172 Publications



ORIGINAL RESEARCH Published on 13 Oct 2023

The conceptual design of a stream island index for physical habitat complexity assessment in stream restoration projects

Robby Yussac Tallar



Frontiers in Built Environment doi 10.3389/fbuil.2023.1286484

REVIEW Published on 10 Oct 2023

A multidisciplinary perspective on the relationship between sustainable built environment and user perception: a bibliometric analysis

Yang Ye · Yi Huang · Shuqi Li



Frontiers in Built Environment doi 10.3389/fbuil.2023.1271889

461 views

ORIGINAL RESEARCH Accepted on 06 Oct 2023

Analysis of Factors Influencing Crash Injury Severities at Highway-Rail Grade Crossings Accommodating for Unobserved Heterogeneity

Chamroeun Se - Thanapong Champahom - Wimon Laphrom -Sajjakaj Jomnonkwao - Vatanavongs Ratanavaraha ORIGINAL RESEARCH Published on 13 Oct 2023

Clear filter

Optimization of mining methods for deep orebody of large phosphate mines

Mengchao Xu · Di Hou · Xiaoshuang Li · Jiawen Wang · Menglai Wang · Shujian Li



Frontiers in Built Environment doi 10.3389/fbuil.2023.1282684

ORIGINAL RESEARCH Accepted on 09 Oct 2023

Thermal insulation material produced from recycled materials for building applications: Cellulose and rice huskbased material

Nacari Marin-Calvo · Sergio González-Serrud

Frontiers in Built Environment

doi 10.3389/fbuil.2023.1271317

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Published on 06 Oct 2023

for an integrated

Inelastic response spectra

displacement and energy-

E Z

based seismic design

(DEBD) of structures

Giulio Proietti - Livio Pedone Simone D'Amore - Stefano

man I

Frontiers in Built Environment

doi 10.3389/fbuil.2023.1264033

Pampanin

ORIGINAL RESEARCH Accepted on 11 Oct 2023

The "direct five-step procedure" for the design of added viscous dampers to be inserted into existing buildings: formulation and case study

Matteo Marra · Michele Palermo · Stefano Silvestri

Frontiers in Built Environment doi 10.3389/fbuil.2023.1289851

ORIGINAL RESEARCH Published on 09 Oct 2023

Analysis of different flexible technologies in the Spain NECP for 2030

Andres Ramos · Sébastien Huclin José Pablo Chaves



doi 10.3389/fbuil.2023.1065998

184 views

ORIGINAL RESEARCH Accepted on 04 Oct 2023

Human Resilience and Cultural Change in the Construction Industry: Communication and Relationships in a Time of Enforced Adaptation

Ole Jonny Klakegg · Audun Flaget Aasen

ORIGINAL RESEARCH Published on 10 Oct 2023

Failure behavior of an adaptive concrete beam with integrated fluidic actuators: non-linear three-dimensional finite element analysis

Serena Gambarelli - R. Noé Fararoni Platas - Arvinth Shankar - Spasena Dakova - Michael Böhm - Oliver Sawodny - Markus Nitzlader - Lucio Blandini

Frontiers in Built Environment doi 10.3389/fbuil.2023.1272785

525 views

ORIGINAL RESEARCH Published on 09 Oct 2023

Machine learning approach for predicting bridge components' condition ratings

Md. Manik Mia · Sabarethinam Kameshwar

Frontiers in Built Environment doi 10.3389/fbuil.2023.1254269

221 views

ORIGINAL RESEARCH Published on 03 Oct 2023

Experimental study on the influence of maintenance track position on vortexinduced vibration performance of a box girder suspension bridge

Chunguang Li · Hubin Yan · Minhao Zou · Yan Han · C. S. Cai · Long Wang



Frontiers in Built Environment doi 10.3389/fbuil.2023.1264996 Frontiers in Built Environment doi 10.3389/fbuil.2023.1255762

ORIGINAL RESEARCH Published on 03 Oct 2023

Strategies for integral rehabilitation and improvement of the energy efficiency of Lagos Park building in Madrid

María Eugenia Maciá-Torregrosa Javier Camacho-Diez · Roberto Alonso González-Lezcano

