**	**High**
9. I felt enjoyable and happiness while reading extensively.	3.89	1.01	High	3.50	0.91	High
18. The extensive reading experiences made me feel great.	3.97	0.94	High	3.53	0.97	High
27. I found the extensive reading experiences inspired me to read more.	4.06	0.83	High	3.83	0.77	High

36. The extensive reading is worth doing.	4.33	0.68	very high	4.03	0.77	High

3.2 The correlation between students' flow and the sum of words they read

The Chart A and Chart B respectively show the correlation result between students' flow and the numbers of words they read in the first and second semester (Semester 2). In the first semester, the association between the two variables would not be considered statistically significant (P: .477346, R: 0.1223). In the second semester, although technically a positive correlation, the relationship between the variables is weak, as it is indicated by the value which is near to zero. The nearer the value to zero, the weaker the relationship is (P: .007 [significant], R: 04391). These findings indicate that the more often the students do ER, the bigger their chance to experience flow. The finding is in line with Kirchhoff's (2013) who stated that FE is positively influenced by one's reading habit. In other words, the students who tend to experience flow are likely to become lifelong readers (Day & Bamford, 1998; Grabe, 2009).

Chart A Correlation between words read and flow in the 1st semester



Y: Words read
X: Flow

Chart B Correlation between words read and flow in the 2nd semester



Y: Words read
X: Flow

CONCLUSION

Based on the findings and discussions, it can be concluded that during the students' involvement in extensive reading in their 1st and 2nd semesters, they experience flow highly. This high flow experience is mostly occurred because of the students' interest in their reading material. Thus, it can also be concluded that the most significant condition for the students to experience flow is *feedback*. From this finding, it can also be concluded that the students still rely on external motivation to be able to experience flow.

The students' reliance on external motivation is also supported by the finding that the flow condition *goal* gets the lowest score in both semesters. In doing extensive reading, the students are free to set their own goals weekly. Therefore, the low score in this flow condition indicates that the students are not familiar in doing their own goal setting regularly to reach the given goal for each semester. This also shows that they depend on external rewards or encouragement to keep them on track when doing extensive reading.

In terms of students' flow and the sum of words they read, the result shows that in the 2nd semester the larger the number of words read, the higher the students' flow experience are. It means that the more often the students do ER, the bigger their chance to experience to flow.

Based on the findings and discussions in the previous chapter, it can be concluded that during the students' involvement in extensive reading in their 1st and 2nd semesters, they experience flow highly. This high flow experience is mostly occurred because of the students' interest in their reading material. Thus, it can also be concluded that the most significant condition for the students to experience flow is *feedback*. From this finding, it can also be concluded that the students still rely on external motivation to be able to experience flow.

The students' reliance on external motivation is also supported by the finding that the flow condition *goal* gets the lowest score in both semesters. In doing extensive reading, the students are free to set their own goals weekly. Therefore, the low score in this flow condition indicates that the students are not familiar in doing their own goal setting regularly to reach the given goal for each semester. This also shows that they depend on external rewards or encouragement to keep them on track when doing extensive reading. In terms of students' flow and the sum of words they read, the result shows that in the 2nd semester the larger the number of words read, the higher the students' flow experience are. It means that the more often the students do ER, the bigger their chance to experience to flow.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The current study was funded by *Universitas Kristen Maranatha* (Maranatha Christian University). Thus, we, as the reserachers, would like to thank the University as well as the Institution of Research and Community Service (LPPM) at the University for their support.

REFERENCES

Ali, Z., Palpanadan, S.T., Asad, M.M., Churi, P & Namaziandoset. (2022) Reading approaches practiced in EFL classrooms: a narrative review and research agenda. *Asian-Pacific Journal of Second and Foreign Language Education* 7 (28), 1-25. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40862-022-00155-4

Anandari, C. L., & Iswandari, Y. A. (2019). Extensive reading in Indonesian schools: A successful story. *TEFLIN Journal*, *30*(2), 137–152. https://doi.org/10.15639/teflinjournal.v30i2/137-152

Aubrey, S. (2017a). Inter-cultural contact and flow in a task-based Japanese EFL classroom. *Language Teaching Research*, *21*(6), 717–734. https://doi.org/10.1177/1362168816683563

Aubrey, S. (2017b). Measuring flow in the EFL classroom: Learners' perceptions of inter- and intra-cultural task-based interactions. *TESOL Quarterly*, *51*(3), 661–692. https://doi.org/10.1002/tesq.387

Babayan, A. (2019). Extensive reading in ESP. *Journal of the IATEFL English for Specific Purpose Special Interest Group 53.* 10-15.

