




UNIVERSITAS
KRISTEN
MARANATHA



1ST INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE
ON EMERGING ISSUES IN
HUMANITY STUDIES AND
SOCIAL SCIENCE

PROCEEDINGS

1-2 JULY 2021

Editors

Jong Seong Kang

Hae Dong Jang


Lawrence Young

Viroj Boon

Emmanuel Jean-Francois

Ratnadewi

Papers available at



ICE-HUMS 2021

Proceedings of the
1st International Conference on
Emerging Issues in Humanity Studies and Social
Sciences

Bandung - Indonesia

July 1 - 2, 2021

Copyright © 2022 by SCITEPRESS – Science and Technology Publications, Lda.
All rights reserved

Edited by Jong Seong Kang, Hae Dong Jang, Lawrence Young, Viroj Boon, Emmanuel Jean Francois and
Ratnadewi

Printed in Portugal

ISBN: 978-989-758-604-0

DOI: 10.5220/0000147100003112

Depósito Legal: 504672/22

<https://event.maranatha.edu>

hapnestoba@it.maranatha.edu

BRIEF CONTENTS

INVITED SPEAKERS	IV
ORGANIZING COMMITTEES	V
PROGRAM COMMITTEE	VII
FOREWORD	IX
CONTENTS	XI

INVITED SPEAKERS

Drs. Ignasius Jonan, M.A.

Former Minister for Energy & Mineral Resources of Indonesia
Indonesia

Prof. Dr. Ahmad M. Ramli, SH, MH, FCBArb

Faculty of Law, Padjadjaran University
Indonesia

Ikhsan Baidirus, SH., LLM.

Director of Post, General Directorate of Post and Information Technology, Ministry of Communication
and Informatics
Indonesia

Prof. Dr. Pim Martens

Graduate School of Sustainability Science, Maastricht University
The Netherlands

Dr. Dwinita Larasati, S.Sn., M.A

Faculty of Art & Design, Bandung Institute of Technology
Indonesia

Prof. Chien-Hsu Chen Ph.D

Department of Industrial Design, National Cheng Kung University
Taiwan

Prof. Dr. Wilson Bangun, S.E., M.Si.

Faculty of Economics, Maranatha Christian University
Indonesia

Prof. Marcellia Susan

Faculty of Economics, Maranatha Christian University
Indonesia

ORGANIZING COMMITTEES

STEERING COMMITTEE

Prof. Gudivada Sudarsanam, Sri Venkateswara University, India
Prof. Hae Dong Jang, Hannam University, Korea
Prof. Lawrence Young, University of Warwick, United Kingdom
Prof. Young Ho Kim, Chungnam National University, Korea
Prof. Sri Widiyantoro, Maranatha Christian University, Bandung Institute of Technology, Indonesia
Prof. Budi Hartanto Susilo, Maranatha Christian University, Indonesia
Prof. Marcellia Susan, Maranatha Christian University, Indonesia
Prof. Susy Tjahjani, Maranatha Christian University, Indonesia
Prof. Wilson Bangun, Maranatha Christian University, Indonesia

GENERAL CHAIR

Dr. Hapnes Toba, M.Sc., Maranatha Christian University, Indonesia

GENERAL CO-CHAIR

Joni, Ph.D., Ak., CA., CPSAK., Maranatha Christian University, Indonesia

SECRETARIAT CHAIR

Fenty Lidya Siregar, S.S., M.A., Ph.D., Maranatha Christian University, Indonesia

FINANCE CHAIR

Susanti Ridawaty Saragih, S.E., M.Si., Maranatha Christian University, Indonesia

SCIENTIFIC PROGRAM CHAIR

Daniel Hendrawan, S.H., M.Hum., M.Kn, Maranatha Christian University, Indonesia

SCIENTIFIC PROGRAM MEMBERS

Monica Hartanti, S.Sn., M.Ds., Maranatha Christian University, Indonesia
Dr. Missiliana Riasnugrahani, S.Psi., M.Si., Psikolog., Maranatha Christian University, Indonesia
Tita Alissa Bach, Ph.D., Det Norske Veritas Germanischer Lloyd (DNV-GL), Norway
Gregory Arief D. Liem, Ph.D., National Institute of Education (NIE), Singapore

PUBLICITY & PUBLIC RELATION CHAIR

Yenni M. Djajalaksana, S.E., M.B.A, Ph.D., Maranatha Christian University, Indonesia

EDITORS

Prof. Jong Seong Kang., Chungnam National University, Korea
Prof. Hae Dong Jang, Hannam University, Korea
Prof. Lawrence Young, University of Warwick, United Kingdom
Prof. Viroj Boon, Chulalongkorn University, Thailand
Dr. Emmanuel Jean-Francois, Ohio University, United States
Dr. Ratnadewi, S.T., M.T., Maranatha Christian University, Indonesia

CO-EDITORS

Yenni M. Djajalaksana, S.E., M.B.A, Ph.D., Maranatha Christian University, Indonesia
Monica Hartanti, S.Sn., M.Ds., Maranatha Christian University, Indonesia
Daniel Hendrawan, S.H., M.Hum., M.Kn., Maranatha Christian University, Indonesia
Ida, S.E., M.M., Maranatha Christian University, Indonesia
Meily Margaretha, S.E., M.Ed., Maranatha Christian University, Indonesia
Dr. Hana Ratnawati, dr., M.Kes., Maranatha Christian University, Indonesia
Andreas Widjaja, S.Si., M.Sc., Ph.D., Maranatha Christian University, Indonesia
Dr. Hapnes Toba, M.Sc., Maranatha Christian University, Indonesia
Dr.drg. Vinna Kurniawati Sugiaman, M.Kes., Maranatha Christian University, Indonesia