ER Enge Efficiency Facto
IPS Expanded Polysprone
ETICS Extended International Installation Computer 31
Extended International Installation Computer 32
Extended International Installation
Extended International Installation
Restar Alexandres Spread
Security Zone Emission Realing
Restar Alexandres Spread

Frontiers in Built Environment doi 10.3389/fbuil.2023.1264368

1,072 views

REVIEW Published on 28 Sep 2023

Horizontal-to-tilt irradiance conversion for high-latitude regions: a review and meta-analysis

Mattia Manni · Jacowb Krum Thorning · Sami Jouttijärvi · Kati Miettunen · Marisa Di Sabatino · Gabriele Lobaccaro



Frontiers in Built Environment doi 10.3389/fbuil.2023.1245223

1,775 views

ORIGINAL RESEARCH Published on 26 Sep 2023

An empirical investigation of automation technology as material waste mitigation measure at Johor construction sites

Mahdi Mohammed Abdullah Abkar -Riduan Yunus - Ahmed Saleh Ahmed Saif Al-Shameri - Ahmed Harouache - Yaser Gamil



Frontiers in Built Environment doi 10.3389/fbuil.2023.1232195

2,118 views

692 views

Accepted on 29 Sep 2023

Main guidelines in road

safety audits: a literature

Itzel Nunez - Julio Alberto Calderon

Ramirez · Leonel Gabriel Garcia

Frontiers in Built Environment

doi 10.3389/fbuil.2023.1282251

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Published on 28 Sep 2023

Economic resilience

case of food retail

Washington

during COVID-19: the

businesses in Seattle,

Feiyang Sun · Jan Whittington

Siman Ning · Gundula Proksch

Frontiers in Built Environment

doi 10.3389/fbuil.2023.1212244

1,903 views

METHODS

Accepted on 25 Sep 2023

Experimental Benchmark

Control Problem for

Multi-axial Real-time

Johnny Condori Uribe · Manuel

Salmeron · Edwin Patino · Herta

Silva · Amin Maghareh · Mehdi

Najarian · Arturo Montoya

Montoya · Shirley J Dyke · Christian

Hybrid Simulation

Dange of effect scen

Qing Shen · Sofia Dermisi

Gomez · Marco Antonio Montoya

REVIEW

review

Alcaraz

Frontiers in Built Environment doi 10.3389/fbuil.2023.1287483

> ORIGINAL RESEARCH Published on 29 Sep 2023

Assessment of the impact of climate change and flooding on bridges and surrounding area

Bassel Habeeb · Emilio Bastidas-Arteaga

	chro	
	Differillement lade	and descriptions to well High-
	Confirme of Th Sec.	a adding to have
	(Instantion for large	Colog-Andreine
	Corpor fo invasi fa te	minute in the state of the stat
	Corpor for Armed Same	nation including and event
	Compare for increased from	entern fridality and error controlog for Weiger friedford
To become	imper to insuit fan pointe sek 80% vice	enter Hählig of regeneration sounding for tragge heatings
Tel Sameral	pendre sel 80% vice	carolog to begin balling
Tel Indenes	Compare for invest face pointing and BOR values	nording for Design functions functions or States out halos optically functions are from the second second second
The Internet	points and BOR-ADD	Society to thigh bottom
The Internet	topo to topo to	nording for Design functions functions or States out halos optically functions are from the second second second

Frontiers in Built Environment doi 10.3389/fbuil.2023.1268304

1,843 views

ORIGINAL RESEARCH Accepted on 27 Sep 2023

Efficient two-step procedure for parameter identification and uncertainty assessment in model updating problems

Loris Vincenzi · Michele Tondi MARCO BOVO

Frontiers in Built Environment doi 10.3389/fbuil.2023.1272252

ORIGINAL RESEARCH Published on 21 Sep 2023

A least squares regression-based approach in the investigation of the influence of density metrics of 14 distinct Toronto neighbourhoods on the roof and facade solar potential

Javeriya Hasan · Emily Zheng Miljana Horvat



Frontiers in Built Environment doi 10.3389/fbuil.2023.1248259

3,076 views

1,072 views

ORIGINAL RESEARCH Accepted on 28 Sep 2023

Monolithic AM Façade: Multi-Objective Parametric Design Optimization of Additively Manufactured Insulating Wall Elements

