PROCEEDING BOOK

International Conference on Environmentally Friendly Civil Engineering Construction and Materials

"Creating and Adapting Sustainable Technologies"

13-14 November 2014 Manado - Indonesia

Supported by
International Office Affair and
Faculty of Engineering
Sam Ratulangi University

------------------------------------ *This page is intentionally left blank* ------------------------------------

PROCEEDING

EFCECM 2014

The International Conference on Environmentally Friendly Civil Engineering Construction and Materials

Creating and Adapting Sustainable Technologies

MANADO –INDONESIA
13 – 14 NOVEMBER 2014

Published by

Civil Engineering Department

Sam Ratulangi University

Editor
Dr. Isri Ronald Mangangka
and
Dr. Liany Amelia Hendrata

Proceeding of The International Conference on Environmentally Friendly Civil Engineering Construction and Materials, 13-14 November 2014, Manado – Indonesia
p. 416
1. Structure, geopolymer and other construction materials, 2. Water resources and environmental management, 3. Transportation and urban infrastructure, 4. Geotechnical engineering

ISBN: 978-602-71876-1-0 (Electronic Version)

Address: Jl. Kampus Barat – Bahu
Manado 95115
Indonesia

PREFACE

During the recent decades, environmental quality has become a main issue in most aspects of life including in construction industries. Significant progress has been made to protect the quality of environment through implementation of methods and the use of construction materials which pro the quality of environment. Construction industries, however, also significantly contribute to the environmental degradation. Carbon dioxide emission from the manufacture process of portland cement is one of main contributors to the global warming. Therefore, it is important to apply a comprehensive and sustainable approach to reduce the impact of construction industries on environmental quality. Application of methods and use of materials for civil engineering infrastructure which are environmentally friendly would be the wise solution to minimize the environmental impacts due to construction activities.

The conference has been organized to provide an opportunity to link professional and researchers to learn, share and exchange the latest method, approach and developed theories which may reduce the impact of civil engineering construction activities on environmental quality, with following objectives:

1. To provide a forum for exchange of ideas, achievements and experiences and information addressing to environmental issues among academics, researchers, engineers, manufacturers and post graduate scholars in civil engineering field.
2. To discuss and evaluate the latest methods, approaches and innovative technologies to improve environmental quality which are related to civil engineering field.
3. To increase interaction between civil engineering practice, research and education to prevent environmental degradation.

Participants of the conference included researchers, academic staffs, postgraduate students, industries and governments. The keynote speeches during the conference were presented by:

Prof. Joseph Davidovits,
President of Geopolymer Institure in French.

Prof. Vijaya Rangan,
Emiritus Professor from Curtin University, Australia.

Prof. Jun Shimada,
President of Center for Marine Environment Studies, Kumamoto University, Japan

Prof. Ashantha Goonetilleke,
Queensland University of Technology, Australia.

Prof. Djwantoro Hardjito,
Petra Christian University, Indonesia.

Prof. Ofyar Z. Tamin,
Bandung Institute of Technology, Indonesia

Prof. Tommy Ilyas,
University of Indonesia, Indonesia

Dr. Giovanni Luca Pesce,
Univeristy of Bath, United Kingdom.

The conference papers and oral presentations were divided into four major main topics as follow:

1. Structure, Geopolymer and Other Construction Materials.
2. Water Resources and Environmental Management.
3. Transportation and Urban Infrastructure.
4. Geotechnical Engineering.

Finally, the conference Organizing Committee wishes that the conference may provide beneficial scientific information to the participants and the conference proceeding readers.