PROGRAM COMMITTEE

- Dr. Amrinder Khosa, CA.,** Monash University, Australia
- Dr. Ehtasham Ghauri,** Otago Polytechnic, New Zealand
- Dr. Emmanuel Jean-Francois,** Ohio University, United States
- Gregory Arief D Liem, Ph.D.,** National Institute of Education, Singapore
- Tita Alissa Bach, Ph.D.,** Det Norske Veritas-Germanischer Lloyd (DNV-GL), Norway
- Tessa Eka Darmayanti, PhD,** School of Housing, Building & Planning, Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia
- Dr. Muhammad Abdan Shadiqi, S.Psi., M.Si,** Universitas Lambung Mangkurat, Indonesia
- Adiatma Y.M. Siregar, Ph.D.,** Padjadjaran University, Indonesia
- Dr. Santy Pranawati, M.Psi, Psikolog,** Associate researcher of Family Studies, Universitas Indonesia, Indonesia
- Dr. Henndy Ginting, M.Si, Psikolog,** Institut Teknologi Bandung, Indonesia
- Dr. Dra. Ariesa Pandanwangi, M.Sn.,** Maranatha Christian University, Indonesia
- Dr. Benny Budiawan Tjandra, S.E., M.M.,** Maranatha Christian University, Indonesia
- Dr. Bram Hadianto, S.E., M.Si.,** Maranatha Christian University, Indonesia
- Dr. Elizabeth Susanti. B.A., M.Ds.,** Maranatha Christian University, Indonesia
- Dr. Lina Anatan, S.E., M.Si.,** Maranatha Christian University, Indonesia
- Dr. Pan Lindawaty Suherman Sewu, S.H., M.Hum., M.Kn.,** Maranatha Christian University, Indonesia
- Dr. Rapina, S.E., M.Si., Ak., CA.,** Maranatha Christian University, Indonesia
- Drs. Robert Oloan Rajagukguk, M.A., Ph.D., Psikolog.,** Maranatha Christian University, Indonesia
- Dr. Tery Setiawan, B.A., S.Psi., M.Si.,** Maranatha Christian University, Indonesia
- Dr. Yenni Carolina, S.E., M.Si.,** Maranatha Christian University, Indonesia
- Dr. Yuspendi, M.Psi., M.Pd.,** Psikolog. Maranatha Christian University, Indonesia
- Dr. Anton Sutandio.,** Maranatha Christian University, Indonesia
- Dr. Christine Claudia Lukman, Dra., M.Ds,** Maranatha Christian University, Indonesia
- Dr. Dra. Ita Salsalina Lingga, M.Si. Ak.,** Maranatha Christian University, Indonesia
- Dr. Dra. Jacinta Winarto, M.T.,** Maranatha Christian University, Indonesia
- Dr. Fenty Siregar,** Maranatha Christian University, Indonesia
- Dr. Gianti Gunawan M.Psi Psikolog,** Maranatha Christian University, Indonesia
- Dr. Indah Soca Kuntari, M.Psi, Psikolog,** Maranatha Christian University, Indonesia
- Dr. Irene Prameswari E, M.Si, Psikolog,** Maranatha Christian University, Indonesia
- Dr. Jacqueline, M.Si Psikolog,** Maranatha Christian University, Indonesia
- Dr. Jane Savitri, M.Si, Psikolog,** Maranatha Christian University, Indonesia
- Dr. Krismanto Kusbiantoro,** Maranatha Christian University, Indonesia
- Dr. Maria Yuni Megarini, M.Psi, Psikolog,** Maranatha Christian University, Indonesia
- Dr. Meilani Rohinsa Sitompul, M.Psi,** Maranatha Christian University, Indonesia
- Dr. Missiliana Riasnugrahani, M.Si,** Maranatha Christian University, Indonesia
- Dr. Ria Wardani, M.Si, Psikolog,** Maranatha Christian University, Indonesia
- Dr. Se Tin, S.E., M.Si., Ak,** Maranatha Christian University, Indonesia
- Dr. Sri Iriantini M.Hum,** Maranatha Christian University, Indonesia
- Dr. Trisnowati Tanto, M.Hum.,** Maranatha Christian University, Indonesia
- Joni, S.E., M.Si., Ak., Ph.D,** Maranatha Christian University, Indonesia

Maya Malinda, S.E., M.T., Ph.D., Maranatha Christian University, Indonesia

Monica Hartanti, S.Sn., M.Ds., Maranatha Christian University, Indonesia

Prof. Dr. Dra. Marcellia Susan Karnadi, M., Maranatha Christian University, Indonesia

Prof. Dr. Drs. Wilson Bangun, M.Si., Maranatha Christian University, Indonesia

Tan Ming Kuang, Ph.D, Maranatha Christian University, Indonesia

Yohanes Hermanto Sirait, S.H., LL.M., Maranatha Christian University, Indonesia

FOREWORD

Message from the Rector



Honorable Keynote Speaker, Mr. Ignasius Jonan, former Minister of the Energy and Mineral Resources, and previously Minister of the Transportation Ministry of the Republic of Indonesia, we are greatly honored to have you with us this morning.

Distinguished keynote speakers, Prof. Ahmad M. Ramli (represented by Ikhsan Baidirus, S.H., LL.M., Director of Post, General Directorate of Post and Information Technology, Ministry of Communication and Information Technology), Prof. John Silke, Dr. Ferry Sandra, Prof. Takayuki Arai, Prof. Young Ho Kim, Prof. Susy Tjahjani, Prof. Pim Martens, Dr. Dwinita Larasati, Prof. Chien-Hsu Chen, Prof. Wilson Bangun and Prof. Marcellia Susan, as well as all participants in the international conferences at Maranatha University.

A very good morning and best wishes to you all, and good evening to our colleagues in the US. Greetings and a warm welcome to Maranatha Christian University (MCU), Bandung, Indonesia.

I am delighted to be here with you today for the opening of the first MCU international conferences. These are: (i) The International Conference on Emerging Issues in Technology, Engineering, and Science, and (ii) The International Conference on Emerging Issues in Humanity Studies and Social Sciences, with the themes of: Digital Ecosystems for Sustainable Health and Community Development towards an Intelligent Society, and Innovations for Sustainable Community Development - Research and Practices, respectively.

We thank the many participants from countries across the different continents: the USA, the UK, the Netherlands, Norway, Taiwan, Japan, Korea, Thailand, Singapore, Malaysia, India, Australia, and New Zealand.

These first international conferences will serve as a platform to enable speakers and participants to share their research results, drawn from up-to-date research work, to initiate and strengthen further collaboration.

Without further ado, I would like to thank all the participants who have joined us for these international conferences. Again, my sincere hope is that what we accomplish today will be beneficial towards establishing collaboration among all the participants of these conferences.

I hereby declare the first international conferences at MCU in 2021 officially open.

May God bless you all. Thank you.

Prof. Ir. Sri Widiyantoro, MSc., PhD., IPU.
Rector of Maranatha Christian University

Foreword



On behalf of the committee members, it is a great pleasure to welcome you all to our first two international conferences: Emerging Issues in Technology, Engineering, and Science (ICE-TES) and, Emerging Issues in Humanity Studies and Social Sciences (ICE-HUMS).

ICE-TES and ICE-HUMS are twin events which serve our passion in balancing technology and humanity issues in the world of science and share the core values of our university: Integrity, Care & Excellence (ICE).

This year's theme for both conferences focus on the United Nations' Sustainable Development Goals (SGD), which emphasize the following aspects: ICE-TES (Digital Ecosystem for Sustainable Health & Community Development: Towards the Intelligent Society) and ICE-HUMS (Innovations for Sustainable Community Development: Research and Practices). We believe research and initiatives that has pragmatic and multidisciplinary/interdisciplinary approaches allows us to unravel fundamental problems and answer related questions regarding sustainable development.

The logistics of both of the First ICE-TES and ICE-HUMS 2021 conferences consist of two general and five-scientific keynote speakers. Special tracks are designed in each conference which cover recent developments in: ICE-TES (technologies, engineering, medical, and dentistry), ICE-HUMS (psychology, languages & cultures, economics, arts & design, and laws). The ICE-TES tracks received 82 submissions and 51 are accepted in the proceedings, involving authors from five countries, and corresponding to an acceptance rate of 62.2%. At the same time, the ICE-HUMS has received 130 submissions and 73 are accepted in the proceedings, involving authors from four countries, corresponding to an acceptance rate of 56.1%. All submitted papers were peer-reviewed on the basis of their significance, state-of-the-art contributions, and technical qualities.

Since we are still in the midst of COVID-19 pandemic, the conference has been organized virtually. The organizing committee has been working intensively to ensure that the scientific sessions will be valuable and engaging for all presenters and attendees. The parallel session format is a mix of pre-recorded and synchronous engagement through in-person live videos and question and answer sessions.