David Briels - Mauritz Renz - Ahmad Nouman - Alexander Straßer -Maximilian Hechti - Maximilian Dahlenburg - Bruno Knychalla -Patrick Sonnleitner - Friedrich Herding - Julia Fleckenstein

Frontiers in Built Environment doi 10.3389/fbuil.2023.1286933

ORIGINAL RESEARCH Published on 26 Sep 2023

Evaluating the influence of old and new rental systems on the physical condition of historic mixed-use buildings: a case study of Lebanon

Ali Sedki



Frontiers in Built Environment doi 10.3389/fbuil.2023.1190374

2,112 views

ORIGINAL RESEARCH Accepted on 19 Sep 2023

Construction Processes Rationale in the Social Construction of Habitat: A Case Study of Nueva Colonia Corregimiento, Turbo, Colombia

Juan José Cuervo · Cesar Augusto Salazar · Víctoria Eugenia Sanchez · Luis Felipe Lalinde · Luis Guillermo Sañudo



Frontiers in Built Environment doi 10.3389/fbuil.2023.1270996

	RIGINAL RESEARCH	REVIEW Accepted on 15 Sep 2023	ORIGINAL RESEARCH Published on 15 Sep 2023	ORIGINAL RESEARCH Published on 14 Sep 2023
ca re st	ctor-landscapes as visual anvas for identifying, epresenting, and aligning takeholders aj-Britt Quitzau - Birgitte offmann	Turning a spotlight on construction logistics for a sustainable urban environment -a review of current policy concepts and literature Phillip Haag - Hans Christian Jünger	Enabling technologies of health and safety practices in the fourth industrial revolution: Nigerian construction industry perspective Oluwarotimi Gbenga Abina - Babatunde Fatai Ogunbayo - Clinton Ohis Aigbavboa	Analyzing degradation of ore pass walls in Kiirunavaara mine using scanning data Weronika Sredniawa - Bartlomiej Skawina - Jorge Recio Garcia - Joel Rapp
do	ontiers in Built Environment 110.3389/rbuil.2023.1105544	Frontiers in Built Environment doi 10.3389/fbuil.2023.1202091	T15 views	Note on the same
Ac N Vi Sc 3, Vi Ht	RIGINAL RESEARCH Interpreted on 13 Sep 2023 Interpreted	EDITORIAL Published on 13 Sep 2023 Editorial: Seismic vulnerability assessment of structural and non- structural components in industrial plants Roberto Nascimbene	MINI REVIEW Published on 13 Sep 2023 Construction parameters that affect the air leaks of the envelope in dwellings in Madrid Roberto Alonso González-Lezcano · Gastón Sanglier Contreras · Carlos Miguel Iglesias Sanz · Rocio Sancho Alambillaga - Eduardo José López Fernández	ORIGINAL RESEARCH Published on 13 Sep 2023 A graph-based explanatory model for room-based energy efficiency analysis based on BIM data Hamid Kiavarz - Mojgan Jadidi - Payam Esmaili
			Mark	Note the extra start that a first is grap (ratio of (f_{i}), we if () with point E_{i} surprises of provide the end of (f_{i}) and provide the
		Frontiers in Built Environment doi 10.3389/fbuil.2023.1289404	Frontiers in Built Environment doi 10.3389/fbuil.2023.1220559	Frontiers in Built Environment doi 10.3389/fbuil.2023.1256921
	ontiers in Built Environment i 10.3389/fbuil.2023.1249550	739 views	696 views	918 views

Guidelines	Explore	Outreach	Connect
Author guidelines	Articles	Frontiers Forum	Help center
Editor guidelines	Research Topics	Frontiers Policy Labs	Emails and alerts
Policies and publication ethics	Journals	Frontiers for Young Minds	Contact us
Fee policy			Submit
			Career opportunities

© 2023 Frontiers Media S.A. All rights reserved Privacy policy | Terms and conditions

Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Alfrendo Satyanaga, Nazarbayev University, Kazakhstan

REVIEWED BY Abdul Halim Hamdany, University of Indonesia, Indonesia Martin Wijaya, Parahyangan Catholic University, Indonesia

*CORRESPONDENCE Robby Yussac Tallar, ☑ robbyyussac@yahoo.com, ☑ robby.yt@eng.maranatha.edu