Organizing Committee,

Dr. Isri Ronald Mangangka
(Chairman)

LIST OF COMMITTEE

STEERING COMMITTEE:

Prof. Ellen Joan Kumaat (Chairman)
Prof. Dody Sumajouw (Co-Chairman)
Prof. J. T. Sembel
Prof. Jefrey I. Kindangen

SCIENTIFIC COMMITTEE:

Prof. Dody Sumajouw (Chairman)
Prof. Henrico Manalip
Prof. Joseph Davidovits
Prof. Vijaya Rangan
Prof. Ashantha Goonetilleke
Prof. Jun Shimada
Prof. Djwantoro Hardjito
Prof. Tommy Ilyas
Prof. Ofyar Z. Tamin
Dr. Isri Ronald Manganka
Dr. Stennie Wallah

ORGANIZING COMMITTEE:

Dr. Isri Ronald Mangangka (Chairman)
Dr. Stennie Wallah (Co-Chairman)
Amanda Sembel, MT. (Secretary)
Dr. Cindy Jane Supit (Treasurer)
Dr. Lianny Hendrata
Dr. Sukarno
Dr. Wiske Rotinsulu
Dr. Hendra Riogilang
Dr. Reiny Tumbol
Dr. O. B. A. Sompie
dr. Wulan Kaunang
Pankie Pangemanan, M.Si.
Merry Langi, ST.
Vebe Rambing, M.Si.
Denny Kembuan, SE

Daniel Pangemanan, MH.
Beinhard Winsy Tampangela, ST
Fary Manopo
Yoost Kowimbin
Karla Pontoh

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITLE PAGE....i

PREFACE iii

LIST OF COMMITTEE v

TABLE OF CONTENTS vii

Keynote Speaches

Geopolymer Concrete Application
By B. Vijaya Rangan 1

Scientific Approach to Understand the Trans-boundary Management of Groundwater Resources in Kumamoto Area, Japan
By Jun Shimada 9

Climate Change Impact on Urban Stormwater Runoff: Why We Need To Be Concerned
By Ashantha Goonetilleke 19

Lime Based Materials in Construction: Experimental investigations for the development and validation of atomic models
By R. J. Ball, G. L. Pesce, S. C. Parker and R. J. Grant....29

Landslides: Anticipation and Prevention in Indonesia
By Tommy Ilyas 37

Topic A
Structure, Geopolymer and Other Construction Materials

Decorative Pervious Concrete: A Solution for Aesthetically Sustainable Green Environment
By Tavio and Benny Kusuma 41

Parametric Study on the Compressive Strength of Palm Oil Fuel Ash (POFA) Geopolymer Mortar
By Monita Olivia, Winda Astuti H Putri, Lita Darmayanti, Iskandar R Sitompul, Alfian Kamaldi and Zulfikar Djauhari....49

Effect of Nano Silica on Durability Properties of Concretes Containing Recycled Coarse Aggregates
By Faiz Uddin Ahmed Shaikh and Heasam Odoh....57

Effect of Reactive Powder for Compressive Strength Concrete
By Tavio and Candra Gunawan....65

Experimental Behaviour of RC Columns Externally Confined by Reinforcing Steel Bars Subjected to Axial compression
By Utari Khatulistiani and Tavio....71

Recycling of Industrial By-products in the Construction Field in Oman – An Overview
By Khalifa S. Al-Jabri....81

Finite Element Modeling and Experimental Tests of Parkia Speciosa Timber Connections
By Yosafat Aji Pranata and Deny Anarista Sitorus 91

Performance Characteristics of Fiber-reinforced Concrete with Polyethylene Terephthalate (PET) Fiber; Part 1. Abrasion Resistance and Chloride Penetration
By Ricky N Ratu and Nemkumar Banthia 101

Compressive strength of Fly Ash Based Geopolymer Concrete
By Riger Manuahe, Reky S. Windah and Dody M. J. Sumajouw 111

Strength and Deformability of Confined Rectangular Reinforced Concrete Columns with Supplemental Pen-Binder
By Anang Kristianto and Iswandi Imran........ 121

Effect of Nano-Silica Particle Addition on Compression Behaviour of Non-Stoichiometrically Cured Epoxy Resin
By Markus Karamoy Umboh, Tadaharu Adachi, Masahiro Higuchi and Zoltan Major........ 129

Statistical Analysis of Confined Columns with Varying Reinforcement Configuration and Ratios
By Benny Kusuma, Tavio and Priyo Suprobo........ 141