We would like to express our sincere appreciation to all the keynote speakers, committee members and reviewers for their dedication. Last, but certainly not least, we would like to offer many thanks to all authors who submitted their papers and all participants who registered to join this conference. We believe that ICE-TES and ICE-HUMS 2021 will be an inspiring academic occasion and will become a great platform for many ideas as well as research initiatives in the scientific community. Have an inspiring conference!

Dr. Hapnes Toba, M.Sc., General Chair of ICE-TES and ICE-HUMS 2021

Dr. Wahjoe Widowati, M.Si., General Co-chair of ICE-TES 2021

Joni, Ph.D., Ak., CA., CPSAK., General Co-chair of ICE-HUMS 2021

CONTENTS

PAPERS

FULL PAPERS

- Female Representation in *Legenda Tangkuban Perahu*: A Transitivity Analysis
Jeanyfer Tanusy, Eva Tuckyta Sari Sujatna, Susi Yuliawati and Trisnowati Tanto 5
- How do Indonesians Perceive Marriage? Semantics Analysis of Marriage as a Concept and Its Relation with the Well-being
Afifah Zulinda Sari, Destie Nurainy Ramadhan, Minerva Patricia, Pingkan Cynthia Belinda Rumondor and Farah Mutiasari Djalal 10
- Desire to Have Children Assessed from Socioeconomic Context Post Disaster in Palu
Abd. Rahman, Sri Rum Giyarsih and Sigit Herumurti B. S. 19
- Family Resilience: Traits, Positivity, and Close Relationship in Adolescents
O. Irene Prameswari Edwina, Tessalonika Sembiring, Cindy Maria and Jean Esparanci 25
- Predicting Retention: Sociodemographic, Motivational, and Perceived Social Support Factors
Ria Wardani, Ira Adelina and Heliany Kiswantomo 33
- The Representation of Gender in Garner's Politically Correct Bedtime Stories: A Critical Stylistic Analysis
Fenty Lidya Siregar, Henni and Silvanni Comara 41
- Using Educational Game for Improving Students' Knowledge and Interest in Investing in the Capital Market
Tan Ming Kuang, Lidya Agustina and Yani Monalisa 52
- Utilization of Digital Marketing after the Covid-19 Pandemic in Indonesia Businesses
Yenni Merlin Djajalaksana and Doro Edi 63
- Assessing Business Performance of the Traditional Market Trader: The Role of Buyer-supplier Relationship and Dynamic Capabilities
Moh Farid Najib 73
- Comparison of Financial Literacy for Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises Entrepreneurs at *Bojong Soang* upon using Financial Planning Application "SAKA (*peSAK Abdi*)"
Maya Malinda, Asni Harianti, Yolla Margaretha, Henky Lisan Suwarno, Kaleb Immanuel Yahya and Miki Tjandra 82
- Testing the Internal Control of Simda Application in Effort to Improve Public Accountability
Rhosalina Damayanti, Adrian Izaak Rompis and Aprina Nugrahesthy Sulistya Hapsari 90
- Do Socio-economic Factors Drive Peer to Peer Lending? Analysis in Indonesia
Rima Kusuma Rini and Nanda Ayu Wijayanti 102
- Bibliometric Analysis of Product-Service System Related to Life Cycle
Christina Wirawan 110
- Analysis of Factors Affecting the Sustainability of Village-Owned Enterprise in the Province of West Sumatera
Elvira Luthan, Yulia H. Yeni and Eri Besra 119

The Importance of Internal Control on Accounting Information System's Quality: Survey on Banking Sector <i>Ita Salsalina Lingga</i>	129
The Roles of Organizational Politics and Fairnesin the Relationship between Relative Performance Evaluation and Managerial Performance <i>Ilham Pranata and SeTin SeTin</i>	141
Sustaining Customer Loyalty in Higher Education <i>Marcellia Susan, Jacinta Winarto, Agus Aribowo, Yusuf Osman Raihin, Martalena Martalena, Herlina Herlina, Herman Kambono and Enny Prayogo</i>	151
The Role of Adult Attachment and Spiritual Well-being towards Wife's Marriage Satisfaction in the Muslim Community of Bandung <i>Yuspendi, Trisa Genia C. Zega, Indah Soca R. Kuntari, Lie Fun Fun and Ida Ayu N. Kartikawati</i>	155
Potential Relationship between Students' Satisfaction on University Attributes and Positive or Negative Word-of-Mouth (WOM) and Its Correlation with Their Recommendations <i>Imelda Junita, Fanny Kristine, Sherlywati and Rizki Muhammad Sidik</i>	160
Addressing the Independent Learning Curriculum (Kurikulum Merdeka Belajar) as a Form of Positive Disruption to Empower the Community <i>Purnama E. D. Tedjokoesoemo, Poppy Firtatwentyna Nilasari and Sriti Mayang Sari</i>	167
Model of Student Development by Chickering Theory <i>Robert O. Rajagukguk, Candra Sinuraya, Jane Savitri, Kristin Rahmani and Stephanie Andamari</i>	177
The Interactive Effects of Superior Trust and Subordinate Involvement in Decision Making on Budget Gaming and Budget Value <i>Rifal Hijira and SeTin SeTin</i>	183
Measuring Ease of Use Aspects of Shopee Usage Behavior during Pandemic using PLS-SEM Approach <i>Michael Christian, Lasmary R. M. Girsang and Henilia Yulita</i>	192
The Role of Sustainable Packaging Design for West Java Local Products <i>Elizabeth Wianto and Monica Hartanti</i>	198
Spiritual Value: A Mythological Figure in Keraton Kanoman Cirebon <i>Ariasa Pandanwangi, Dewi Isma Aryani, Belinda Sukapura Dewi, Ismet Zaenal Effendi, Wawan Suryana, Miky Endro Santoso, Leonardo and Beni Sasmito</i>	205
Multidiscipline Hybrid Approach in Art and Design Education to Support the Sustainability of Cimahi Batik <i>Christine Claudia Lukman, Krismanto Kusbiantoro, Irena Vanessa Gunawan, Lois Denissa, Elliaty Djakaria, I Nyoman Nathanael, Grace Caroline Sahertian and Wenny Anggraini Natalia</i>	211
Changes in Interior Design Programming at Residentials after Covid-19 Pandemic <i>Greysia Susilo and Veila Venisia</i>	226
The Improvement of <i>Jamu</i> Appeal using Creative Sales Strategy and Contemporary Design <i>Isabella Isthipraya Andreas, Shirly Nathania Suhanjoyo, Andi Aulia Hamzah, Heddy Heryadi, Ferlina Sussgata, Nathalia Yunita Sugiharto, Nina Nurviana and Seriwati Ginting</i>	234
JFC Timeless Uses Hybrid Codes in Costumes for Reaching Sustainable Identity <i>Lois Denissa</i>	243