RECEIVED 31 August 2023 ACCEPTED 25 September 2023 PUBLISHED 13 October 2023

CITATION

Tallar RY (2023), The conceptual design of a stream island index for physical habitat complexity assessment in stream restoration projects. *Front. Built Environ.* 9:1286484. doi: 10.3389/fbuil.2023.1286484

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Tallar. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

The conceptual design of a stream island index for physical habitat complexity assessment in stream restoration projects

Robby Yussac Tallar*

Department of Civil Engineering, Universitas Kristen Maranatha (Maranatha Christian University), Bandung, Indonesia

Most literature on geomorphology, hydraulics, or stream ecology contained either no mention or a brief description of stream islands, the process, the development, or the ecological advantages provided. Due to a lack of information, research, and related data, there were no stream island indexes available to indicate the stream island status. Motivated by this fact, the objective of this study is to develop a conceptual design for a Stream Island Index (SII) as a template for physical habitat complexity assessment in stream restoration projects. Specific purposes included: 1) to examine stream island conceptual models; 2) to develop obvious and comprehensive explanations for stream island development by considering attributes from the geomorphic, hydraulic, and ecological perspectives. This study used the AHP method for screening and selecting attributes, transforming and developing sub-indices, assigning weights, and formulating an index. The conclusion is an SII that combines the measures of selected physical habitat quality indicators to produce a single dimensionless number, and a novel approach to communicate information on stream island quality status to the public and related policymakers. It seems essential that a serious attempt be developed to design a system that can identify the overall stream island condition. Once a generalized stream island system is set up as a controlling framework, supplementary indexes for specific purposes and locations can be added. Therefore, the SII is a promising new tool for stream restoration practitioners, and it has the potential to make a significant contribution to improving the success of stream restoration projects.

KEYWORDS

index, habitat characteristics, stream island, stream restoration projects, AHP

Introduction

Natural streams are dynamic and physically and biologically very complex (Tockner and Stanford, 2002). The habitat complexity is not only the physical characteristics but also the uses of the streams themselves. Many experts such as river engineers, geomorphologists, civil engineers, and ecologists might well have a similar opinion especially when it is recognized how variable and complex a river with all living beings within can be through time and from reach to reach of the river. Therefore, it is still challenging to discover comprehensive results without considering all the stream variables. Stream islands are one of the physical habitat features in streams. In the past, the role of stream islands has been almost totally ignored by civil engineers due to a lack of understanding of the geomorphology, hydraulics, and

ecological functions of stream islands. In stream restoration projects, the existence of stream islands often was not considered as an important variable or major influence in many case studies. Many researchers generally only focused on permanent islands such as continental fragments, exposed lands in lakes, coral reefs, or barrier islands, and few have concept designs or further detailed research about the development of stream islands in streams. Most literature on geomorphology, hydraulics, or stream ecology also contained either no mention or a brief description of stream islands in streams, the process, the development, or the further ecological advantages provided. A lot of previous research also only concentrated on large and braided rivers such as the Tagliamento River in Italy (Gurnell et al., 2001; Francis et al., 2009; Comiti and Da Canal, 2011). Few research studies have explored a concept design of the island in the stream itself considering the context of the development of physical habitat complexity within.

Physical habitat complexity plays an important role in community structure in natural streams along with a variety of geomorphology, hydraulics, and ecological processes (Kollmann et al., 1999; Wohl et al., 2005; Rubin et al., 2017; Herrington and Horndeski, 2023; Kaushal et al., 2023; Verdonschot and Verdonschot, 2023). Physical habitat complexity within natural streams should be viewed as planform patterns that provide the initial physical habitat template. The heterogeneity and complexity of physical habitat structures are governed by geomorphic, hydraulic, and ecological forms and processes associated with a state of dynamic equilibrium. Therefore, it can be expected that changes in geomorphic, hydraulic, and ecological forms and processes at the planform scale can be quantified through measurements and assessments. Hence, the traditional physical habitat complexity assessment in stream restoration project assessments is often focused on geomorphology attributes only. Motivated by this fact, the objective of this study is to develop a conceptual design for a Stream Island Index (SII) as a template for physical habitat complexity assessment in stream restoration projects. Specific purposes included: (a) to examine stream island conceptual models; (b) to develop obvious and comprehensive explanations of stream island development by considering attributes from the geomorphology, hydraulics, and ecology.

