Examining meandering stream by using geomorphological characteristics with GIS-based analysis

by Robby Yussac Tallar, Olga Catherina Pattipawaej, Asriwiyanti Desiani, Yonathan Adi Saputra

Submission date: 11-Aug-2023 03:26PM (UTC+0700) Submission ID: 2144351174 File name: 1._Examining_Meandering_Stream.pdf (794.53K) Word count: 2231 Character count: 12281

Examining meandering stream by using geomorphological characteristics with GIS-based analysis

Robby Yussac Tallar^{1*}, Olga Catherina Pattipawaej¹, Asriwiyanti Desiani¹, Yonathan Adi Saputra¹, Gerard Christian Joelin¹ and Andre Sebastian Lehman¹

¹Civil Engineering Department, Universitas Kristen Maranatha, Jl. Prof.drg.Soeria Soemantri No. 65 Bandung, Indonesia

Abstract. An assessment of the meandering stream type using its classification system to geomorphology characteristics combined with GIS-based analysis is presented in this paper. It describes geomorphology characteristics consisting of 8 parameters with GIS-based analysis that differ in the zone of sediment position, stream width, stream sinuosity, amplitude, wavelength, bend sharpness, meander pattern, and slope. The selected case study in this paper is the Barito Stream, South Kalimantan, Indonesia. Based on the results, the variability varied in all the geomorphology characteristics except bend sharpness and slope. The transport zone is the longest zone with classified as a very wide river with moderate sinuosity and high amplitude (< 1500). It is also categorized as a moderate wavelength and sharp bend with a relatively shallow slope. This approach is a simple, appropriate, and easy-to-use practice in examining meandering stream since there is no data or lack of supporting field data. The implementation of this meandering stream classification method is suitable for stream restoration projects, fish habitat enhancement, and water resource management. Further research is the study of possible geomorphic responses of a channel to natural and anthropogenic disturbances including channel-bed degradation, channel-bed aggradation, channel widening, and channel straightening.

1 Introduction

Meandering streams are one of the most ubiquitous patterns in fluvial morphology [1]. Previous research revealed that the uniqueness and applicative importance of these nearly regular loops in river planimetry have attracted the interest of several researchers in fluid mechanics and morpho-dynamics [2], geomorphology [3-4], river engineering [5], riparian ecology [6-7], and ecological engineering [8-9]. The stream processes itself is directed by fluid velocity and morphodynamical processes, which cause lateral bank erosion and the constant migration of meanders, as well as by intermittent cutoffs that prevent self-intersections of the stream and create sudden reductions in stream length and sinuosity [8]. The variability of large natural streams characteristics is proof that some variables controlled the stream's type or stream's pattern.

Geographic Information System (GIS)-based model and analysis have become quite common for collecting and processing secondary data in many water-subject purposes including watershed and stream management [10-11]. However, few efforts have been dedicated to develop meandering stream classification method regarding water stream management. It is clear that basic stream information is needed to make stakeholder's decisions. However, comprehensive field sampling over many streams in large study areas can be too costly in time and labor. Thus, geographic information system (GIS)-based models and analysis that can synthesize multiple characteristics have become particularly valuable in streams where stream assessments have not been completed or are difficult to perform. Therefore, the main purpose of this study is to examine meandering stream type using its classification system to geomorphological characteristics combined with GIS-based analysis.

2 Methodology

In fact, lack of stream classifications was focused on meandering type. Therefore, previous research [10] tried to develop the conceptual model for classification of meandering streams. This study is the extension research by using geomorphology characteristics with GIS-based analysis in certain study area. The process study was investigated 8 parameters in zone of sediment position: stream width, stream sinuosity, amplitude, wavelength, bend sharpness, meander pattern, and slope.

2.1 Study area

The Barito Stream is one of the most important streams in South Kalimantan, Indonesia, with coordinate location 3°19'11.53"S 114°35'26.7"E and total length 1090 km with a drainage basin of 81,675 km2 also its tributaries flow across various geomorphology characteristics. Barito Stream is also the largest and


^{*}Corresponding author: robbyyussac@yahoo.com, robby.yt@eng.maranatha.edu

second longest stream in South Kalimantan, Indonesia (Fig. 1). It originates in the Muller Mountain Range, from where it flows southward into the Java Sea with the average discharge is 5,497 m³/s. Its most central affluent is the Martapura Stream, and it passes through Banjarmasin City. The stream flows in the southeast area of Kalimantan with predominantly tropical rainforest climate. The annual average temperature is 24 °C and the average annual rainfall is 2,735 mm.



Fig. 1. Location of study area.

2.2 Method and analysis



1. Zone of sediment positions

At first, Barito Stream was divided by three zone of sediment positions: zone of deposition, zone of transport and zone of production, By using googleearth combined with ArcGIS software, the length of zone of sediment can be measured and defined considering the slope of stream. For the zone of deposition the slope is slightly meanwhile for the zone of production the slope is very steep. The results can be seen on Table 1 and Fig. 2.