AE-SiGMA Analysis in Split-Tensile Test of Fiber-Reinforced Concrete (FRC) at Meso-Scale
By Mielke R.I.A.J Mondoringin1, Masayasu Ohtsu2........ 155

Fly Ash and GGBS Based Geopolymer Concrete
By G.Mallikarjuna Rao, Venu.M and T.D.Gunneswara Rao........ 165

Influence of Sulfate Attack to Reactive Powder Concrete
By Widodo Kushartomo, F.X. Supartono and Octavivia........ 177

Preliminary Study of The Development of Volcanic Ash-Based Geopolymer Concrete
By Steenie E. Wallah and Ronny E. Pandaleke........ 185

The Effect of Superplasticizer Variation toward the Slump of Fly Ash Based Geopolymer Concrete
By Anggie Adityo Aer, Ronny E. Pandeleke and Dody M.J. Sumajouw 191

Durability and Performance of Polymer Modified Ferrocement in General Application
By Fahrizal Zulkarnain and Mohd. Zailan Sulieman........ 205

Application of European Yield Model on Joint Laterally Strength Analysis of Bamboo Component Two with Void Filled Morta
By Gusti Made Oka, Andreas Triwiyono, Suprapto Siswosukarto and Ali Awaludin........ 213

Sustainable Concrete with The Use of GGBS: The Maturity Method for Predicting Strength Development of Concrete
By Gidion Turu'allo and Marios N. Soutsos........ 223

Improving the Mechanical Properties of Aluminum 6063 Structural Items by Varying the Chemical Composition
By Indika De Silva, Asela Perera, Navinda Kodikara and Asanka De Silva 233

Study of Tensile Strength of Geopolymer Concrete with Amurang Fly Ash as a Source Material
By Andre K. Putra1, Filia E.S. Paat, S.O. Dapas, R.S. Windah and S.E. Wallah 239

A study of Green Construction Assessment of Two Buildings in Yogyakarta
By Peter F Kaming 247

Lightweight High-strength Concrete with Expanded Polystyrene Beads as Building Materials in Earthquake Zones
By Tengku Fitriani L. Subhan, Tommy.A.M. Tilaar and Ravi Sri Ravindrarajah 257

Development of Thermal Comfort Model in Minahasa Stilt House Industrial Production with Optimization the Effect of Outer Room Design
By Debbie Augustien Jeannet Harimu 265

Topic B
Water Resources and Environmental Management

The Implication of Critical Shear Stress of Erosion on the Depth of Sediment in Brantas River – East Java
By Wati Asriningsih Pranoto and Abrar Riza 287

Analysis of Treatment Performance of Constructed Stormwater Wetlands with Utilising a Simplified Conceptual Model
By Isri Ronald Mangangka, Ashantha Goonetilleke, Prasanna Egodawatta, Sukarno and Cindy Jeane Supit 297

Effect of Regularly Square Ribs Roughness on Turbulent Flow Structure in Cases Two and Three-dimensional Roughness in an Open Channel
By Sukarno, Lianny Hendratta, Isri Ronald Mangangka, Cindy Jeane Supit and Terunori Ohmoto.. 309

Rheological Characteristics of Hyperconcentrated Sediment-laden Flow
By Liany A. Hendratta, Tiny Mananoma, Jeffry Sumarauw and Terunori Ohmoto 317

Identification of Water Resources at Botanical Garden in Pasir Impun, West Java, Using Georesistivity Method, Wenner Configuration
By Diyan Parwatiningtyas, Achmad Sjamsuri and Dasmo 323

Water Resources Management by Modelling of Dam Operation
By Cindy Jeane Supit, Isri Ronald Mangangka, Sukarno and Audy Supit 333

A Study on Performance of The Traditonal Protection to Reduce The Inundation Area in Saga Plain
By Ariestides K. T. Dundu, Koichiro Ohgushi and Hiroyuki Araki....................................... 341