Material and methods

Stream islands versus stream bars

It is important to understand how stream islands and stream bars are different. Natural streams constantly exhibit distinctive behavior and patterning in their properties from a geomorphic standpoint. Studying the stream features is also always dependent on the river morphology and time. Over time, bed topography is influenced by both local and systematic variations in sediment supply and the stream power so that it always changes. These changes affect the diversity and complexity of stream features including stream bars and stream islands. A stream bar is defined, following the American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE) Task Force (1966), as a bedform with a length of the same order of magnitude as the channel width and height comparable to the depth of the generating flow (Rice et al., 2009). As a result, it may be stated that bars are sediment storage regions within streams as well as energy dissipaters that aid in stream configuration stabilization. Stream bars are fundamental geomorphic components that should be exposed, solitary, inchannel entities with simple depositional histories regulated by local flow and sediment supply circumstances (Smith, 1974). Stream bars have two key hydraulic phenomena: flow expansion at the bar head generates an upstream diffuence zone and converges downstream at the confluence. Stream bars travel downstream or expand and migrate laterally in steady-state flow, as in meandering streams.

Stream islands differ slightly from stream bars. Although the physical appearance of a stream island is similar to that of a stream bar, there are several aspects of stream islands that stream bars do not have. Stream bars can generate stream islands with some processes over time. The combined processes and requirements of a stream bar to become a stream island can be seen in Figure 1. A simple model of stream bar to island development was proposed to explain the processes and mechanisms involved. Since the stream produces the stream bars, and the stream bars develop the stream islands, there are two major phases. During the first phase, the stream frequently runs with transporting sediments and deposits sediment until a limitation height is reached. During phase two, the material that deposited the bar might collect over time, causing the stream bar to become stable, dense, compacted, and variable. We categorized this as the initial stream island development phase. The material sediment can be varied in shape and diameter such as gravel or sand. However, bars should not be thought of as single morphological entities. They often exist as the result of a complex erosional and depositional chronology linked to the nature of the flood series following stream bar initiation.

The conceptual framework for stream island development

The majority of studies have documented the formation of stream islands in relation to their specific study site; for example, Gurnell et al. (2001) investigated the influence of riparian vegetation, sediment type, and hydrologic regime on island formation in the Fiume Tagliamento in Italy. They created a conceptual model for island formation in the research area and discovered that islands arise by channel avulsion or vegetation on exposed gravel bars. Popov (1962) defined the types of island modifications that he noticed in the River Ob in Russia. Meanwhile, Osterkamp (1998) examined all of the processes that might be linked with islands in more detail. He proposed categorizing islands into at least eight groups depending on their development process, as in the preceding explanations. Cooperman and Brewer (2005) predicted that fluvial dynamics influence the maturation of stream islands and that patterns of vegetation distribution would correlate to patterns of island growth (Figure 2). In general, stream island formation processes consist of 9 categories: avulsion, gradual erosion, lateral shifts, bar/riffle stabilization, structural features, flood deposits, lee deposits, mass movement, and reservoir installation.

The conceptual framework for stream island development was designed for specific purposes such as stream health and stream restoration. The ecological variables in vegetation development





and microinvertebrate indicators should be counted in stream island development. The degree of vegetation development on stream islands is likely to be related to the amount of time the

surface has been exposed above the seasonal low-water level, the position of the water table, the physical character of sediments and their stability, and the types of vegetation available for

TABLE 1 Stream island habitats.

Habitat type	Definition
Island Head	The starting point of an island within a stream. Typically, the surface material is rough, such as gravel and pebbles. Typically, this phenomenon is caused by erosion caused by high speed, although it can result in deposition due to a reverse current
Island Tail	The terminal point of an island located along the course of a river. The uppermost layer of the ground is composed of small-sized rocks and pebbles. Typically, the flow velocity or current is slower at the downstream side of a stream island compared to its upstream side
Island Edge	Any length of island edge that does not occur at the head or tail of an island but on a side of the island that is parallel to the flow and subject to steady and consistent flow forces. There is a wide variety of velocities and substrate kinds in between
Inner Island	The central area of the island that has permanent vegetation, the highest elevation, and is usually dry
Transitional Area	Area between the inner and outside island
Outside Island	A zone bordered by moving water. This location has sparse vegetation growth. The bank slope is usually rather level, with embedded sand and/ or pebbles as the substrate



colonization. Depending on these factors, newly formed stream islands are progressively vegetated as they accrete vertically and laterally and it thus becomes difficult to define where an initial stream island becomes a complex stream island. There are multiple stream island habitat types based on a literature review (Table 1; Figure 3).