Cho, M. (2018). Task complexity and modality: Exploring learners' experience from the perspective of flow. *The Modern Language Journal*, *102*(1), 162–180. https://doi.org/10.1111/modl.12460

Day, R., & Bamford, J. (2002). Top ten principles for teaching extensive reading. *Reading in a Foreign Language*, *14*(2), 136–141.

Egbert, J. (2003). A study of flow theory in the foreign language classroom. *Modern Language Journal*, *87*(4), 499–518. https://doi.org/10.1111/1540-4781.00204

Egbert, J. (2004). A study of flow theory in the foreign language classroom. *The Canadian Modern Language Review*, *60*(5), 549–585. https://doi.org/10.3138/cmlr.60.5.549

Fongpaiboon, A. (2017). *A study of extensive reading and flow experience among EFL Thai university students* [Thesis, Thammasat University]. http://grad.litu.tu.ac.th/assets/public/kcfinder/upload_grad_web/public/5_2017_ARIYADHORN%20FONGPAIBOON_4-6-18.pdf

Grabe, W. (2009). *Reading in a second language: Moving from theory to practice*. Cambridge University Press.

Grabe, W., & Stoller, F. L. (2020). *Teaching and researching reading* (Third Edition.). Routledge.

Hadiyanto, A. K. (2019). Students' collaborative story writing project in an extensive reading program. *TEFLIN Journal*, *30*(2), 197–211. https://doi.org/10.15639/teflinjournal.v30i2/197-211

Hagley, E. (2017). Extensive graded reading with Engineering students: Effects and outcomes. *Reading in a Foreign Language*, *29*(2), 203–217.

Husna, N. (2019). Developing students' critical thinking through an integrated extensive reading program. *TEFLIN Journal: A Publication on the Teaching and Learning of English*, *30*(2), 212–230. https://doi.org/10.15639/teflinjournal.v30i2/212-230

Kirchhoff, C. (2013). L2 extensive reading and flow: Clarifying the relationship. *Reading in a Foreign Language*, *25*(2), 192–212.

Macalister, J. (2014). The say-it activity.(integrating reading in language learning)(Reading)(Report). *Modern English Teacher*, *23*(1), 29.

MacIntyre, P. D. (2016). So far so good: An overview of positive psychology and its contributions to SLA. In D. Gabryś-Barker & D. Gałajda (Eds.), *Positive psychology perspectives on foreign language learning and teaching* (pp. 3–20). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-32954-3_1

McQuillan, J., & Conde, G. (1996). The conditions of flow in reading: Two studies of optimal experience. *Reading Psychology*, *17*(2), 109–135. https://doi.org/DOI: 10.1080/0270271960170201

Mirlohi, M., Egbert, J., & Ghonsooly, B. (2011). Flow in translation: Exploring optimal experience for translation trainees. *Target*, *23*(2), 251-271.

Nation, I. S. P. (2013). *Learning vocabulary in another language* (Second edition.). University Press.

Nguyen, L. T. C., & Nation, P. (2011). A bilingual vocabulary size test of English for Vietnamese learners. *RELC Journal*, *42*(1), 86–99. https://doi.org/10.1177/0033688210390264

Renandya, W. A., & Jacobs, G. M. (2016). Extensive Reading and listening in the L2 classroom. In W. A. Renandya & H. P. Widodo (Eds.), *English language teaching today. English language education*. Springer. . In: Renandya W., Widodo H. (eds) English language teaching today.https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-38834-2_8

Waring, R., & Husna, N. (2019). Expectations and experiences of Indonesian teachers who have, and have not, done extensive reading. *TEFLIN Journal*, *30*(2), 153–170. https://doi.org/10.15639/teflinjournal.v30i2/153-170

Webb, S., & Chang, A. C.-S. (2015). *How does prior word knowledge affect vocabulary learning progress in an extensive reading program?* *37*(4), 651–675. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0272263114000606

Webb, S., Sasao, Y., & Ballance, O. (2017). The updated Vocabulary Levels Test: Developing and validating two new forms of the VLT. *ITL - International Journal of Applied Linguistics*, *168*(1), 33–69. https://doi.org/10.1075/itl.168.1.02web

Outlook

ERALINGUA_ INITIAL REVIEW RESULT

From Yusri UNM <yusri@unm.ac.id>
Date Thu 23/05/2024 12:43 PM
To FENTY SIREGAR <fenty.siregar@outlook.com>; henni@let.maranatha.edu <henni@let.maranatha.edu>

Dear Authors

Your article titled **"An investigation on reading flow experience among EFL Indonesian students"** has passed initial review by the editorial team, and the next step will be sent to reviewers.