Topic C
Transportation and Urban Infrastructure

Evaluation of Coastal Vulnerability Assessment and Coastal Management for Karangantu Coastal Area in Banten - Indonesia
By Olga Pattipawaej and Hanny Dani 351

Arrangement of Environmentally Sound Ship Recycling Yard in Indonesia
By Sunaryo and Dovan Pahalatua 361

Study on Airline Hub Eastern Part of Indonesia Facing the ASEAN Open Sky Policy: (A Case Study of Full Service Carrier Airlines in Sultan Hasanuddin International Airport)
By Sakti Adji Adisasmita, Nur Ali, Mubassirang Pasra and Dantje Runtulalo 369

Enhancing Infrastructure in the Indonesia - Malaysia Border Areas Development (Case Studies in Entikong, Sanggau District, West Kalimantan)
By Tommy Ilyas, Dwi Dinariana, Fitri Suryani and Henni 379

Toward Great Streets Using Urban Design Approach: The Case Study of Manado
By Febriane Paulina Makalew.. 385

Topic D
Geotechnical Engineering

Load Settlement behavior of Bored Pile Based on The Result of Load Test and FEM
By Asriwiyanti Desiani and Angel Refanie 395

Recommendation of Viscosity Values for Mudflow
By Budijanto Widjaja, David Wibisono Setiabudi and Ivan Arista 401

Soil air Gas Survey at Makaroyen Village in Kotamobagu Geothermal Field, North Sulawesi, Indonesia
By Hendra Riogilang, Oscar Hans Kaseke, Saartje Monintja and Cindy Jeane Supit.............. 407

Bending Moment of Flexible Batter Piles in Sand under Horizontal Loads
By Fabian J. Manoppo 413

EVALUATION OF COASTAL VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT AND COASTAL MANAGEMENT FOR KARANGANTU COASTAL AREA IN BANTEN - INDONESIA

Coastal and offshore protection and engineering

Olga Pattipawaej[1], and Hanny Dani[2]

[1,2] Maranatha Christian University, Dept. of Civil Engineering, Indonesia
e-mail: olga.pattipawaej@gmail.com, hannyjd@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

Coastal erosion has caused shoreline changes in various coastal areas in Indonesia that threaten the lives and livelihoods of coastal communities. Beach damage in the coastal areas has an impact on the disruption of day-to-day activities for the community, on the disruption of transportation systems, on the industry and trade, as well as on the environmental and public health impacts. Based on this phenomenon, it is necessary to evaluate a coastal vulnerability assessment and coastal disaster management. The purpose of this study is to analyze changes in the coastline and to evaluate the extent of damage to the coast in order to obtain priority coastal management. The location of Karangantu coastal area in Banten Province - Indonesia is studied. The results of this study can be used as a reference to address the damage to the Karangantu coastal area in Banten Province – Indonesia.

KEYWORDS: coastal, erosion, management, vulnerability assessment

1 INTRODUCTION

Indonesia is an archipelago country with the longest coastline in the world and with the high risk level of earthquake. Indonesian coastal hazards come from the tsunami. Mitigation of earthquake and tsunami must be done thoroughly, not only by preparing earthquake-resistant buildings, but also physically prepare coastal defenses.

The damage of Indonesia coastal areas are many and need to be dealt with coastal protection efforts, either natural or artificial protection. Natural protection can be done if the level of damage was mild or moderate and infra-structures are protected away from the coastline. If the level of damage has been very severe, where the shoreline is very close to the protected facilities such as residential areas, shops, roads, places of worship etc., the artificial protection is the most effective (Triatmodjo, 1999 and 2012).

The cost of coastal structure is very expensive, so it is necessary to order the preparation of coastal damage prevention priorities and needs to be carried out studies to determine the extent of damage and prioritizing the coast handling damage in various regions in Indonesia. There are three parameters are taken into account in determining the level of damage to coastal erosion/abrasion, sedimentation, and the environment. Each parameter is given a value according to the level of damage. Further prioritization of countermeasures coast is to link the level of coastal damage beach with the level of interest. The value of coastal vulnerability assessment and the level of importance for each beach's damage are used to determine the priority of the beach in each region.