Results and discussion

This study proposes a conceptual framework for developing a stream island evaluation index for sustainable stream restoration projects. It seems essential that a serious attempt be made to design a system that can identify the overall stream island condition. Once a generalized stream island system is set up as a controlling framework, supplementary indexes for specific purposes and locations can be added.

A proposed methodology for a stream island index (SII)

This study provides a comprehensive methodological process for developing a conceptual framework of the Stream Island Index (SII) (Figure 4; Table 2). The purpose should be defined first. In constructing a conceptual framework, this study emphasizes the ecological aspect in addition to the hydraulics and geomorphology attributes. In the framework of a design and method study, it appears necessary to create and validate an index for measuring the parameters involved. A considerable effort was made to develop an index system capable of measuring the overall status of stream islands. In order to develop an index, first it is important to identify the concept or variable to measure and then the selected variables should be included in the developing index. Then, assign scores to each variable. The scores should be based on the relative importance



TABLE 2 Methods for developing SII.

No.	Stages	Spatial data analysis	Interviews with experts	Field survey measurements
1	Screening and selecting attributes	•	•	•
2	Transforming and developing sub-indices	•		•
3	Assignment of weights	•	•	
4	Formulating an index	•		

of each variable to the concept or variable. This means the level or weight of importance is defined. The next step is to combine the scores of the individual variables to create the index. Detailed steps to create an index can be seen in Table 2. According to a previous study (Chavez and Alipaz, 2006), one common approach is to take the average of the scores of the individual variables. Therefore, in this offered analysis, an index formed by attributes meeting the above criteria could be universally applied, which would significantly increase their usefulness in establishing the development of the SII in a matrix scheme. Numerically, the SII can therefore be represented as:

$$SII = \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i C_i \tag{1}$$

Where w_i is the average weight factor for the ith parameter, and C_i is the standardized sub-index for the ith parameter. Each quality value is then multiplied by an average weight factor, to take into account the relative contribution of each variable to the overall index.

This study also used the Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) method to process the development of the SII. In the early 1970s, Thomas L. Saaty invented a structured mathematics and psychology strategy for organizing and analyzing complex decisions by entailing and decomposing a complex decision into a set of criteria and subcriteria. This method allows the decision-maker to use a pairwise comparison matrix to analyze the relative value of each criterion and subcriterion. In the process of AHP, it is also necessary to have the weighted importance of each criterion and subcriterion, as well as

Comparison between two factors		
Equally important		
Moderately important		
Strongly important		
Very strongly important		
Extremely important		

TABLE 3 Ratio scale used	with 2, 4, 6,	and 8 as the	mid-values.
--------------------------	---------------	--------------	-------------

the overall ranking of the alternatives, in order to analyze and calculate it.

In the context of applying the AHP to the development of the SII, there are several stages for developing the SII (Table 2). First, spatial data should be generated by spatial analysis that reveal the geometric or geographic properties. Spatial data could use a computational model such as a Geographic Information System (GIS)-based model. This study proposes the rapid advancement of ArcGIS combined with Google-Earth software in spatial analyses of environmental stream island and habitat data triggered the need for change in methods of field survey measurements. Next, interviews with experts would be conducted in order to proceed with the AHP method. Expert judgments have often been used to acquire criteria weights when there is a lack of the required data (Reza et al., 2013) and software such as Expert Choice 11.0 is used to analyze multicriterion decision-making problems based on the AHP approach. The experts thus evaluate the various criteria and alternatives using a numerical scale, as shown in Table 3. Overall, AHP is considered a robust decision-making tool in order to develop the SII. One restriction is that AHP is sensitive to the decision-maker's pairwise comparisons.

Concerning field survey measurements especially in fish assemblages indicators, sampling fish by using an electrofishing device is the appropriate method. This method identifies specific fish habitat use in streams. Moreover, the snorkeling method also can be used in clear stream conditions with some constraints such as the observer's ability to identify species and is characterized by spatial and temporal heterogeneity across various scales.