Preservation of the Tasikmalaya Batik Motif with Turtle Graphics <i>Ratnadewi Ratnadewi, Ariesa Pandanwangi, Agus Prijono and Andrew Sebastian Lehman</i>	254
Local Potential of Purwakarta Jugs as the Source of <i>Batik</i> Design using Turtle Graphics <i>Ariesa Pandanwangi, Ratnadewi Ratnadewi and Agus Prijono</i>	265
<i>Arumawa ilé</i> : New Normal and Sustainable Café Concept in Turirejo, Malang, East Java <i>Hayu Puspasari Saputri</i>	274
Transformation of a Chinese Cultural Heritage House in Bandung: Towards Sustainability <i>Elizabeth Susanti Gunawan, Krismanto Kusbiantoro and Sugiri Kustedja</i>	285
Development of Weaving Craft Motif Designs as a Diversification Attempt for Nias Local Souvenir Products <i>Kezia Clarissa Langi, Dian Widiawati, Setiawan Sabana and Tusita Mettadevi Jayamangalani Suprpto</i>	294
Self-taught Online Graphic Designer in Salaman, Magelang: Between Art Work, Decent Work and Vulnerable Work <i>Petrus Gogor Bangsa, Wening Udasmoro and Christian Budiman</i>	300
Urgency in using Indonesia Language on Business Contracts and Potency of Investment Dispute: The Study of Supreme Court Decision Number 601 K/Pdt/2015 <i>Johannes Ibrahim Kosasih and Yohanes Hermanto Siriat</i>	306
Corporate Social and Environmental Responsibility Regulation and Implementation in Indonesia: Contribution for Sustainable Development or Legalized Robbery? <i>Tresnawati</i>	312
Financial Exploitation by Spouse, a Crime in Private Space: An Overview based on Indonesian Legal System <i>Rahel Octora and Fauzan Hanif M.</i>	322
Study of Stock Ownership Nominee Agreement in Mining Business to People's Welfare That Is Aligned for Sustainable Development Goals <i>Ida Sumarsih</i>	329
Part-time Working Contribution to Sustainable Development and How It Is Implemented <i>Reggiannie Christy Natalia</i>	336
Good Faith Principle and Legal Protection over Parties Related to Fiduciary Certificate in the Constitutional Court Decision in Indonesia after the Constitutional Court Decision Number 18/PUU-XVII/2019 <i>Agus Setiawan, Haris Wiguna and Pan Lindawaty Suherman Sewu</i>	342
Calling and Work Engagement in Priests: The Moderating Role of Social Support <i>Missiliana Riasnugrahani, Meta Dwijyanthy and Cindy Maria</i>	349
The Role of Calling and Job Crafting on the Promotion of Employee Engagement for Organizational Sustainability <i>Susanti Saragih, Meily Margaretha and Sherlywati</i>	357
What Does Sejahtera Mean to You? The Interpretation of Sejahtera based on Money-saving Habit, Happiness, and Life Satisfaction <i>Hasna Fauziati Zakkiyah, Stella, Farah Mutiasari Djalal and Yosef Dedy Pradipto</i>	366

Teacher Self Efficacy and Work Engagement: The Mediating Role of Psychological Well Being <i>Ida Ayu Nyoman Kartikawati, Lie Fun Fun, Lisa Imelia and Stephanie Andamari</i>	374
Effect Neuroticism to Subjective Well-being in Faculty of Psychology Student <i>Heliany Kiswantomo and Theofanny</i>	380
Internal Change Agents' Strategies to Deal with Boundary in Organizations in Indonesia <i>Leonardus Dewa Hardana and Rayini Dahesihsari</i>	388
Perceived Individual, Partner, and Community Stressors Related to Covid-19 Quarantine in Indonesia: A Qualitative Study <i>Pingkan C. B. Rumondor, Eilien Rosalie, Syifa Fauziah, Adriana S. Ginanjar, Claudia Chiarolanza and Ashley K. Randall</i>	403
Brain Respiration to Overcome Stress People Who Live with HIV (ODHIV) <i>Efnie Indrianie</i>	421
The Interior of Medium-Scale Business Tiara Handicraft in Surabaya with Accessible Design Principle for Mentally and Physically Disabled Employees <i>Rhea Griselda, Olivia Gunawan and Laksmi Kusuma Wardani</i>	426
Happily Married in the Absence of a Child: Marital Satisfaction of Voluntary and Involuntary Childless Individuals <i>Mutiara Ramadhita Roesad and Pingkan C. B. Rumondor</i>	438
Gratitude Journal, Expressive Writing, and Mindfulness <i>Ka Yan and Priska Analya</i>	448
The Role of Affective Commitment in Mediating the Relationship between Authentic Leadership and Organizational Learning at Chicken-based Food Processing Company in Indonesia <i>Henilia Yulita, Vincencius Farrel Jonathan, Yustinus Yuniarto, Michael Christian, Eko Retno Indriyarti and Suryo Wibowo</i>	453
Systematic Literature Review on Mindset and the Benefits in Living New Normal Life <i>Ira Adelina, Vida Handayani and Maria Yuni Megarini</i>	458
Human Development Index in Indonesia: Are We in Line with SDGs and How Much Have We Grown? <i>Tery Setiawan, Indah S.R. Kuntari and Indah Puspitasari</i>	470
Role of Parental Stress on Parenting Practice in Parents of Middle Childhood Children <i>Ellen Theresia, Marissa Chitra Sulastra and Serena Wijaya</i>	481
PERMA: A Multidimensional Framework of Well-being in Indonesian Adults <i>Ni Luh Ayu Vivekananda and Evi Ema Victoria Polii</i>	489
The Dimensions of Transformational Leadership and Their Impacts on the Performance of Construction Business during Covid-19 Pandemic <i>Erwin Permana, Widarto Rachbini, Wasi Widayadi and Rukun Santoso</i>	496
Indonesian Film Industry amidst the Covid-19 Pandemic: An Observation on Sustainability Efforts <i>Anton Sutandio</i>	504
Local Wisdom in Indomie and Demae Icchou Video Advertisements: A Multimodal Analysis <i>Trisnowati Tanto and Sri Iriantini</i>	512

The Influences of Song Lyrics “Jagad Anyar Kang Dumadi” on the Productivity of Traditional Artists in Yogyakarta: A Critical Discourse Analysis <i>Mohamad Makincoiri and Mulyana</i>	523
Representation of Political, Social, and Cultural Phenomena in Biopics of Chinese Indonesian Figures using Historical Approach <i>Selvia, Yohan Yusuf Arifin and Septerianie Sutandi</i>	533
Food Sustainability in Local Wisdom Perspective of the Indigenous People Dayak Tomun Lamandau <i>Brian L. Djumaty and Nina Putri Hayam Dey</i>	543
The Role of Religious Orientation and Ethical Ideologies in Environmental Concerns amongst Teachers and School Staff in East Java, Indonesia <i>Dexon Pasaribu, Pim Martens and Bagus Takwin</i>	551
<i>Tangos</i> Therapy: Health Behavior as the Result of Normalizing Power in Medicating Symptoms of Malaria on the Community of Sebesi Island <i>Vera Budi Lestari Sihotang, Pujo Semedi and Atik Triratnawati</i>	574
The Perceptions of Indonesian University Students on the Use of Extensive Reading in English Grammar Class <i>Maryani</i>	584
Raising Environmental Awareness through Teaching Chinese as a Second Language at University <i>Xuc Lin, Mariana and Fu Ruomei</i>	590
Local Religions and Contested Civic Space in Indonesia: A Case Study of Sunda Wiwitan Community in Cigugur, West Java <i>Hurriyah</i>	595
The Recalled of Disaster Memory on Sebesi Island: A Historical Perspective <i>Devi Riskianingrum, Yuda B. Tangkilisan, Herry Yogaswara and Bondan Kanumoyoso</i>	607
The Influences of Chinese Culture on Historical Buildings in Surabaya <i>Olivia Gunawan, Rhea Griselda and Diana Thamrin</i>	620
Healing through Acting: The Therapeutic Effect through Online Monologue Performance <i>Rizki Theodorus Johan and Sonny Angjaya</i>	634
Digital Interactions in Church Communities Attributable to Covid-19 Pandemic <i>Julianti Kasih, Yenni Merlin Djajalaksana and Doro Edi</i>	642
Social Entrepreneurship <i>Pesantren</i> -based to Support Rural Development in the Era of Covid-19 Pandemic: Case Study in District of Lebak, Banten Province, Indonesia <i>Helmy Faizi Bahrul Ulumi and Muhammad Syafar</i>	649
AUTHOR INDEX	661

Family Resilience: Traits, Positivity, and Close Relationship in Adolescents

O. Irene Prameswari Edwina^a, Tesselonika Sembiring^b, Cindy Maria^c and Jean Esparanci^d
Department of Psychology, Maranatha Christian University, Jl. Surya Sumantri No.65, Bandung, Indonesia

Keywords: Family Resilience, Traits, Close Relationship, Positivity, Adolescents.