The results of this study are the coastal vulnerability assessment and the coastal management handling priority. The level of coastal damage is grouped under conditions of low, medium, high and very high. Coastal management priority level is classified into less preferred, preferred, highly preferred, and very highly preferred.

This study was conducted to analyze shoreline changes that occur and determine the level of vulnerability of the Karangantu's beach in Serang, Banten province, Indonesia. The results of the vulnerability of the Karangantu's beach in Serang, Banten province can afterward be determined the priority handler beach and so can be done by making the soft protection structure (mangrove planting) and/or the hard structure (breakwaters, beach walls of buildings, etc.) and/or a combination of soft and hard structure.

2 METHODOLOGY

The Coastal Vulnerability Index (CVI) is commonly used and simple methods to assess coastal vulnerability (DKP, 2004). The CVI provides a simple numerical basis for ranking sections of coastline in terms of their potential for change that can be used by managers to identify regions where risks may be relatively high. Vulnerability of coastal areas against the threat of the damage is determined on the shore of the ranking of nine variables, namely: (a) Shoreline change rate, (b) Observations visual damage, (c) Length of damage, (d) Width of damage, (e) Lithology, (f) Wave height, (g) Tidal range, (h) Land use, and (i) Coastal slope (Table 1).

Table 1: Ranking of coastal vulnerability index

Variable	Very Low	Low	Moderate	High	Very High
	1	2	3	4	5
Shoreline change rate (m/year)	0	0-1	1-5	5-10	>10
Observations visual damage	Visible symptoms of damage	Looks scours but still stable	Scour occurs and will happen collapse	Scour and debris occur but not jeopardize facilities or infrastructures	Scour and debris occur and endangering facilities or infrastructure
Length of damage (km)	<0.5	0.5-2	2-5	5-10	>10
Width of damage (m)	0	1-10	10-50	50-100	>100
Lithology	Igneous, sedimentary and metamorphic, compact and hard	Fine-grained sedimentary rocks, compact and soft	Gravel and coarse sand, rather compact	Sand, silt, clay, rather compact	Sand, silt, clay, mud, loose
Wave height (m)	<0.5	0.5-1	1-1.5	1.5-2	>2
Tidal range (m)	<0.5	0.5-1	1-1.5	1.5-2	>2
Land use	Moor, mangrove forests, vacant land and bogs	Domestic tourist areas and traditional farms	Rice fields and intensive ponds	Settlements, ports, offices, schools and provincial roads	cultural heritage, international tourist areas, industry, country roads, and national defense facilities
Coastal Slope (%)	0-2	2-5	5-10	10-15	>15

Once each section coastline is assigned a risk value based on each specific data variable, the CVI is determined by adopting and modifying of the general equation determining coastal vulnerability index from some researchers (DKP, 2004). In this study coastal vulnerability index is calculated as the square root of the product of the ranked variables divided by the total number of variables as follows:

$$CVI = \sqrt{\frac{a \times b \times c \times d \times e \times f \times g \times h \times i}{9}} \quad (1)$$

Based on the CVI, the level of damage can be categorized as in Table 2.

Table 2: Level of damage based on the CVI

CVI	0-25	25-50	50-75	>75
Level of damage	Low	Medium	High	Very high

Damage to beaches all over Indonesia are numerous, ranging from slightly damaged, heavy to very heavy. According Triatmodjo (1999 and 2012), the damage needs to be dealt with coastal protection efforts, either natural or artificial coastal protection structure. Natural protection can be done if the level of damage was medium and infrastructures are protected away from the coastline. If the level of damage has been very high, where the shoreline is very close to the protected facilities such as residential areas, shops, roads, places of worship, etc. then the artificial protection is the most effective.

