

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

1. Globocan, World Health Organization. 900 countries world fact sheet. 2020.
2. World Health Organization, Globocan. 360 Indonesia Fact Sheets. 2020.
3. Eliyatkin N, Yalcin E, Zengel B, Aktaş S, Vardar E. Molecular Classification of Breast Carcinoma: From Traditional, Old-Fashioned Way to A New Age, and A New Way. *J Breast Heal*. 2015;11(2):59–66.
4. Waks AG, Winer EP. Breast Cancer Treatment: A Review. *JAMA - J Am Med Assoc*. 2019;321(3):288–300.
5. Kementerian Kesehatan Indonesia. KEPUTUSAN MENTERI KESEHATAN REPUBLIK INDONESIA NOMOR HK.01.07/MENKES/414/2018 TENTANG PEDOMAN NASIONAL PELAYANAN KEDOKTERAN TATA LAKSANA KANKER PAYUDARA. 2018.
6. Kimball CC, Nichols CI, Vose JG. The Payer and Patient Cost Burden of Open Breast Conserving Procedures Following Percutaneous Breast Biopsy. *Breast Cancer Basic Clin Res*. 2018;12.
7. Birgin E, Yang C, Hetjens S, Reissfelder C, Hohenberger P, Rahbari NN. Core needle biopsy versus incisional biopsy for differentiation of soft-tissue sarcomas: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Cancer*. 2020;126(9):1917–28.
8. Moore, K. L.; Dalley, A. F.; Agur AMR. Moore Clinically Oriented Anatomy EIGHTH EDITION. Vol. 282, Wolters Kluwer. Wolters Kluwer Health; 2018. 1045–1059 p.
9. Gallagher JA, Bowler WB. Junqueira's Basic Histology. Basic Histology 13th Edition. 2013. 472–477 p.

10. Stephens FO, Aigner KR. Basics of oncology. *Basics of Oncology*. Springer Nature; 2016. 3–9 p.
11. World Health Organization. Breast cancer [Internet]. World Health Organization (WHO). 2021. Available from: <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/breast-cancer>
12. Coughlin SS. Epidemiology of Breast Cancer in Women. In 2019. p. 9–29.
13. Brewer HR, Jones ME, Schoemaker MJ, Ashworth A, Swerdlow AJ. Family history and risk of breast cancer: an analysis accounting for family structure. *Breast Cancer Res Treat*. 2017;165(1):193–200.
14. Allen NE, Beral V, Casabonne D, Kan SW, Reeves GK, Brown A, et al. Moderate alcohol intake and cancer incidence in women. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 2009;101(5):296–305.
15. Fortner RT, Katzke V, Kühn T, Kaaks R. Obesity and breast cancer. *Recent Results Cancer Res*. 2016;208:43–65.
16. Sondén ECB, Sebuødegård S, Korvald C, Lømo J, Schlichting E, Brandal SHB, et al. Cosmetic breast implants and breast cancer. *Tidsskr Nor Laegeforen* [Internet]. 2020;140(3). Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32105043>
17. Hartmann LC, Degnim AC, Santen RJ, Dupont WD, Ghosh K. Atypical Hyperplasia of the Breast — Risk Assessment and Management Options. *N Engl J Med* [Internet]. 2015 Jan 1;372(1):78–89. Available from: <http://www.nejm.org/doi/10.1056/NEJMSr1407164>
18. Mahdavi M, Nassiri M, Kooshyar MM, Vakili-Azghandi M, Avan A, Sandry R, et al. Hereditary breast cancer; Genetic penetrance and current status with BRCA. *J Cell Physiol*. 2019;234(5):5741–50.
19. Huber D, Seitz S, Kast K, Emons G, Ortmann O. Use of oral contraceptives in