Abstract: High stress can be experienced by all family members, including adolescents. This can cause the family to function less optimally and interfere with the adaptation of each family member. A family that has resilience is one solution for the family to be able to still adapt well when experiencing strong pressure. The purpose of this study is to determine the role of traits in family resilience with the mediators of close relationship and positivity. Data were collected using reliable instruments. The research participants consisted of 312 adolescents aged 15-18 years old. The data were processed by using the mediation analysis technique using Model 4 from Hayes PROCESS. The results showed that there was a significant relationship between traits and family resilience through close relationship and positivity. The role of the five traits in family resilience can occur if they are mediated by the mediator variables. From the three mediators, namely close relationship, positive emotions, and negative emotions, it was found that positive emotions had the strongest role as a mediator of the five traits in family resilience. Implications for theory and practice are discussed.

1 INTRODUCTION


Mental health is an asset that is needed by individuals to work and face challenges, problems, and pressure in life. A quick change in all aspects of life can make an individual feel nervous. If a person does not quickly, deftly, and effectively adapt to the changes, then it can lead to a state of confusion that will erode his/her mental state.


These days, the number of individuals with severe mental disorders keep increasing. *Riset Kesehatan Dasar 2018* stated that 7 of 1000 households in Indonesia had members with schizophrenia, which meant that if there were 69 households, then 480,000 people were suffering from schizophrenia (Kompas, October 7, 2019). The increase in the number of people with severe mental illness is something that needs to be paid attention to in terms of human resources. Significant losses in economic, social, political, and educational areas as well as individual


performances will be borne by the state if it is not handled well.


Family is the first and foremost agent of individuals. Families that can overcome problems and conflicts that arise and can also adapt to the environment are the kind of families expected by each individual. A family is a system with individuals who are members of the family (Anderson & Sabatelli, 2011). Each member is connected and influences one another. If a member of a family is facing a problem and pressure, then the rest of the family members will also be affected. In system theory, the basic premise is that serious and persistent crises in life affect all family members (Walsh, 2016a).

Adolescents are family members who are in transition from childhood to adulthood. Educational demands, association with peers, desire to be accepted, conflict with parents because of differences in opinion or differences in value instilled by parents and by friends often cause great pressure on adolescents. Furthermore, adolescents tend to be

^a  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7337-3938>

^b  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5412-0452>

^c  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9984-0904>

^d  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2606-5972>

moody and emotionally unstable (Rosenblum & Lewis, 2003, in Santrock, 2016). This situation can make adolescents experience great stress which often hinders their adaptation process. Adolescents who experience stress put their families in stressful conditions too.

A resilient family is one of the solutions for family members to remain adaptive amid stressful situations and even to develop themselves and improve their self-quality. As stated by Rajeev & Kunjachan (2014) that empowerment in a family and a community can be assisted by building resilience inside them. Walsh (2003, in Simon, 2005) argued that by having family resilience, families as a system could provide a process that could reduce stress and vulnerability in high-risk situations, speed up the process of healing and getting out of a crisis, and empower families to cope with prolonged hardship.

According to Walsh (2003, in Walsh, 2016b), family resilience is the ability of a family as a system to recover after experiencing adversity/obstacles in life. Lee (2004) stated that family resilience could change the dynamics of family function in order to solve problems associated with stress.

There are three key processes in family resilience, namely family belief systems, organizational patterns, and communication (Walsh, 2016b). First, family belief systems have a strong influence on family members in viewing crises, adversities, and choices. Families need challenges in order to develop their members. There are three aspects in belief systems; making meaning of adversity experienced by the family, hope/positive outlook, and transcendence and spirituality.

Second, families as a system carry out organizational patterns. There are also three aspects in organizational patterns. The first one is flexibility in facing challenges in terms of adapting to changes and having leadership in directing and working together between family members in facing challenges. The second aspect is about the connectedness, bonds between family members to support each other, respecting the needs and uniqueness of each family member, and the commitment to overcome problem together. Then, the third aspect is the ability to mobilize social and economic resources by asking for help from appropriate and significant people to solve problems together.

Third, in the process of communication and problem solving, there are three aspects. The first aspect is the clarity of communication, which is getting clear and reliable information to make ambiguous situations understandable. The second

aspect is building positive interactions with love, appreciation, humor, gratefulness, relaxation, and happiness. Family members share their suffering, sadness, fear, anger, disappointment, and regret with each other. The third aspect is the ability of family members to solve problems together with constructive and creative discussions by focusing on goals, resolving conflicts through negotiation, being honest and fair, and developing plans for solutions. There are efforts to prevent the emergence of stressful problems/situations, although in reality, not all problems can be prevented, but at least they can be reduced.

Based on earlier research (Edwina, 2019), it is found that 37.10% of family resilience is determined by mindset. This shows that there are 62.90% of other factors or variables that become predictors in family resilience. Mindset is a cognitive variable of individuals. Individuals have other dimensions, such as trait, emotional, and social variables. In this follow-up research, other factors/variables that can play a role in adolescents' family resilience will be examined. Walsh (2016) stated that many previous studies of resilience had focused on individual traits and dispositions, but subsequent studies have shown that resilience involves dynamic interactions of risk and protection factors, which include individuals, interpersonal, socio-economic, and cultural influences (Cicchetti, 2010; Garnezy, 1991; Rutter, 1987, in Walsh, 2016). Each individual has a variety of traits that tend to be inherited. Traits will determine the way individuals think, feel, and behave (Cervone & Pervin, 2019). McCrae & Costa (2006) revealed that the theory of trait could best predict a person's behavior. Trait is the basic tendency of individuals who interact with the social and physical environment to form characteristics in adaptation. In this study, adolescents with their traits interact with their families and form close relationships within family members. They also interact with the society in everyday life and produce a certain emotional appreciation, which in turn will play a role in their family resilience.

There are five types of traits, which are neuroticism, extraversion, openness, agreeableness, and conscientiousness. Traits are seen as a cause that influences an individual's psychological development (McCrae & Costa, 2006). The traits that are owned by adolescents will affect other psychic dimensions, such as emotion, social relation, and other kinds of abilities.

Neuroticism identifies an individual's tendency to experience psychological distress, unrealistic ideas, excessive desires, and maladaptive responses.