The understanding of SII in stream restoration projects

Civil engineers, environmental engineers, stream ecologists, aquatic biologists, and other stakeholders all embark on stream restoration projects from a disciplinary perspective. However, the lack of integration among these various practitioners has resulted in limited project success in many cases. Stream islands in restoration projects have an important role since ecological failures have often occurred from engineering designs that ignore their existence. Therefore, to avoid such effects, it is necessary to ensure that the geomorphology, hydraulics, and ecological attributes of stream islands are mutually considered within the stream restoration design process. The proposed design framework for stream restoration projects, as conceptualized by naturalization, applies fluvial geomorphology, hydraulic engineering, and stream ecology to provide a more robust design approach to design in humandominated stream management, and has a greater potential of success in achieving ecosystem stability. Integration of three attributes requires a three-dimensional view of stream island morphology and hydraulics, along with ecological patterns that express habitat complexity with biological needs. From a new view of stream island habitat and its analysis, ecological criteria will be better integrated into stream restoration projects for application by water resource professionals.

Many previous studies have focused on fluvial systems that maintain stream islands by addressing the need to understand aquatic ecology ecosystem functioning (e.g., Osterkamp, 1998; Edwards et al., 1999; Gurnell and Petts, 2002; Tockner et al., 2003; Karaus et al., 2005; Francis et al., 2009). Recent research has also highlighted the important role of feedback between organisms and physical processes in determining the spatial structure and dynamics of ecosystems, both terrestrial and aquatic (Francis et al., 2009). One of the results is the aggregating of sediment and hydraulic roughness on the gravel bars, creating the stabilization of the initial stream island. In some cases, the stream island is formed by the gravel bar and the deposition of large woody debris (LWD) above. Usually, organic matter, fine sediments, and creatures (e.g., plant propagules, fish, crustaceans) are caught in and surround deposited large woody debris or vegetation that can support stream island process development (Karaus et al., 2005).

Conclusion

In conclusion, it is important to have a comprehensive methodology as a template for physical habitat complexity assessment in stream restoration projects. This study introduced the Stream Island Index (SII) as a valuable tool for stream restoration practitioners or policymakers by using a single number to measure the quality of stream island habitats. Furthermore, the SII can be used for specific purposes in order to improve the quality and diversity of physical habitats in stream restoration projects. The SII can also be used to monitor and evaluate the stream restoration process in adaptive stream management strategies.

In further studies, some potential variables such as numbers, size, distribution, and location of stream islands and some in-stream features such as riffles, pools, and large woody debris (LWD) could be considered in the SII components in order to assess the physical habitat complexity of stream islands. However, it may be beneficial to develop regional-specific templates within the SII framework. These regional-specific templates would take into account the unique characteristics and dynamics of different geographic regions.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Author contributions

RT: Conceptualization, Writing-original draft.

Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Acknowledgments

The authors gratefully acknowledge the support for this research provided by Universitas Kristen Maranatha (Maranatha Christian University), Indonesia, and under collaboration research with Hydraulics and Ocean Engineering Department, National Cheng Kung University, Taiwan R.O.C.

References

Chavez, E. A., and Alipaz, S. (2006). An integrated indicator based on basin hydrology, environment, life, and policy: the watershed sustainability index. *Water Resour. Manag.* 21 (5), 883–895. doi:10.1007/s11269-006-9107-2

Comiti, F., Da Canal, M., Surian, N., Mao, L., Picco, L., and Lenzi, M. (2011). Channel adjustments and vegetation cover dynamics in a large gravel bed river over the last 200 years. *Geomorphology* 125 (1), 147–159. doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2010.09.011

Cooperman, M. S., and Brewer, C. A. (2005). Relationship between plant distribution patterns and the process of river island formation. *Freshw. Ecol.* 20 (3), 487–501. doi:10. 1080/02705060.2005.9664764

Edwards, P. J., Kollmann, J., Gurnell, A. M., Petts, G. E., Tockner, K., and Ward, J. V. (1999). A conceptual model of vegetation dynamics on gravel bars of a large Alpine river. Wetlands. Ecol. Manag. 7, 141–153. doi:10.1023/a:1008411311774

