
#### **Bukti Korespondensi**

# Penerbitan Artikel "Challenges for increasing the sustainability of engineering faculties: a case study in Indonesian private university" pada Journal of Education and Learning (EduLearn)

- 1. Submitted to the journal "EduLearn" (27 December 2023)
- 2. Editor decision: Major Revision Required (6 February 2024)
- 3. Author submitted first revision (18 May 2024)
- 4. Editor decision: Revision Required (17 May 2024)
- 5. Author submitted second revision (18 May 2024)
- 6. Paper accepted (18 May 2024)
- 7. Author requested Letter of Acceptance (27 May 2024)
- 8. Author sent Proof of Payment and Turnitin Result (27 June 2024)
- 9. Editor team gave Certificate of Acceptance (10 July 2024)
- 10. Editor requested third revision (30 August 2024)
- 11. Author submitted third revision (31 August 2024)
- 12. Editor sent Proofreading Paper for May 2025 Issue (21 October 2024)
- 13. Author approve the Proofreading Paper (21 October 2024)
- 14. Paper published in May 2025 Issues (1 November 2024)
- 15. Editor team gave Certificate Author EduLearn (6 November 2024)

# Submitted to the journal "EduLearn" (27 December 2023) https://edulearn.intelektual.org/index.php/EduLearn/author/submission/21674



Author Gu

Editorial Bo Reviewers

Online Su

Abstracting **Publicatio** 

Visitor Stat Contact Us

Date submitted

Author comments

Section

Editor

December 27, 2023 - 03:49 PM

Lina Handayani 🕮 (Review)

Dear Lead Editor

General issues in education and learning

Journal of Education and Learning (EduLearn)

#### Editor decision: Major Revision Required (6 February 2024)

KI Editor/Author Correspondence - AVG Secure Browser



edulearn.intelektual.org/index.php/EduLearn/author/viewEditorDecisionComments/21674#4546

# Editor/Author Correspondence

Editor Subject: [EduLearn] Editor Decision - Major Revisions Required

**DELETE** 

2024-

02-06 05:51

-- Paper ID# 21674

- -- Number of minimum references is 25 primarily journal articles published in the last five years
- -- The references must be presented in numbering and CITATION ORDER (in the body paper) is SEQUENTIAL [1], [2], [3], [4], .....
- -- Guide of authors and Template: http://iaescore.com/gfa/edulearn.docx

Dear Prof/Dr/Mr/Mrs: Yulianti Talar,

We have reached a decision regarding your paper submission entitled "Challenges for Increasing the Sustainability of Engineering Faculties: A Case Study in Indonesian Private University" to Journal of Education and Learning (EduLearn). This journal is ACCREDITED (recognised) by the Ministry of Education, Culture, Research, and Technology, Republic of Indonesia (Decree No: 5162/E4/AK.04/2021), has just been accepted for inclusion in Scopus (https://suggestor.step.scopus.com/progressTracker/?

trackingID=4F4DD39B4F318CE6), and is also INDEXED by ERIC Institute of Education Sciences (IES) of the U.S. Department of Education..

Our decision is to: Revisions Required

Please adhere to these rules to guarantee that your work is full and formatted correctly.

- Only high-quality manuscripts are published in this journal. A high-quality paper MUST include the following features: 1) a clear presentation of the topic addressed by the study; 2) the proposed idea(s)/solution(s); and 3) the findings obtained. It clearly summarizes what has previously been done on the subject, as well as what is NEW. Your updated paper should describe NOVEL TECHNICAL RESULTS.
- Make certain that your conversation section is appropriate. The "results and discussion" section summarizes the most important findings, including any relevant results analysis.
- The title describes the key notion or ideas of your study (the title is your paper's "summary" and "essence"). The title should be both "inclusive" and "descriptive." A excellent title uses as few words as possible to accurately convey the content and/or aim of your research paper. Use abbreviations and acronyms sparingly unless they are widely recognized.