It is important to note the publishing fee in Eralingua, which should be paid (IDR 2.000.000). Fees will be paid after the reviewer decides your article is accepted for publication in the Eralingua Journal.

Our journal also provides a fast-track process. However, there is an additional fee (IDR 1.000.000)

Please confirm with us soon. If you agree, your article will be sent to the reviewers, and if you disagree, you can take it back.

if you have any questions, do not hesitate to contact us

--
Yusri, S.Pd., M.A
Managing Editor of Eralingua Journal
Unversitas Negeri Makassar
Phone: +(62)85255602827 Email: yusri@unm.ac.id

Outlook

ERALINGUA_Editor Decision (2nd Round)

From Yusri UNM <yusri@unm.ac.id>
Date Thu 8/08/2024 7:12 PM
To FENTY SIREGAR <fenty.siregar@outlook.com>; henni@let.maranatha.edu <henni@let.maranatha.edu>

Dear Authors

Firstly, we would like to say thank you so much for sending the revised article entitled "

An investigation on reading flow experience among EFL Indonesian students

Our editor's review managed to show there are some things that you need to adjust in your paper. Therefore, our decision is Revision required.that the revision of your manuscript will be in our system within **five days** from now.

Some aspects should be considered in revising the manuscript:

1. Finding and Discussion must be separated

2. Please delete table 4, you just need to summary the result of table 4, not showing all the scales

3. There are 2 charts in the end of discussion, I did not understand what the meaning of the charts, because the author did not explain well.

4.Please follow the template of ERALINGUA journal before submitted

Best Wishes
Journal Editorial Team

--
Yusri, S.Pd., M.A
Managing Editor of Eralingua Journal
Unversitas Negeri Makassar
Phone: +(62)85255602827 Email: yusri@unm.ac.id

Outlook

ERALINGUA_Editor Decision

From Yusri UNM <yusri@unm.ac.id>
Date Fri 14/06/2024 9:55 AM
To FENTY SIREGAR <fenty.siregar@outlook.com>; henni@let.maranatha.edu <henni@let.maranatha.edu>

1 attachment (812 KB)
61762-151125-1-SM.docx;

Dear Authors

Firstly, we would like to say thank you so much for sending the revised article entitled "

An investigation on reading flow experience among EFL Indonesian students

Our editor's review managed to show there are some things that you need to adjust in your paper. Therefore our decision is: **Revision required** We are expecting that the revision of your manuscript will be in our system within **5 days** from now.

One more thing, when you revise your manuscript, please highlight the changes you make by using **the Track Changes function in your Microsoft Word** or using bold or colored text, so the editorial board can see the changes you will have made. This means we are only expecting the file in **Word with the track changes version.**

Best Wishes
Journal Editorial Team

--
Yusri, S.Pd., M.A
Managing Editor of Eralingua Journal
Unversitas Negeri Makassar
Phone: +(62)85255602827 Email: yusri@unm.ac.id

Outlook

Published_ Vol 8. No.2, 2024

From Yusri UNM <yusri@unm.ac.id>
Date Wed 18/09/2024 1:53 PM
To FENTY SIREGAR <fenty.siregar@outlook.com>; henni@let.maranatha.edu <henni@let.maranatha.edu>

We cordially inform you that your article titled **An Investigation on Reading Flow Experience Among EFL Indonesian Students** has been published.

The payment (IDR 1.500.000) can be transferred to the following account:

Name of Holder : Femmy Angreany
Account Number : 0844629370
Bank Name : BNI

Please send proof of payment to this email.

If you have any questions in this regard, do not hesitate to contact us (085255602827, Yusri)

--
Yusri, S.Pd., M.A
Managing Editor of Eralingua Journal
Unversitas Negeri Makassar
Phone: +(62)85255602827 Email: yusri@unm.ac.id