Francis, R. A., Corenblit, D., and Edwards, P. J. (2009). Perspectives on biogeomorphology, ecosystem engineering and self-organisation in island-braided fluvial ecosystems. *Aquat. Sci.* 71, 290–304. doi:10.1007/s00027-009-9182-6

Gurnell, A. M., Petts, G. E., Hannah, D. M., Smith, B. P. G., Edwards, P. J., Kollmann, J., et al. (2001). Riparian vegetation and island formation along the gravel-bed Fiume Tagliamento, Italy. *Earth Surf. Process. Landforms* 26, 31–62. doi:10.1002/1096-9837(200101)26:1<31::aid-esp155>3.0.co;2-y

Gurnell, A. M., and Petts, G. E. (2002). Island-dominated landscapes of large floodplain rivers, a European perspective. *Freshw. Biol.* 47, 581–600. doi:10.1046/j. 1365-2427.2002.00923.x

Herrington, C. S., and Horndeski, K. (2023). Is urban stream restoration really a wicked problem? *Urban Ecosyst.* 26 (2), 479–491. doi:10.1007/s11252-022-01307-7

Karaus, U., Alder, L., and Tockner, K. (2005). "Concave islands": habitat heterogeneity of parafluvial ponds in a gravel-bed river. *Wetlands* 25, 26–37. doi:10. 1672/0277-5212(2005)025[0026:cihhop]2.0.co;2

Kaushal, S. S., Fork, M. L., Hawley, R. J., Hopkins, K. G., Ríos-Touma, B., and Roy, A. H. (2023). Stream restoration milestones: monitoring scales determine successes and failures. *Urban Ecosyst.* 26, 1131–1142. doi:10.1007/s11252-023-01370-8

Conflict of interest

The author declares that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Kollmann, J., Vieli, M., Edwards, P. J., Tockner, K., and Ward, J. V. (1999). Interactions between vegetation development and island formation in the Alpine river Tagliamento. *Appl. Veg. Sci.* 2, 25–36. doi:10.2307/1478878

Osterkamp, W. R. (1998). Processes of fluvial island formation, with examples from plum creek, Colorado and snake river, Idaho. *Wetlands* 18 (4), 530–545. doi:10.1007/bf03161670

Reza, M. I. H., Abdullah, S. A., Nor, S. B., and Ismail, M. H. (2013). Integrating GIS and expert judgment in a multi-criteria analysis to map and develop a habitat suitability index: a case study of large mammals on the Malayan Peninsula. *Ecol. Indic.* 34, 149–158. doi:10.1016/j.ecolind.2013.04.023

Rice, S. P., Church, M., Wooldridge, C. L., and Hickin, E. J. (2009). Morphology and evolution of bars in a wandering gravel-bed river; lower Fraser river, British Columbia, Canada. *Sedimentology* 56, 709–736. doi:10.1111/j.1365-3091.2008. 00994.x

Rubin, Z., Kondolf, G. M., and Rios-Touma, B. (2017). Evaluating stream restoration projects: what do we learn from monitoring? *Water* 9 (3), 174. doi:10. 3390/w9030174

Smith, N. D. (1974). Sedimentology and bar formation in the Upper Kicking Horse River, a braided outwash stream. J. Geol. 82, 205-223. doi:10.1086/627959

Tockner, K., and Stanford, J. A. (2002). Riverine flood plains: present state and future trends. *Environ. Conserv.* 29, 308–330. doi:10.1017/s037689290200022x

Tockner, K., Ward, J. V., Arscott, D. B., Edwards, P. J., Kollmann, J., Gurnell, A. M., et al. (2003). The Tagliamento River: a model ecosystem of European importance. *Aquat. Sci.* 65, 239–253. doi:10.1007/s00027-003-0699-9

Verdonschot, P. F. M., and Verdonschot, R. C. M. (2023). The role of stream restoration in enhancing ecosystem services. *Hydrobiologia* 850 (12-13), 2537–2562. doi:10.1007/s10750-022-04918-5

Wohl, E., Angermeier, P. L., Bledsoe, B., Kondolf, G. M., MacDonnell, L., Merritt, D. M., et al. (2005). River restoration. *Water Resour. Res.* 41, 1–12. doi:10.1029/2005wr003985