Extraversion refers to the quantity and intensity of personal interactions, level of activity, need for stimulation, and capacity for pleasure. Openness means being proactive in seeking and appreciating experiences because of their own will, being tolerant, and exploring things that are not yet known. Agreeableness refers to the personal orientation quality of an individual who is trusting, believing the best of others and rarely suspecting hidden intents, meek, deferring, and humble. Conscientiousness shows the degree of individual regularity, perseverance, and goal-oriented motivation. Sadati, Honarmand, and Soodani (2015), Watson and Clark (1984 ; White et al., 2004. in Saeed Abbasi et al., 2018) stated that neuroticism and negative emotions had a very close relationship. Letzring (2015) showed that extraverts experienced more positive emotions and fewer negative emotions. Neurotics experienced more negative emotions and fewer positive emotions. Individuals with a high agreeableness level experienced fewer negative emotions, but they did not experience more positive emotions either. Individuals with higher conscientious levels experienced more positive emotions, but they also experienced a high amount of negative emotions. Last, individuals with openness experienced more positive emotions. Zanon (2013) also stated that openness had no correlation with negative emotions and conscientiousness had a good correlation with positive emotions.

Frederickson (2009) argued that individuals who experienced positive emotions more frequently had a more open heart and mind. They would be more responsive and creative, skilled in managing relationships, and physically healthier. Individuals need to experience more positive emotions than negative emotions. Positivity is overall positive emotions, such as appreciation, love, joy, gratitude, serenity, interest, hope, pleasure, inspiration, self-worth, etc. The positivity ratio between positive emotions and negative emotions is expected to be at least 3:1. This comparison shows that negative emotions is necessary in human life. No one can thrive without negative emotions, such as anger, shame, humiliation, disgust, guilt, hate, sadness, fear, stress, insult, etc.

Liu's research (2012) shows that neuroticism and resilience are mediated by positive and negative emotions, and resilience is consistently associated with positive emotions. Moreover, Sahar (2017) stated that positive emotions and resilience had a positive relationship. Ruswahyuningsih (2015) argued that positive emotions in adolescents were a positive aspect that supports and facilitates the

formation of their resilience. Chou's research (2016) on kindergarten teachers obtained a result that if their positive emotions increased, their resilience would also increase, job stress would decrease, and they could handle the impact of negative emotions.

The relationship between adolescents and their families affects their way of overcoming the problems and pressures that they face. During adolescence, adolescents' relationships, especially with their parents, will undergo some changes. With the increasing need for independence and their peers' becoming the center of their attention, adolescents often experience conflicts with their parents which can cause certain stress to them. There is a mutual influence between adolescents and their parents, and in a broader context, between adolescents and their families.

Branje (2004) examined the relationship between the big five traits and the perceived relational support received by adolescents in the family, and the results show that agreeableness and interpersonal relationships in a family have the strongest relationship. Winterheld (2018) revealed that the success of a relationship between individuals depended on their traits and dispositions, and neuroticism is the strongest and most consistent predictor of relationships compared to other traits.

In families, there will be an intense relationship between each member. The close relationship in families is seen as a relationship that involves interdependent strengths and frequencies from many different areas of life (Smith et al., 2015). Rajeev (2014), who examined families in India, stated that interpersonal relations and collective responsibility were the core strength of families. Thomas (2017) stated that family relationships were a source that could help individuals cope with stress, exhibit healthier behavior, and promote a sense of self-worth as well as well-being.

Close relationship is a connection involving strong and frequent interdependence in many different areas of life. Interdependence shows that a person's mind, emotion, and behavior influence one another. There are three main aspects of interdependence, namely cognitive, behavioral, and affective interdependences (Smith et al., 2015).

The first one, cognitive interdependence, is a tendency of individuals to think of themselves and their partners as parts that influence one another as attached individuals rather than as separate individuals. The second one, behavioral interdependence, shows that each individual has an impact on his/her partner in every decision, activity, and plan that he/she makes. Group/family members

spend a lot of time together and they do a variety of different activities. Affective interdependence, the third type of interdependence, refers to affective attachments that connect close relationships of family members. There are two points in affective interdependence: intimacy and commitment.

Cognitive, behavioral, and affective interdependencies reflect a unique way of relating. Relationships help individuals to meet their basic needs, such as connection with others and mastery of skills and rewards. The close relationship includes these three basic aspects.

In this research, the target population is adolescents in the range of age 15-18 years old. At this stage of adolescence, adolescents already develop several characteristics, for example, hypothetical thinking, thinking of the possibilities, and a greater inclination to think their thoughts as they arise (Santrock, 2016). In addition, adolescents experience changes in their relationship with their parents and their emotions tend to be moodier than before. The traits in adolescents appear to be more colorful and steady.

This study hypothesizes that close relationship and positivity mediate the relationship between traits and family resilience in adolescents aged 15-18 years old.




Figure 1: Hypothetical model.

2 METHODS AND MATERIALS

The population of this research is adolescents aged 15-18 years old who live in the City of Bandung with one or both of their parents. The data were collected through questionnaires. The Trait questionnaire was adapted by researchers from the Big Five Inventory (BFI) that is based on A Five-Factor Theory concept from Robert R. McCrae and Paul T. Costa, Jr (2006), which is made up of 45 items with 6 answer choices and has reliability values of 0.698 for Neuroticism, 0.762 for Extraversion, 0.795 for Openness, 0.645 for Agreeableness, and 0.789 for Conscientiousness. The

positivity questionnaire was translated by the researcher based on the positivity measurement tool compiled by Barbara Fredrickson (2009), which includes 20 items, with 10 items measuring positive emotions and 10 items measuring negative emotions. Each item has 5 answer options. This measuring instrument has reliability values of 0.885 for positive emotions and 0.874 for negative emotions. The family resilience questionnaire was modified by researchers based on Walsh Family Resilience Questionnaire (WFRQ) theory, contains 35 items with 5 answer choices, and has a reliability value of 0.876. The close relationship questionnaire was structured based on theoretical concept of Close Relationship from Rusbult and Lange (1996, in Smith et al., 2015) which measures cognitive interdependence, behavioral interdependence, and affective interdependence. Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA) was conducted to measure the suitability of each aspect of the Close Relationship Questionnaire. The CFA test shows that the 15 items of the close relationship questionnaire have a score of λ between 0.028 to 0.931. The reliability of Cronbach's Alpha is 0.765.

This data analysis used a regression model between each trait variable and family resilience. Furthermore, mediation analysis techniques will also be used, especially Model 4 from Hayes PROCESS (Hayes, 2018), so that the relationship between each trait and family resilience through the mediation of close relationships and positivity (positive emotions and negative emotions) will be known.

3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

3.1 Results

3.1.1 Respondents Overview

The number of respondents who are willing to become participants in this research are 312 adolescents. The age range is 15-18 years old ($M = 16.41$, $SD = 1,052$) and 63.5% of the participants are female. The majority of the participants are Muslims (35.6%). Almost half of the participants are Sundanese (49.7%). Most of the participants are at a high school education level (61.9%). Most of the participants are the oldest child (35.9%) followed closely by the youngest child (34.6%), and almost all of the participants are siblings of two (48.7%). Most of the participants live with both parents (76.6%) and almost all participants have parents with married

Table 1: Mediation test results of trait and family resilience through close relationship and positivity.