3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The location coastline study is Karangantu's beach in Serang, Banten province, Indonesia. The fecth, also called the fetch length, is the length of water over which is given wind is blown. Figure 1 shows the fetch length of Karangantu's coastal area. Fetch length, along with the wind speed determines the size (sea state) of waves produced.



Figure 1: Fetch length of Karangantu's coastal area

The effective fetch is computed using the equation:

$$F_e = \frac{\sum F_i \cos\alpha_i}{\sum \cos\alpha_i} \quad (2)$$

where F_i is the fetch length (m) in the i direction and α_i is the angle ($^\circ$)between the wind direction and the i direction. Table 3 shows the effective fecth of Karangantu's coastal area for 8 cardinal directions.

Table 3: Effective fetch of Karangantu's coastal area

Direction	Effective fetch(m)
North	838996
North East	537154
East	1108254
South East	0
South	0
South West	0
West	7886
North West	31965

Wave data can be derived from wind field information by used of method known as hindcasting. Hindcasting is applied for estimating wave height at given site from knowledge of the windspeed, effective fetch, and duration. The windspeed used in this study is obtained from Tanjung Priok's station during the years of 2000-2011. The wave height estimation for several return period (in years) can be seen in Table 4. The wave height estimation at Karangantu's offshore location is used 9.98 m for return period in 50 years.

Table 4: Wave height estimation at several return period in Karangantu's offshore location

R_T (in years)	H (m)
2	0.58
3	0.72
5	1.03
10	1.84
25	4.59
50	9.98
100	23.10
200	56.49

The prediction of shoreline change can be obtained using numerical method over a given period (Hanson and Kraus, 1989). The initial position of Karangantu's shoreline is firstly done as an input by making the grids at a certain distance in accordance to the long coastline that will be modeled. The distance between the grid is 30 m with grid number is 81. Figure 2 shows the shoreline model for Karangantu's shoreline.



Figure 2: Shoreline model for Karangantu's coastline

The output of shoreline change for Karangantu's coastline can be seen in Figure 3. The shoreline change can be obtained by substracting the shoreline origin (input) and the shoreline change (numerical output) and divided by 12 based on the used of wind data from the year 2000-2011.



Figure 3: Shoreline change at Karangantu's coastal area

The maximum of shoreline change rate is 18.3 m/year. The shoreline change shows that *grid* 41-72 contain erosion, and *grid* 2-40, 73-80 have sedimentation. The result shows that Karangantu's shoreline encounter the sedimentation with the volume 35.400 m^3 and also the length of Karangantu's shoreline damage is 5.88 km.

The width of Karangantu's coastal damage is determined based on the change in shoreline position with the maximum distance forward/retreat of the shoreline. The width of the coastal damage occurred on the grid 77 and the maximum value obtained by sedimentation 219.6 m to estimate for the next 12 years.

Lithology is a description of the characteristics of rock types including color, mineral composition, rock size, and shape. Lithology of the Karangantu's coastal area based on the results of drilling to a depth of 6.00 m, the unit is composed of a layer of sand silt, clay and silt, light brown, light gray, very low plasticity, moist, very soft (Table 5). Karangantu's coastal area has a beach slope of 0-5%.

Table 5: Lithology of Karangantu's coastal area

Location	Depth (m)	Thickness of layer (m)	Conus Resistance (qc) kg/cm^2	Type of layer	Consistency
K-1	0.00 – 9.20	9.20	5.57 – 9.94	Sand silt	Soft
	9.20 – 29.80	20.60	11.93 – 26.05	Silt	Teguh
K-2	0.00 -8.80	8.80	8.95 – 9.94	Clay	Soft
	8.80 – 29.80	21.00	11.93 – 25.85	Silt	Teguh
K-3	0.00 -10.00	10.00	6.96 – 9.94	Clay	Soft
	10.00 – 29.80	19.80	10.94 – 24.80	Silt	Teguh

Figure 4 shows the tidal that occur around the Karangantu's coastal area. Maximum tidal range that occurs around the Karangantu's coastal area is 0.86 m.