Table 1. Zone of deposition.

Zone of Sediment	Length
Zone of deposition	141330 m
Zone of transport	453868 m
Zone of production	1442 <i>5</i> 5 m




Fig. 2. Classification of sediment deposition.

2. Stream width

The type of river can be classified by its width. For the large river, the width should be more than 220 m. Moreover, previous research classified the stream's width > 10 m as a large stream. Therefore, the classification is shown in Table 2.

3. Stream sinuosity

Sinuosity is the result of the stream naturally dissipating its flow forces. According to previous research, meandering streams have a sinuosity larger than 1.25. Therefore, the classification is shown in Table 3.

Table 2. Stream width classification.

Types of Streams	Range of width (m)
Very Large Stream	>300
Large Stream	100 - 300
Middle Stream	50 - 100
Small Stream	<50

Table 3. Stream sinuosity classification.

Types of Streams	Range of sinuosity (m)
Very highly meandering	>2
Highly meandering	1.5 – 2
Moderate meandering	1.25 - 1.5
Low meandering	<1.25

4. Amplitude

The maximum distance from the down-valley axis to the sinuous axis of a loop is the meander width or amplitude. The developed classification can be seen in Table 4.

Table 4. Stream amplitude classification.

Types of Streams	Range of Amplitude (m)
Very highly amplitude	>2000
Highly amplitude	1500-2000
Moderate amplitude	1000-1500
Low amplitude	<1000

5. Wavelength

According to previous study, a meander consists of a pair of opposing loops, but in common practice also a single river bend is often called "meander". In this study a meander is a single river bend. The distance of one meander along the down valley axis is the meander length or wavelength. The classification can be seen on Table 5.

Bend sharpness

The bend sharpness (γ) is represented by the ratio of river width to radius of curvature of the river centerline. The classification can be seen on Table 6.

Table 5. Stream wavelength classification.

Types of Streams	Range of Wavelength (m)
Long meandering	>5000
Moderate meandering	2000 - 5000
Short meandering	<2000

Table 6. Stream bend sharpness classification.

Types of Streams	Range of Bend sharpness (m)
Sharp meandering	>0.5
;.cModerate meandering	0.1 - 0.5
Mild meandering	<0.1

7. Meander pattern

A variety of river changes are listed under pattem change (Fig. 3). In meander changes, meander enlarges if its amplitude and width increase. Meander shift involves the displacement of the meander in a downstream direction.





Fig. 3. Classification of meander pattern.

8. Slope

Slope can be calculated from the elevation and the length of each reach of stream. The classification can be seen on Table 7.

3 Results and discussions

The selected parameters (stream width, stream sinuosity, amplitude, wavelength, bend sharpness, meander pattern, and slope) have been assessed by using






Table 8. Results of stream width.

Zone of Sediment	Range of width (m)	Types of Streams
Zone of deposition	481	Very Large Stream
Zone of transport	354	Very Large Stream
Zone of production	203	Large Stream




Fig. 4. Classification of stream width

Table 9. Results of stream sinuosity.

Table 10. Results of stream amplitude.

Zone of Sediment	Range of sinousity (m)	Types of Streams
Zone of deposition	1.21	Low
Zone of transport	1.46	Moderate
Zone of production	1,54	High

Zone of Sediment	Range of amplitude (m)	Types of Streams
Zone of deposition	1509	Highly Amplitude
Zone of transport	1632	High Amplitude
Zone of production	1610	High Amplitude




Table 11	. Results	of stream	wavelength.
----------	-----------	-----------	-------------

Zone of Sediment	Range of wavelength (m)	Types of Streams
Zone of deposition	7144	Long
Zone of transport	4839	Moderate
Zone of production	4558	Moderate

Zone of Sediment	Range of bend sharpness	Types of Streams
Zone of deposition	0,30	Moderate
Zone of transport	0,23	Moderate
Zone of production	0.17	Moderate





Fig. 7. Classification of stream wavelength.

Table 12. Results of stream meander pattern.

Zone of Sediment	Types of Streams
Zone of	Irregular
deposition	Meander
Zone of	Irregular
transport	Meander with
	oxbow
Zone of	Distorted
production	Meander
	Loop

Table	13.	Results	of	stream	slone.

Zone of Sediment	Range of slope	Types of Streams
Zone of deposition	0,0006	Shallow
Zone of transport	0,0050	Shallow
Zone of production	0,0189	Moderate






Fig. 10. Classification of stream slope.

The meandering stream classification in study area based on the stream width is categorized as very large stream. Based on its sinuosity is categorized as low meandering stream in zone of deposition, moderate meandering stream in zone of transport and highly meandering stream in zone of production. Based on its amplitude is categorized as highly meandering stream. Based on its wavelength is categorized as low meandering stream in zone of deposition, moderate meandering stream in zone of transport and in zone of production. Based on its bend sharpness is categorized as moderate meandering stream. Based on stream meander pattern is categorized as irregular meandering stream in zone of deposition, irregular meandering with oxbow in zone of transport and distorted meander loop in zone of production. Based on its slope is categorized as shallow meandering stream.