Please try to arrange your paper; the audiences of this journal are not only Indonesian authors but also international audiences. The findings should benefit international audiences.

Please take the Editor and/or Reviewers comments into account where appropriate in preparing your final manuscript. Submit your revised paper through our online system at same paper ID number as "AUTHOR VERSION" within 8 weeks. At least submit your revised paper in MS Word file format to edulearn@uad.ac.id within 8 weeks.

We are looking forward to receiving your revised paper.

Best Regards, Lina Handayani Managing Editor edulearn@uad.ac.id



Each citation should be written in the order of appearance in the text in square brackets. For example, the first citation [1], the second citation [2], and the third and fourth citations [3,4]. When citing multiple sources at once, the preferred method is to list each number separately, in its own brackets, using a comma or dash between numbers, as such: [1], [3], [5] or [4-8]. It is not necessary to mention an author's name, pages used, or date of publication in the in-text citation. Instead, refer to the source with a number in a square bracket, e.g. [9], that will then correspond to the full citation in your reference list. Examples of in-text citations:

This theory was first put forward in 1970 [9]."
Handayani [10] has argued that...
Several recent studies [7], [9], [11-15] have suggested that....
...end of the line for my research [16].

- For an original research paper, there are four (4) sorts of innovative technical outcomes: 1) An method/technique/approach/framework/...; 2) A system construct, such as a hardware design, software system, protocol, and so on; The major purpose of your rewritten article is to guarantee that the next individual who builds a system similar to yours does not make the same mistakes and takes benefit of some of your better ideas. So make certain that the difficult problems (and their answers) are covered, as well as the non-obvious mistakes (and how to prevent them). 3) A performance evaluation: acquired by analysis, simulation, or measurements; or 4) A theory: a set of theorems. Your updated paper should emphasize: 1) Describing the results in sufficient detail to verify their validity; 2) Identifying the innovative parts of the results, i.e., what new information is revealed and what makes it non-obvious; and 3) Identifying the relevance of the results: what improvements and effect do they imply. A minimum of 25 references are required for an original research work (included 20 recent journal articles).

- For review paper, there should be a critical, constructive review of the literature in a certain topic via summary, categorization, analysis and comparison in this work. The purpose of a review article is one of five things: 1) to arrange literature; 2) to assess literature; 3) to find patterns and trends in literature; 4) to synthesize literature; or 5) to identify research gaps and offer new areas of investigation. The structure includes:
- 1. Title in this case does not indicate that it is a review article.
- 2. Abstract includes a description of subjects covered.
- 3. Introduction includes a description of context (paragraph 1–3), motivation for review (paragraph 4, sentence #1) and defines the focus (paragraph 4, sentences #2–3)
- 4. Body structured by headings and subheadings
- 5. Conclusion states the implications of the findings and an identifies possible new research fields
- 6. References ("Literature Review") organised by number in the order they were cited in the text. Number of minimum references for review paper is 50 references (and minimum 40 recently journal articles).

\_\_\_\_\_\_

- Abstract: Prepare your abstract in one paragraph of no more than 200 words. You must summarize your contribution, hypothesis, findings/results, and discuss the consequences of your findings. There are no abbreviations, footnotes, or references. Without the use of mathematical equations, graphs, or tabular data. It is recommended that you offer your abstract using the following elements: 1) state the primary goal of the paper; 2) highlight the merits (or contribution; 3) provide a conceptual idea on the method; 4) describe the research design and procedures/processes used (is it simulation, experimental, survey, etc.); 5) provide the main outcomes or results, as well as any conclusions that may be drawn; and 6) include any implications for further research or application/practice, if any.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

The following criteria should be carefully considered in your updated paper:

- 1. Analysis: Your rewritten article should exhibit a thorough comprehension of the major problems surrounding your chosen topic. The article should demonstrate analysis rather than a simple overview of the issue under examination. It should also offer proof to back its arguments if needed.
- 2. Connections: Throughout the research, your work should illustrate a relationship between the sources you include and the major issue.
- 3. Mechanics: This involves paying attention to punctuation, grammar, and spelling mistakes in your contributions.
- 4. Formatting: Follow the updated author's guide (http://iaescore.com/gfa/edulearn.docx).

