

Dear Editorial

Thank you very much for your kindly and attention to our manuscript. We will revise as soon as possible.

Sincerely yours
Wahyu Widowati

> On Sep 2, 2015, at 12:10 PM, Immunology, Endocrine & Metabolic Agents in Medicinal Chemistry <iemamc@benthamscience.org> wrote:

>

> Reference#: BSP-cmciema-2015-HT2-5

>

> Submission Title: Potential of Unengineered and Engineered Wharton's Jelly

> Mesenchymal Stem Cells as Cancer Inhibitor Agent

>

> Thanks for submitting the manuscript to "Immunology, Endocrine & Metabolic

> Agents in Medicinal Chemistry". I am delighted to inform you that the

> manuscript has been reviewed by the experts in the field, and the consensus

> is that it needs a major revision with rewriting and checking. I am

> attaching the comments below and encouraging you to address the comments,

> revise the manuscript indicating the exact changes you made and to resubmit

> it at your earliest convenience. The final decision will be taken after the

> nature of your rebuttal and revision. Again, I sincerely thank you for

> submission of the manuscript in "Immunology, Endocrine & Metabolic Agents in

> Medicinal Chemistry".

>

> Reviewers' comments:

> -----

> Reviewer J:

>

> Manuscript #:

> 53673

>

> Title:

> Potential of Unengineered and Engineered Wharton's Jelly Mesenchymal

Stem

> Cells as Cancer Inhibitor Agent

>

>

> Scientific Quality:

> Fair

>

> Recommendation:

> Acceptable pending major revision

>

> Reviewer's Assessment:

> Comments for Reviewer's Assessment:

> The paper is partially within the scope of the journal; only within its

> immunological aspects. In addition, it is only partially original because

> several review articles on this topic have been published recently (for

> example: Curr Stem Cell Res Ther. 2014; 9(6):504-7; World J Stem Cells.

> 2014; 6(2):195-202; Tissue Eng Part B Rev. 2014; 20(5):523-44). Some of

> these papers should be cited and specific novel aspects of the present

> manuscript should be clearly highlighted.

>

> Clarity/Length:

> Acceptable

>

> Comments for Clarity/Length:

>

>

> Comments for the Authors:

> The paper is focused on potential application of Watanabe's Jelly
mesenchymal

> stem cells in cancer therapy. The topic is interesting, however, the

> manuscript in the present form suffers from many disadvantages:

> 1) extensive language revision of the text is necessary

> 2) the text is sometimes not systematic and chaotic. For example,
structure

> of umbilical cord, definition of WJ and differences between WJMSCs and
other

> MSCs are mentioned 2 or 3 times in various places.

> 3) Description of original studies cited in this paper is usually too
brief;

> more details about them should be provided.

> 4) The table with brief comparison of WJMSCs and other MSCs would be
useful.