Figure 4: Tidal ranges around Karangantu's coastal area

Land use around Karangantu's coastal area including Nusantara Fishery Port (Figure 5), the Navy Main Base (Figure 6), the Port Health Office (Figure 7), the Police Office (Figure 8), and the College of Fisheries (Figure 9).

Figure 5: Nusantara Fishery Port at Karangantu

Figure 6: Navy Main Base in Karangantu

Figure 7: Karangantu's Port Health Office

Figure 8: Karangantu's Police Office

Figure 9: College of Fisheries in Karangantu's coastal area

The Jetty at Karangantu's coastal area has already damaged caused by the wave, so it is necessary to repair the Jetty. In addition, the condition of the shoreline on the left of Jetty's structure encountered the erosion problems. This erosion occured because the sediment transport processes that hampered the existing Jetty. Eroded shoreline conditions of Karangantu's coastal area can be seen in Figure 10. In addition there is also the problem of silting the estuary, making it difficult for boats with larger sizes to enter and exit. Estuary silting problems can be seen in Figure 11 and the damage of Karangantu's jetty can be seen in Figure 12.

Figure 10: Conditions Shorelines eroded at Karangantu's coastal area

Figure 11: Estuary Siltation at Karangantu's coastal area

Figure 12: Damage condition on Karangatu's Jetty

The rank of coastal vulnerability variables for Karangantu's coastal area can be seen in Table 6 which shows the rank of the 9 variables that influence the vulnerability of Karangantu's coastal area.

Table 6: Ranking of Coastal Vulnerability for Karangantu's coastal area

Variable	Result of analysis and observation	Rank
Shoreline change rate (m/year)	18.3	5
Observations visual damage	Looks scours but still stable	2
Length of damage (km)	5.88	4
Width of damage (m)	219.6	5
Lithology	Sand, silt, clay	4
Wave height (m)	9.98	5
Tidal range (m)	0.86	2
Land use	Settlements, ports, offices, schools	4
Coastal Slope (%)	0-5	2

Thus, the Coastal Vulnerability Index of Karangantu's coastal area values, as follows:

$$\text{CVI} = \sqrt{\frac{5 \times 2 \times 4 \times 5 \times 4 \times 5 \times 2 \times 4 \times 2}{9}} = 84.3$$

Based on the Karangantu's Coastal Vulnerability Index value of 84.3 and based on the level of vulnerability (Table 2) can be determined that the vulnerability of coastal Karangantu classified as very high. In the other hand, with a very high degree of vulnerability, the priority coastal management for Karangantu's coastal area is extremely preferred to make the soft and/or hard coastal protection structure. The existing Jetty at Karangantu's coastal area is also extremely prefferred to repair soon.

4 CONCLUSION

1. The evaluation of coastal vulnerability assessment is based on the coastal vulnerability index
2. The variables that affect the value of coastal vulnerability index for Karangantu's coastal area in Serang, Banten Province, Indonesia is the shoreline change rate, observations visual damage, length of damage, width of damage, lithology, wave height, tidal range, land use, and coastal slope
3. Karangantu's coastal vulnerability index to the value of 84.3 is exceptionally high, so the priority of coastal management is extremely preferred
4. The urgent priority of coastal management for Karangantu's coastal area is build the soft and/or hard coastal protection structure at Karangantu's coastal area, including the existing Jetty.

5 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Thank to Balai Pantai, Ministry of Public Work, the Republic of Indonesia whom gave the necessity data and also the software to conduct this research.

6 REFERENCES

DKP (2004), *Pedoman Penyusunan Rencana Pengelolaan Garis Pantai*, Jakarta.

Hanson, H. and Kraus Nicholas, C. (1989), *GENESIS: Generalized Model for Simulating Shoreline Change Report 1*, Department Of The Army Corps Of Engineers, Washington USA.

Triatmodjo, B. (1999), *Teknik Pantai*, Beta Offset, Yogyakarta.

Triatmodjo, B. (2012), *Perencanaan Bangunan Pantai*, Beta Offset, Yogyakarta.