Reviewer A: Does the title of the paper accurately reflect the major focus contribution of this paper?: If No, Please suggest change of the title as appropriate: Is the abstract an appropriate and adequate digest of the work?: If No, Please suggest change of the abstract as appropriate:: Is the paper clear, concise, and well organized?: If No, Please suggest change of the organizing as appropriate:: Rate of the contribution strength to the field is represented in this paper?: Average Rate the scientific quality of the paper?: Do authors place the paper in proper context by citing relevant papers?: Is the paper free from obvious errors, misconceptions, or ambiguity?: Is the paper written in correct English?: If No, please note grammatical errors and suggest corrections:: Are the references in EduLearn style?:

No

Are the figures and tables in EduLearn style, clear, relevant, and are the captions adequate?: Yes

Is the length of the paper adequate?: Yes

Please mark appropriate scale for the overall grade for this paper? (A score of 7 of 10 or above typically provides ground for EduLearn acceptance):

OTHER Reviewer's comments and suggestions to improve the paper. (If it is not possible, kindly please use separate sheets or a copy of the paper for comments and suggestions for revision. Indicate whether revisions are mandatory or suggested. Please use word processing type format if possible, and then upload in this system or submit via email to EduLearn@journal.uad.ac.id):

- Please add more keywords into minimum 5 and maximum 7 keywords.
- Check all numbers written on your paper. Use periods (.) for decimal number and use commas (,) for thousands.
- Please check all abbreviation(s)/acronym(s). Expand the full term of an acronym when it is mentioned for the first time.
- Check your paper for paragraphs that lack sentences. Please elaborate paragraphs into at least 3 sentences by adding a few more sentences, or merging with previous/next paragraph(s).
- Graphs or charts with legends are required to be in black and white format. Distinguish the data series using patterns instead of colors.
- Please complete Figure 1 graph by adding the axis title (both vertical and horizontal axis must have a label).
- Please revise citation writing which are written like these: Nandeshwar's research (2011) and Hall et al. (2015), French et al. (2005), Watterson and Carnegie (2011).

Each citation has to be enclosed in square brackets and listed in the text in the order that it appears (sequential order). It is not necessary to mention an author's name, pages used, or date of publication in the in-text citation. Instead, refer to the source with a number in a square bracket.

- Acknowledgements section should present funding acknowldgement statement.
- Please add doi of references.

- Please write Biographies of Authors according to EduLearn template. Provide ORCID ID of all authors.

\_\_\_\_\_

Reviewer G:

Does the title of the paper accurately reflect the major focus contribution of this paper?: Yes

If No, Please suggest change of the title as appropriate:

Is the abstract an appropriate and adequate digest of the work?: Yes

If No, Please suggest change of the abstract as appropriate::

Is the paper clear, concise, and well organized?: No

If No, Please suggest change of the organizing as appropriate::

Rate of the contribution strength to the field is represented in this paper?: Average

Rate the scientific quality of the paper?: Average

Do authors place the paper in proper context by citing relevant papers?: Yes

Is the paper free from obvious errors, misconceptions, or ambiguity?:

Is the paper written in correct English?:

If No, please note grammatical errors and suggest corrections::

Are the references in EduLearn style?:

Are the figures and tables in EduLearn style, clear, relevant, and are the captions adequate?:

Is the length of the paper adequate?:

Please mark appropriate scale for the overall grade for this paper? (A score of 7 of 10 or above typically provides ground for EduLearn acceptance):

OTHER Reviewer's comments and suggestions to improve the paper. (If it is not possible, kindly please use separate sheets or a copy of the paper for comments and suggestions for revision. Indicate whether revisions are mandatory or suggested. Please use word processing type format if possible, and then upload in this system or submit via email to EduLearn@journal.uad.ac.id):

- The authors should give at least 5 keywords .
- Use IEEE citation and referencing formats consistently.
- A charts should be given an axis.
- There are found local references in manuscript, the author should replace them with international reputable
- The authors should ensure that all paragraphs are complete. Each paragraph should have a minimum of three sentences, one of which should serve as the main or key statement and at least two others as supporting sentences.