- BRCA mutation carriers and risk for ovarian and breast cancer: a systematic review. *Arch Gynecol Obstet* [Internet]. 2020 Apr 5;301(4):875–84. Available from: <http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s00404-020-05458-w>
20. Chlebowski RT, Rohan TE, Manson JE, Aragaki AK, Kaunitz A, Stefanick ML, et al. Breast cancer after use of estrogen plus progestin and estrogen alone: Analyses of data from 2 women's health initiative randomized clinical trials. *JAMA Oncol*. 2015;1(3):296–305.
 21. Rakha E, Allison K. WHO Classification of Tumours Editorial Board. Breast tumours. 2020. 84–92 p.
 22. ELSTON CW, ELLIS IO. pathological prognostic factors in breast cancer. I. The value of histological grade in breast cancer: experience from a large study with long-term follow-up. *Histopathology* [Internet]. 1991 Nov;19(5):403–10. Available from: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1365-2559.1991.tb00229.x>
 23. Koh J, Kim MJ. Introduction of a New Staging System of Breast Cancer for Radiologists: An Emphasis on the Prognostic Stage. *Korean J Radiol* [Internet]. 2019;20(1):69. Available from: <https://www.kjronline.org/DOIx.php?id=10.3348/kjr.2018.0231>
 24. Lakhani SR, Ellis IO, Schnitt SJ, Tan PH, Vijver MJ van de. World Health Organization Classification of Tumours. Lyon, France: IARC Press. 2012. 244–53 p.
 25. Macdonald S, Oncology R, General M. Breast Cancer Breast Cancer. *J R Soc Med* [Internet]. 2016;70(8):515–7. Available from: <https://www2.tri-kobe.org/nccn/guideline/breast/english/breast.pdf>
 26. American Cancer Society. Fine Needle Aspiration (FNA) Biopsy of the Breast [Internet]. American Cancer Society. 2017. Available from:

- <https://www.cancer.org/cancer/breast-cancer/screening-tests-and-early-detection/breast-biopsy/fine-needle-aspiration-biopsy-of-the-breast.html>
27. Emory Winship Cancer Institute. Biopsy [Internet]. Cancer Quest. Available from: <https://www.cancerquest.org/patients/detection-and-diagnosis/biopsy#:~:text=There are a few differences,needle used is very thin.>
 28. American Cancer Society. Core Needle Biopsy of the Breast [Internet]. American Cancer Society. 2017. Available from: <https://www.cancer.org/cancer/breast-cancer/screening-tests-and-early-detection/breast-biopsy/core-needle-biopsy-of-the-breast.html>
 29. American Cancer Society. Treatment of Breast Cancer Stages I-III [Internet]. The American Cancer Society. 2019. Available from: <https://www.cancer.org/cancer/breast-cancer/treatment/treatment-of-breast-cancer-by-stage/treatment-of-breast-cancer-stages-i-iii.html#references>
 30. Jackman RJ, Marzoni FA, Rosenberg J. False-negative diagnoses at stereotactic vacuum-assisted needle breast biopsy: Long-term follow-up of 1,280 lesions and review of the literature. *Am J Roentgenol*. 2009;192(2):341–51.
 31. Gruber R, Walter E, Helbich TH. Cost comparison between ultrasound-guided 14-g large core breast biopsy and open surgical biopsy: An analysis for Austria. *Eur J Radiol* [Internet]. 2010;74(3):519–24. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejrad.2009.03.058>
 32. Lai HW, Wu HK, Kuo SJ, Chen ST, Tseng HS, Tseng LM, et al. Differences in accuracy and underestimation rates for 14- versus 16-gauge core needle biopsies in ultrasound-detectable breast lesions. *Asian J Surg* [Internet]. 2013;36(2):83–8. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.asjsur.2012.09.003>
 33. Rouse HC, Ussher S, Kavanagh AM, Cawson JN. Examining the sensitivity of ultrasound-guided large core biopsy for invasive breast carcinoma in a