Variable	Effect	SE	t	P	95% CI
Neuroticism Trait					
T1→FR	.0080	.0495	.1611	.8721	[-.0895; .1054]
T1→M1→FR	-.0125	.0091			[-.0349; .0001]
T1→M2→FR	-.1305	.0342			[-.1974 ; -.0637]
T1→M3→FR	-.0982	.0294			[-.1579; -.0434]
Extraversion Trait					
T2→FR	.0319	.0502	.6355	.5256	[-.0669; .1308]
T2→M1→FR	.0186	.0117			[.0008; .0460]
T2→M2→FR	.3145	.0357			[.2435; .3862]
T2→M3→FR	.0360	.0138			[.0121; .0662]
Openness Trait					
T3→FR	-.0219	.0569	-.3842	.7011	[-.1338; .0901]
T3→M1→FR	.0038	.0067			[-.0073; .0201]
T3→M2→FR	.3002	.0337			[.2350; .3680]
T3→M3→FR	-.0005	.0107			[-.0222; .0213]
Agreeableness Trait					
T4→FR	.1022	.0536	1.9069	.0575	[-.0033; .2077]
T4→M1→FR	.0169	.0107			[.0002; .0423]
T4→M2→FR	.2318	.0320			[.1726; .2973]
T4→M3→FR	.0308	.0142			[.0078; .0644]
Conscientiousness Trait					
T5→FR	.0679	.0452	1.5024	.1340	[-.0210; .1569]
T5→M1→FR	-.0002	.0063			[-.0123; .0141]
T5→M2→FR	.2135	.0308			[.1546; .2724]
T5→M3→FR	.0213	.0122			[.0012; .0481]

Note: CI = Confidence Interval; T1 = Neuroticism Trait; T2 = Extraversion Trait; T3 = Openness Trait; T4 = Agreeableness Trait; T5 = Conscientiousness Trait; M1 = Close Relationship; M2 = Positive Emotions; M3 = Negative Emotions; FR = Family Resilience; N = 312

status (88.5%). Most participants come from the upper-middle economic class (76.9%) and many participants come from the working-class (37.8%) and the lower economic class (33.3%).

3.1.2 Hypothesis Test Results

Based on the results of hypothesis testing on each trait (table 1), it is found that neuroticism trait does not have a direct impact on family resilience (Direct effect = .0080, SE = .0495, 95% CI [-.0895; .1054]). There is a role of meditation of positive emotions (Indirect effect = .1305, SE = .0342, 95% CI [-.1974; -.0637]) and negative emotions (Indirect effect = -

.0982, SE = .0294, 95% CI [-.1579; -.0434]) on the relationship between neuroticism trait and family resilience. However, a close relationship in a family does not mediate the relationship between neuroticism trait and family resilience. A close relationship's role is more of an independent variable on family resilience.

Table 1 also shows that the extraversion trait does not have a direct impact on family resilience (Direct effect = .0319, SE = .0502, 95% CI [-.0669; .1308]). Meanwhile, the three mediators that are close relationship (Indirect effect = .0186, SE = .0117, 95% CI [.0008; .0460]), positive emotions (Indirect effect

= .3145, SE = .0357, 95% CI [.2435; .3862]), and negative emotions (Indirect effect = .0360, SE = .0138, 95% CI [.0121; .0662]) mediate the relationship between extraversion trait and family resilience.

Openness trait does not have a direct impact on family resilience (Direct effect = -.0219, SE = .0569, 95% CI [-.1338; .0901]), while positive emotions mediate the relationship between openness trait and family resilience (Indirect effect = .3002, SE = .0337, 95% CI [.2350; .3680]). The two other mediators, namely close relationship and negative emotions play a bigger role as an independent variable on family resilience in adolescents who have openness trait.

In Table 1, it appears that agreeableness trait does not have a direct impact on family resilience (Direct effect = .1022, SE = .0536, 95% CI [-.0033; .2077]). There are indirect roles of the three mediators, namely close relationship (Indirect effect = .0169, SE = .0107, 95% CI [.0002; .0423]), positive emotions (Indirect effect = .2318, SE = .0320, 95% CI [.1726; .2973]), and negative emotions (Indirect effect = .0308, SE = .0142, 95% CI [.0078; .0644]).

The conscientiousness trait does not have a direct impact on family resilience (Direct effect = .0679, SE = .0452, 95% CI [-.0210; .1569]). Positive emotions (Indirect effect = .2135, SE = .0308, 95% CI [.1546; .2724]) and negative emotions (Indirect effect = .0213, SE = .0122, 95% CI [.0012; .0481]) mediate the relationship between conscientiousness trait and family resilience. However, close relationship plays a bigger role as an independent variable for family resilience.

3.2 Discussion

Based on the research results, it is known that traits (neuroticism, extraversion, openness, agreeableness, and conscientiousness) have no direct role in family resilience in adolescents aged 15-18 years old. The role of the five traits on family resilience can occur when they are mediated by the mediator variables, close relationship in a family, positive emotions, and negative emotions. These three mediating variables have a variety of roles in mediating the relationship between traits and family resilience.

This provides an opportunity for family resilience to be increased through the role of nurture (close relationship in a family, positive emotions, and negative emotions) instead of nature (trait) which tends to be inherited and has less chance to modify compared to nurture variable. This condition gives optimism that family resilience is more flexible to be increased.

For adolescents who have extraversion and agreeableness traits, close relationship in their families acts as a mediator for family resilience in addition to positive and negative emotions. However, for the other three traits that are neuroticism, openness, and conscientiousness, a close relationship in a family does not mediate these traits' role in family resilience.

Extraversion and agreeableness are traits that are closely related to relationships with other people. Individuals with high extraversion trait levels prefer quantity and intensity of personal interactions, level of activity, warmth, and likes to live in groups (McCrae & Costa, 2006). Likewise with agreeableness, individuals with agreeableness trait trust people, believe the best of people, rarely suspect hidden goals from people's actions, want to help others, are humble, obedient, and polite (McCrae & Costa, 2006). With this trait, it is easier for adolescents to have close relationships with their families. The combination of traits and close relationship within family members can increase the strength of their family resilience (Sagita, 2020).

The other three traits are more self-oriented. According to McCrae & Costa (2006), individuals with high neuroticism levels more easily experience psychological distress and have unrealistic ideas, excessive desires, and maladaptive coping responses. Individuals with high openness levels will proactively seek and appreciate experiences because of their own will and like to explore things that are not yet known. Individuals with high conscientiousness levels show a degree of regularity, persistence, and goal-oriented motivation. For adolescents, these three traits play a very weak role in close relationship with their families. Therefore, other variables are needed to increase family resilience. In this study, positive emotions are one of the strongest factors to increase family resilience in adolescents.

Not only the three traits that have been mentioned, but also all of the five traits have a significant effect on family resilience when mediated by positive emotions. Positive emotions can appear in the form of love, humour, optimism, and having positive solutions and suggestions. Positive emotions can influence each other between family members and will build a stronger family (Sagita, 2020). Adolescents with their traits, when interacting with the society in everyday life, can produce certain emotions. Not only positive emotions, but also negative emotions play a role in adolescents' resilience (McCrae, 2006). However, from the results of this study, negative emotions do not mediate the role of the openness trait in family resilience.

As stated by Zanon (2013), openness does not correlate with negative emotions. According to McCrae (2006), individuals with high openness trait tend to seek and value experiences because of their own desires. The existence of their initiative to explore the environment does not make them experience negative emotions if they do not do that exploration. Negative emotions contribute significantly to a person's social adjustment (Sahar, 2017). The way adolescents adjust into the family will have an impact on their family system.