Journal of Education and Learning http://edulearn.intelektual.org

#### **Author submitted first revision (2 April 2024)**

Author Subject: Challenges for Increasing the Sustainability of Engineering Faculties: A Case Study in Indonesian Private University

**DELETE** 

2024-

04-02

02:58

Dear EduLearn Editor,

I have uploaded the Author Version, with ID 21674.

There are three reviewer comments that I cannot fully accommodate, I wrote the reasons in 21674 Revision

I hope the revisions I made are in line with the expectations of the reviewers and editors.

Thank you.

Regards,

Yulianti Talar

# **Editor decision: Revision Required (17 May 2024)**

|                               | Subject: [EduLearn] Editor Decision - Revisions Required  | DELETE |
|-------------------------------|---|--------|
| 2024-<br>05-17<br>10:01<br>AM |   |        |
|                               | Reviewer A:   |        |
|                               | Does the title of the paper accurately reflect the major focus contribution of this paper?: Yes |        |
|                               | If No, Please suggest change of the title as appropriate:                                       |        |
|                               | Is the abstract an appropriate and adequate digest of the work?:<br>Yes                         |        |
|                               | If No, Please suggest change of the abstract as appropriate::                                   |        |
|                               | Is the paper clear, concise, and well organized?:<br>Yes  |        |
|                               | If No, Please suggest change of the organizing as appropriate::                                 |        |
|                               | Rate of the contribution strength to the field is represented in this paper?:<br>Good           |        |
|                               | Rate the scientific quality of the paper?:<br>Good  |        |
|                               | Do authors place the paper in proper context by citing relevant papers?:<br>Yes                 |        |
|                               | Is the paper free from obvious errors, misconceptions, or ambiguity?:<br>Yes                    |        |
|                               | Is the paper written in correct English?:<br>Yes  |        |

| If No, please note grammatical errors and suggest corrections::  |
|--|
| Are the references in EduLearn style?:<br>Yes  |
| Are the figures and tables in EduLearn style, clear, relevant, and are the captions adequate?:<br>Yes  |
| Is the length of the paper adequate?:<br>Yes   |
| Please mark appropriate scale for the overall grade for this paper? (A score of 7 of 10 or above typically provides ground for EduLearn acceptance):<br>7  |
| OTHER Reviewer's comments and suggestions to improve the paper. (If it is not possible, kindly please use separate sheets or a copy of the paper for comments and suggestions for revision. Indicate whether revisions are mandatory or suggested. Please use word processing type format if possible, and then upload in this system or submit via email to EduLearn@journal.uad.ac.id):  Consider rearranging the conclusion section to be written concisely in 1 to 2 paragraphs. |
| Reviewer B:  |
| Does the title of the paper accurately reflect the major focus contribution of this paper?:<br>No  |
| If No, Please suggest change of the title as appropriate:  |
| Is the abstract an appropriate and adequate digest of the work?:<br>Yes  |
| If No, Please suggest change of the abstract as appropriate::  |

Is the paper clear, concise, and well organized?:

If No, Please suggest change of the organizing as appropriate::

Rate of the contribution strength to the field is represented in this paper?: Average

Rate the scientific quality of the paper?: Average

Do authors place the paper in proper context by citing relevant papers?:

Is the paper free from obvious errors, misconceptions, or ambiguity?:

Is the paper written in correct English?:

Yes

If No, please note grammatical errors and suggest corrections::

Are the references in EduLearn style?:

Yes

Are the figures and tables in EduLearn style, clear, relevant, and are the captions adequate?:

Is the length of the paper adequate?:

Yes

Please mark appropriate scale for the overall grade for this paper? (A score of 7 of 10 or above typically provides ground for EduLearn acceptance):

7

OTHER Reviewer's comments and suggestions to improve the paper. (If it is not possible, kindly please use separate sheets or a copy of the paper for comments and suggestions for revision. Indicate whether revisions are mandatory or suggested. Please use word processing type format if possible, and then upload in this system or submit via email to EduLearn@journal.uad.ac.id):

- The author should ensure that all paragraphs are complete. Each paragraph should have a minimum of three sentences, one of which should serve as the main or key statement and at least two others as supporting sentences.
- The points in manuscript should be made into paragraphs.
- The authors should ensure Tables and Figures are described and mentioned in the text before they are displayed.

\_\_\_\_\_

#### Author submitted second revision (18 May 2024)

Author Subject: Challenges for Increasing the Sustainability of Engineering Faculties: A Case Study in 2024-Indonesian Private University

DELETE

05-18

AM

04:51 Dear EduLearn Editor.

> I have uploaded the Author's Version, with ID 21674 for Revision Round 2. I hope that the revisions I made meet the expectations of the reviewers and editors.

I intend to request an exception for the excess pages of this article if this article is published because the amount of excess is quite large. I had looked at the publication fee before submitting the article, but I didn't realize that excess pages would incur additional fees. I hope this waiver can be approved, even though it was filed late.

Thank you.

Regards, Yulianti Talar

#### Paper accepted (18 May 2024)

Editor Subject: [EduLearn] Decision - SCOPUS indexed Journal of Education and Learning (EduLearn)

DELETE

2024-

05-18

05:56

-- Paper ID# 21674

-- Number of minimum references is 25 primarily journal articles published in the last five years

Dear Prof./Dr./Mrs. Yulianti Talar,

It is my great pleasure to inform you that your paper entitled "Challenges for Increasing the Sustainability of Engineering Faculties: A Case Study in Indonesian Private University" is conditionally ACCEPTED and will be published in the Journal of Education and Learning (EduLearn). This journal is ACCREDITED (recognised) by the Ministry of Education, Culture, Research, and Technology, Republic of Indonesia (Decree No: 5162/E4/AK.04/2021), indexed by the ERIC Institute of Education Sciences (IES) of the U.S. Department of Education, and has just indexed by Scopus (https://www.scopus.com/sourceid/21101162692). Congratulations!

Our layout team should contact you later. Please cooperate in preparing the final paper for the galley.

However, we require your cooperation for minor revisions. Please ensure that your paper is properly organized, as the readers of this journal include not only local authors in your country but also international audiences. The results are expected to be advantageous for global audiences. Authors should write "research grant or contract" in the acknowledgement section. Please prepare your final paper by doing your best to avoid any delay for publication !!!

We prioritize articles that are well-prepared and of high quality; articles with poor format and quality will not be prioritized. Please do it seriously !!!

Submit all your documents below within 6 weeks:

- 1. Final paper (in MS Word file format)
- 2. Full similarity report (in PDF file format), along with
- Payment evidence

to email: EduLearn@uad.ac.id cc: EduLearn@journal.uad.ac.id.

The similarity rate should be checked by using software such as iThenticate or Turnitin (that the result is below 25%). If the similarity index is more than 25%, your paper will be rescheduled for publication until the similarity is less than 25%.

We really appreciate your total commitment to supporting this journal.

The similarity rate should be checked by using software such as iThenticate or Turnitin (that the result is below 25%). If the similarity index is more than 25%, your paper will be rescheduled for publication until the similarity is less than 25%.

We really appreciate your total commitment to supporting this journal.