- population screening programme. *J Med Imaging Radiat Oncol.* 2013;57(4):435–43.
34. Farshid G, Sullivan T, Jones S, Roder D. Performance indices of needle biopsy procedures for the assessment of screen detected abnormalities in services accredited by breastscreen Australia. *Asian Pacific J Cancer Prev.* 2014;15(24):10665–73.
 35. Farshid G, Downey P, Pieterse S, Gill PG. Effectiveness of core biopsy for screen-detected breast lesions under 10 mm: implications for surgical management. *ANZ J Surg.* 2017;87(9):725–31.
 36. Page T. JR. 2015;
 37. Susnik B, Day D, Abeln E, Bowman T, Krueger J, Swenson KK, et al. Surgical Outcomes of Lobular Neoplasia Diagnosed in Core Biopsy: Prospective Study of 316 Cases. *Clin Breast Cancer* [Internet]. 2016;16(6):507–13. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.clbc.2016.06.003>
 38. Tsai HY, Chao MF, Ou-Yang F, Kan JY, Hsu JS, Hou MF, et al. Accuracy and outcomes of stereotactic vacuum-assisted breast biopsy for diagnosis and management of nonpalpable breast lesions. *Kaohsiung J Med Sci.* 2019;35(10):640–5.
 39. Rakha EA, El-Sayed ME, Reed J, Lee AHS, Evans AJ, Ellis IO. Screen-detected breast lesions with malignant needle biopsy diagnoses and no malignancy identified in subsequent surgical excision specimens (potential false-positive diagnosis). *Eur J Cancer* [Internet]. 2009;45(7):1162–7. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejca.2008.12.002>
 40. Gruber R, Jaromi S, Rudas M, Pfarl G, Riedl CC, Flöry D, et al. Histologic work-up of non-palpable breast lesions classified as probably benign at initial mammography and/or ultrasound (BI-RADS category 3). *Eur J Radiol*

- [Internet]. 2013;82(3):398–403. Available from:
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejrad.2012.02.004>
41. Whitworth PW, Simpson JF, Poller WR, Schonholz SM, Turner JF, Phillips RF, et al. Definitive diagnosis for high-risk breast lesions without open surgical excision: The intact percutaneous excision trial (IPET). *Ann Surg Oncol*. 2011;18(11):3047–52.
 42. Bruening W, Fontanarosa J, Tipton K, Treadwell JR, Lauenders J, Schoelles K. Systematic review: Comparative effectiveness of core-needle and open surgical biopsy to diagnose breast lesions. *Ann Intern Med*. 2010;152(4):238–46.
 43. Farshid G, Edwards S, Kollias J, Gill PG. Active surveillance of women diagnosed with atypical ductal hyperplasia on core needle biopsy may spare many women potentially unnecessary surgery, but at the risk of undertreatment for a minority: 10-year surgical outcomes of 114 consecutive cases from a. *Mod Pathol* [Internet]. 2018;31(3):395–405. Available from:
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/modpathol.2017.114>
 44. Ganott MA, Zuley ML, Abrams GS, Lu AH, Kelly AE, Sumkin JH, et al. Ultrasound Guided Core Biopsy versus Fine Needle Aspiration for Evaluation of Axillary Lymphadenopathy in Patients with Breast Cancer. *ISRN Oncol*. 2014;2014:1–9.
 45. Kibil W, Hodorowicz-Zaniewska D, Popiela TJ, Kulig J. Vacuum-assisted core biopsy in diagnosis and treatment of intraductal papillomas. *Clin Breast Cancer* [Internet]. 2013;13(2):129–32. Available from:
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.clbc.2012.09.018>
 46. Masroor I, Afzal S, Shafqat G, Khattak J. Comparison of stereotactic core breast biopsy and open surgical biopsy results at a tertiary care hospital in Pakistan. *Int J Womens Health*. 2011;3(1):193–6.

47. Heywang-Köbrunner SH, Heinig A, Hellerhoff K, Holzhausen HJ, Nährig J. Use of ultrasound-guided percutaneous vacuum-assisted breast biopsy for selected difficult indications. *Breast J.* 2009;15(4):348–56.
48. Sennerstam RB, Franzén BSH, Wiksell HOT, Auer GU. Core-needle biopsy of breast cancer is associated with a higher rate of distant metastases 5 to 15 years after diagnosis than FNA biopsy. *Cancer Cytopathol.* 2017;125(10):748–56.