The large number of negative emotions experienced by family members have the effect of weakening family resilience. Fredrickson (2009) stated that negative emotions caused individuals to think negatively. Individuals who think negatively about something will be easily disappointed, worried, hopeless, and embarrassed when they are experiencing big enough problems. In these conditions, it is difficult for adolescents to have positive beliefs to overcome difficulties. They also find it difficult to find alternative solutions if they fail to deal with a problem and it is difficult to build positive relationships with family members or other people. This shows the low family resilience (Walsh, 2016).

When compared to negative emotions as mediators, positive emotions have a stronger impact on family resilience in the sense that positive emotions have a stronger effect in mediating the relationship between adolescents' traits with family resilience. Adolescents who experience strong positive emotions, even though they experience negative emotions, are likely to have high family resilience. As stated by Frederickson (in Donaldson, 2011), positive emotions can erase the trace of negative emotions.

4 CONCLUSIONS

Based on the results of the discussion above, it can be concluded that:

1. There is a significant relationship between traits and family resilience through close relationship and positivity.
2. For extraversion and agreeableness traits, the close relationship in family acts as a mediator of family resilience, while for neuroticism, openness, and conscientiousness traits, a close relationship in a family does not mediate the relationship of the three traits to family resilience.
3. The five traits have a significant impact on family resilience when mediated by positive emotions.

For adolescents who have openness trait, positive emotions are the only mediator that can mediate the trait's relationship with family resilience.

4. Neuroticism, extraversion, agreeableness, and conscientiousness traits have a significant impact on family resilience when mediated by negative emotions, while for openness trait, negative emotions do not mediate the impact of this trait on family resilience.
5. Positive emotions are the most powerful mediator compared to the other two mediators in terms of mediating traits with family resilience.

Suggestions that can be made based on this research are:

1. Parents and every member of the family can each play a role in creating positive emotions within the family.
2. Negative emotions cannot be avoided and are also needed in family life; however, families need to strive, so that every member of the family, especially adolescents, experiences more positive emotions than negative emotions.

REFERENCES

- Anderson, S. A. & Sabatelli, R. M. (2011). *Family Interaction: A Multigenerational Developmental Perspective*, Pearson. Boston, 5th edition.
- Branje, S. J. T., Van Lieshout, C. F. M., & Van Aken, M. A. G.. (2004). Relations between big five personality characteristics and perceived support in adolescents' families. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 86(4), 615–628. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.86.4.615>
- Cervone, D., Pervin, L. A., (2019). *Personality Theory and Research*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc. United States , 14th edition.
- Chou, M.-J., Lee, H.-M., & Wu, H.-T., (2016). Emotion, psychological resilience and work stress: a study among preschool teachers. *European Journal of Psychological Research*, 3(1), 8–15.
- Donaldson, S.I., Csikszentmihalyi, M., Nakamura, J., (2011). *Applied Positive Psychology, Improving Everyday Life, Health, Schools, Work, and Society*, Psychology Press, Taylor& Francis Group. New York.
- Edwina, O.I.P., & Sembiring T., (2019). Peran mindset terhadap resiliensi keluarga pada dewasa awal di bandung. *Internal Funding Research, Faculty of Psychology, Maranatha Christian University*.
- Fredrickson, N., (2009). *Positivity: groundbreaking research reveals how to embrace the hidden strength of positive emotions, overcome negativity, and thrive*. Crown Publishing Group. United States, 1st edition.
- Hayes, A. F., (2018). *Introduction to Mediation, Moderation, and Conditional Process Analysis: A*

- Regression-Based Approach*, The Guilford Press. Country? , 2nd edition.
- Kompas. (2019, October 7). Ribuan penderita skizofrenia terpasung. <https://www.kompas.id/baca/utama/2019/10/07/skizofrenia-kurang-terperhatikan/>
- Lee, I., Lee, E. O., Kim, H. S., Park, Y. S., Song, M., & Park, Y. H., (2004). Concept development of family resilience: A study of Korean families with a chronically ill child. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, 13(5), 636–645. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2702.2004.00845.x>.
- Letzring, T. D., & Adamcik, L. A., (2015). Personality traits and affective states: Relationships with and without affect induction. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 75, 114–120. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2014.11.011>
- Liu, Y., Wang, Z. H., & Li, Z. G., (2012). Affective mediators of the influence of neuroticism and resilience on life satisfaction. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 52(7), 833–838. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2012.01.017>
- McCrae, R. R., Costa, P. T., Jr., (1997). Personality trait structure as a human universal. *American Psychologist*, 52(5), 509–516. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.52.5.509>
- McCrae, R. R., & Costa, P. T., (2006). Personality in adulthood: A five-factor theory perspective. In *Transactional Analysis Journal* 36(3), <https://doi.org/10.1177/036215370603600310>
- Rajeev, S. P., & Kunjachan, D., (2014). Building family resilience- a social work approach. *International Journal of Social Work and Human Services Practice*, 2(6), 250–255. <https://doi.org/10.13189/ijrh.2014.020608>
- Ruswahyuningsih, M. C., Afiatin, T., (2015). Resiliensi pada remaja Jawa. *Jurnal Psikologi UGM*, 1(2), 96–105. <https://doi.org/10.22146/gamajop.7347>
- Saeed Abbasi, I., Rattan, N., Kousar, T., & Khalifa Elsayed, F., (2018). Neuroticism and close relationships: How negative affect is linked with relationship disaffection in couples. *American Journal of Family Therapy*, 46(2), 139–152. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01926187.2018.1461030>
- Sahar, N., & Muzaffar, N. M., (2017). Role of family system, positive emotions and resilience in social adjustment among Pakistani adolescents. *Journal of Educational, Health and Community Psychology*, 6(2), 46. <https://doi.org/10.12928/jehcp.v6i2.6944>
- Sagita, D. D., Amsal, M. F., & Fairuz, S. U. N., (2020). Analysis of family resilience: The effects of the covid-19. *Sawwa: Jurnal Studi Gender*, 15(2), 275–294. <https://doi.org/10.21580/sa.v15i2.6542>
- Santrock, J. W., (2016). *Adolescence*, McGraw-Hill. New York, 16th edition.
- Simon, J. B., Murphy, J. J., & Smith, S. M., (2005). Understanding and fostering family resilience. *The Family Journal*, 13(4), 427- 436. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1066480705278724>
- Smith, E. R., Mackie, D. M., & Claypool, H. M., (2015). *Social Psychology*, Psychology Press. . New York, 4th edition.
- Thomas, P. A., Liu, H., & Umberson, D., (2017). Family relationships and well-being. *Innovation in Aging*, 1(3), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1093/geroni/igx025>
- Walsh, F., (2016a). Family resilience: A developmental systems framework. *European Journal of Developmental Psychology*, 13(3), 313–324. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17405629.2016.1154035>
- Walsh, F., (2016b). *Strengthening Family Resilience*, The Guilford Press. New York, 3rd edition.
- Winterheld, H. A., & Simpson, J. A., (2018). Personality in close relationships. In *The Cambridge Handbook of Personal Relationships* (pp. 163–174). <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781316417867.014>
- Zanon, C., Bastianello, M. R., Pacico, J. C., & Hutz, C. S., (2013). Relationships between positive and negative affect and the five factors of personality in a Brazilian sample. *Paideia*, 23(56), 285–292. <https://doi.org/10.1590/1982-43272356201302>