Your cooperation is very appreciated

Best Regards, Lina Handayani Managing Editor edulearn@uad.ac.id

| cadical newards.ta  |
|---|
| Scopus indexed journal: https://www.scopus.com/sourceid/21101162692   |
|   |
| To avoid delays, please adhere to the current guidelines (http://edulearn.intellektual.org/index.php/EduLearn/about/editorial policy#customs-5). Examine the format and quality of the articles published in this journal (http://edulearn.intelektual.org/index.php/EduLearn/issue/archive). |
|   |

Pay attention also to the following instructions carefully!

- 1). PLEASE ADHERE STRICTLY THE GUIDE OF AUTHORS !! http://iaescore.com/gfa/edulearn.docx and pay attention to the checklist for preparing your FINAL paper for publication: http://edulearn.intelektual.org/index.php/EduLearn/about/editorialPolicies#custom-5
- 2). All articles must follow the IMRAD plus C structure: 1. INTRODUCTION 2. The Advanced Theory/Proposed Method/Framework/... specifically designed/proposed (optional) 3. METHOD 4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION 5. CONCLUSION (all included and clearly printed). See http://iaescore.com/gfa/edulearn.docx

- 3). Add biographies of authors as our template (include links to all authors' profiles, do not delete any icons in the template). It is mandatory!! See http://iaescore.com/gfa/edulearn.docx
- --> Provide links for all authors to the 4 icons (Scholar, Scopus, Clarivate and ORCID IDs)
- 4). Please ensure that all references have been cited in your text. Use a tool such as EndNote, Mendeley, or Zotero for reference management and formatting, and choose IEEE style. Each citation should be written in the order of appearance in the text in square brackets. For example, the first citation [1], the second citation [2], and the third and fourth citations [3], [4]. When citing multiple sources at once, the preferred method is to list each number separately, in its own brackets, using a comma or dash between numbers, as such: [1], [3], [5]. It is not necessary to mention an author's name, pages used, or date of publication in the in-text citation [6]-[8]. Instead, refer to the source with a number in a square bracket, e.g. [9], that will then correspond to the full citation in your reference list. Examples of in-text citations:

This theory was first put forward in 1970 [9].

Zadeh [10] has argued that ...

Several recent studies [7], [9], [11]-[15] have suggested that....

... end of the line for my research [16].

5). Please present all references as complete as possible and use IEEE style (include information of DOIs, volume, number, pages, etc). If it is available, DOI information is mandatory!! See http://iaescore.com/gfa/edulearn.docx

\_\_\_\_\_

To support the cost of wide open access dissemination of research results, to manage the various costs

associated with handling and editing of the submitted manuscripts, and the journal management and publication in general, the authors or the author's institution is requested to pay a publication and layout fee.

Local (INDONESIA Authors): IDR 3000K Overseas (International Authors): USD 199

The above fee covers the standard eight (8) pages manuscript.

For every additional page an extra fee of USD 40 (~IDR 600K) per page will be charged.

The payment should be made by bank transfer (T/T):

Beneficiary Name/Account Holder (please be exact): TOLE SUTIKNO

Bank Name: Bank Rakyat Indonesia (BRI)

Branch Office: Gedong Kuning

City: Yogyakarta Country: Indonesia

Bank Account #: 300801004389502

SWIFT Code: BRINIDJAXXX


or as alternative, you can pay by using PayPal to email: info@iaesjournal.com

\_\_\_\_\_


Journal of Education and Learning

http://edulearn.intelektual.org


# **Author requested Letter of Acceptance (27 May 2024)**




# **Author sent Proof of Payment and Turnitin Result (27 June 2024)**




# Editor team gave Certificate of Acceptance (10 July 2024)




# **Editor requested third revision (30 August 2024)**




# **Author submitted third revision (31 August 2024)**




#### Editor sent Proofreading Paper for May 2025 Issue (21 October 2024)




# **Author approve the Proofreading Paper (21 October 2024)**



# Paper published in May 2025 Issues (1 November 2024)





# Editor team gave Certificate Author EduLearn (6 November 2024)