4 Conclusion

In conclusion, meandering streams are a fascinating and important feature that play a critical role in shaping the surrounding environment and supporting a diverse range of streams. Meandering streams form when a combination of factors, including water flow, sediment transport, and channel morphology, work together to create a distinct pattern of channel migration. Based on the results, the variability varied in all the geomorphology characteristics except bend sharpness and slope. The transport zone is the longest zone with classified as a very wide river with moderate sinuosity

and high amplitude (< 1500). It is also categorized as a moderate wavelength and sharp bend with a relatively shallow slope. This approach is a simple, appropriate, and easy-to-use practice in examining meandering stream since there is no data or lack of supporting field data. The implementation of this meandering stream classification method is suitable for stream restoration projects, fish habitat enhancement, and water resource management. Further research is the study of possible geomorphic responses of a channel to natural and anthropogenic disturbances including channel-bed channel-bed aggradation, degradation. channel widening, and channel straightening.

The authors are deeply grateful to the Civil Engineering Department, Maranatha Christian University, Indonesia collaborated with Ecological Water Resources Management, Hydraulics and Ocean Engineering Department, National Cheng Kung8 University, Taiwan ROC. This research was financially supported by LPPM Maranatha.

References

- P. Billi, B. Demissie, J. Nyssen, G. Moges, M. Fazzini, Geomorphology **319**, 35-46 (2018) https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2018.07.003
- J. Salmela, E. Kasvi, M.T. Vaaja, H. Kaartinen, A. Kukko, A. Jaakkola, P. Alho, Geomorphology 352, 106982 (2020) https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2019.106982

- Á. Cserkész-Nagy, T. Tóth, Ö. Vajk, O. Sztanó, Proceedings of the Geologists' Association 121, 238-247 (2010) https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pgeola.2009.12.002
- L.B. Leopold, M.G. Wolman, J.P. Miller, E. Wohl, Fluvial processes in geomorphology (Courier Dover Publications, 2020)
- A. Vayssière, C. Castanet, E. Gautier, C. Virmoux, T. Dépret, E. Gandouin, A-L. Develle, F. Mokadem, S. Saulnier-Copard, P. Sabatier, N. Carcaud, Geomorphology **370**, 107395 (2020) https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2020.107395
- M.J. Bradford, J.S. Heinonen, Canadian Water Resources Journal 33(2), 165-180 (2008) https://doi.org/10.4296/cwrj3302165
- R.Y. Tallar, J-P. Suen, Water 9(4), 233 (2017) https://doi.org/10.3390/w9040233
- B. Stanford, E. Zavaleta, A. Millard-Ball, Biological Conservation 221, 219-227 (2018) https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2018.03.016
- J.A.S. Filho, J.R.B. Cantalice, S.M.S. Guerra, E.O.S. Nunes, J.C.P. Santos, M.M. Corrêa, G.B. Júnior, V.P.S. Junior, Ecological Engineering 141, 105598 (2019) https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2019.105598
- R.Y. Tallar, Groundwater for Sustainable Development 15, 100698 (2021) https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gsd.2021.100698
- A.U. Anish, K.R. Baiju, P.K. Thomas, M. Anns, P.B. Rajkumar, S. Babu, Regional Studies in Marine Science 44, 101792 (2021) https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rsma.2021.101792

1. Examining meandering stream by using geomorphological characteristics with GIS-based analysis

ORIGIN	VALITY REPORT			
2 SIMIL	ARITY INDEX	21% INTERNET SOURCES	7% PUBLICATIONS	% STUDENT PAPERS
PRIMA	RY SOURCES			
1	publika: Internet Sour	siilmiah.ums.ac.i	d	10%
2	id.123d			7%
3	Framew	S. Meixler, Mark /ork for Fish Hab asin Scale", Inter 7, 2012	itat Predictior	n at the 🛛 🖉 🖉
4	agupub	s.onlinelibrary.w	/iley.com	2%
5	www.id	rologia.polito.it		1 %
6	garasi82			1 %
7	implem	Polidori, Paige Sc entation gap: De vith Michigan Pu	esigning a cou	

Councils", Journal of Great Lakes Research, 2022 Publication

8

Robby Tallar, Jian-Ping Suen. "Measuring the Aesthetic Value of Multifunctional Lakes Using an Enhanced Visual Quality Method", Water, 2017

1%

Publication

Exclude quotes	Off	Exclude matches	Off
Exclude bibliography	On		

1. Examining meandering stream by using geomorphological characteristics with GIS-based analysis

GRADEMARK REPORT	
FINAL GRADE	GENERAL COMMENTS
/0	Instructor
PAGE 1	
PAGE 2	
PAGE 3	
PAGE 4	
PAGE 5	
PAGE 6	
PAGE 7	
PAGE 8	
PAGE 9	